



ON ITERATIVE SOLUTIONS OF A COMMON ELEMENT PROBLEM

SUN YOUNG CHO^{1,*}, SHIN MIN KANG²

¹Department of Mathematics, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea

²Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Korea

Abstract. In this article, we investigate the problem of finding a common element of the set of solutions of a variational problem and the set of common fixed points of an infinite family nonexpansive mappings based on a viscosity approximation algorithm in a Hilbert space.

Keywords. Common element; Variational inequality; Nonexpansive mapping; Fixed point; Hilbert space.

1. Introduction

Let H be a real Hilbert space, whose inner product and norm are denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\| \cdot \|$, respectively. Let C be a closed convex subset of H and let $A : C \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear map. Let P_C be the projection of H onto the convex subset C . The classical variational inequality which denoted by $VI(C, A)$ is to find $u \in C$ such that

$$\langle Au, v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in C. \quad (1.1)$$

It is known that P_C satisfies

$$\langle x - y, P_C x - P_C y \rangle \geq \|P_C x - P_C y\|^2 \quad (1.2)$$

for $x, y \in H$. Moreover, $P_C x$ is characterized by the properties: $P_C x \in C$ and $\langle x - P_C x, P_C x - y \rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. One can see that the variational inequality (1.1) is equivalent to a fixed point

E-mail addresses: ooly61@yahoo.co.kr (S.Y. Cho), smkang@gnu.ac.kr (S.M. Kang)

Received May 24, 2013

problem. The function $u \in C$ is a solution of the variational inequality (1.1) if and only if $u \in C$ satisfies the relation $u = P_C(u - \lambda Au)$, where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant.

In the real world, many important problems have reformulations which require finding solutions of the variational inequality, for instance, evolution equations, complementarity problems, mini-max problems, and optimization problems; see [1-23] and the references therein.

Recall that the following definitions. A mapping A is said to be γ -cocoercive, if for each $x, y \in C$, we have

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq \gamma \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \text{for a constant } \mu > 0.$$

Clearly, every μ -cocoercive map A is $1/\mu$ -Lipschitz continuous. A is said to be relaxed γ -cocoercive, if there exists a constant $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq (-\gamma) \|Ax - Ay\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

A is said to be relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive, if there exist two constants $\mu, \nu > 0$ such that

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq (-\gamma) \|Ax - Ay\|^2 + r \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

A mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|Sx - Sy\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. Next, we denote by $F(S)$ the set of fixed points of S . A mapping $f : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be a contraction if there exists a coefficient α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|,$$

for $\forall x, y \in C$. A linear bounded operator B is strongly positive if there exists a constant $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ with the property

$$\langle Bx, x \rangle \geq \bar{\gamma} \|x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in H.$$

A set-valued mapping $T : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is called monotone if for all $x, y \in H$, $f \in Tx$ and $g \in Ty$ imply $\langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0$. A monotone mapping $T : H \rightarrow 2^H$ is maximal if the graph of $G(T)$ of T is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is known that a monotone mapping T is maximal if and only if for $(x, f) \in H \times H$, $\langle x - y, f - g \rangle \geq 0$ for every

$(y, g) \in G(T)$ implies $f \in Tx$. Let A be a monotone map of C into H and let $N_C v$ be the normal cone to C at $v \in C$, i.e., $N_C v = \{w \in H : \langle v - u, w \rangle \geq 0, \forall u \in C\}$ and define

$$Tv = \begin{cases} Av + N_C v, & v \in C \\ \emptyset, & v \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Then T is maximal monotone and $0 \in Tv$ if and only if $v \in VI(C, A)$; see [1].

2. Preliminaries

Recently iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings have been applied to solve convex minimization problems. A typical problem is to minimize a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points a nonexpansive mapping on a real Hilbert space H :

$$\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Bx, x \rangle - \langle x, b \rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where B is a linear bounded operator, C is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping S and b is a given point in H . In [11], it is proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by the iterative method below, with the initial guess $x_0 \in H$ chosen arbitrarily,

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n B)Sx_n + \alpha_n b, \quad n \geq 0,$$

converges strongly to the unique solution of the minimization problem (2.1) provided the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ satisfies certain conditions. More recently, Marino and Xu [12] introduced a new iterative scheme by the viscosity approximation method:

$$x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n B)Sx_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

They proved the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by above iterative scheme converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (B - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad x \in C,$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem $\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Bx, x \rangle - h(x)$, where C is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping S , h is a potential function for δf (i.e., $h'(x) = \delta f(x)$ for $x \in H$.)

Concerning a family of nonexpansive mappings has been considered by many authors. The well-known convex feasibility problem reduces to finding a point in the intersection of the fixed point sets of a family of nonexpansive mappings. The problem of finding an optimal point that minimizes a given cost function over common set of fixed points of a family of nonexpansive mappings is of wide interdisciplinary interest and practical importance. A simple algorithmic solution to the problem of minimizing a quadratic function over common set of fixed points of a family of nonexpansive mappings is of extreme value in many applications including set theoretic signal estimation.

Recently, Yao *et al.* [13] considered a general iterative algorithm for an infinite family of nonexpansive mapping in the framework of Hilbert spaces. To be more precisely, they introduced the following general iterative algorithm.

$$x_{n+1} = \lambda_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \lambda_n A)W_n x,$$

where f is a contraction on H , A is a strongly positive bounded linear operator, W_n are nonexpansive mappings which are generated by an finite family of nonexpansive mapping T_1, T_2, \dots . To be more precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{n,n+1} &= I, \\ U_{n,n} &= \gamma_n T_n U_{n,n+1} + (1 - \gamma_n)I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{n,k} &= \gamma_k T_k U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \gamma_k)I, \\ u_{n,k-1} &= \gamma_{k-1} T_{k-1} U_{n,k} + (1 - \gamma_{k-1})I, \\ &\vdots \\ U_{n,2} &= \gamma_2 T_2 U_{n,3} + (1 - \gamma_2)I, \\ W_n &= U_{n,1} = \gamma_1 T_1 U_{n,2} + (1 - \gamma_1)I, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\{\gamma_1\}, \{\gamma_2\}, \dots$ are real numbers such that $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, T_1, T_2, \dots be an infinite family of mappings of C into itself. Nonexpansivity of each T_i ensures the nonexpansivity of W_n .

Concerning W_n we have the following lemmas which are important to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1 [14] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space E . Let T_1, T_2, \dots be nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ is nonempty, and let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ be real numbers such that $0 < \gamma_n \leq \eta < 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. Then, for every $x \in C$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,k}x$ exists.*

Using Lemma 2.1, one can define the mapping W of C into itself as follows.

$$Wx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_{n,1}x, \quad x \in C.$$

Such a W is called the W -mapping generated by T_1, T_2, \dots and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$. Throughout this paper, we will assume that $0 < \gamma_n \leq \eta < 1$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.2 [14] *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space E . Let T_1, T_2, \dots be nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$ is nonempty, and let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots$ be real numbers such that $0 < \gamma_n \leq \eta < 1$ for any $n \geq 1$. Then, $F(W) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F(T_n)$.*

In this paper, we introduce a composite iterative process as following:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \\ y_n = P_C(\beta_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \beta_n B)W_n P_C(I - r_n A)x_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where A is a relaxed cocoercive mapping, B is a strongly positive linear bounded operator, f is a contraction on C and W_n is a mapping generated by (2.2). We prove the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by the above iterative scheme converges strongly to a common element of the set of common fixed points of an infinite nonexpansive mappings and the set of solutions of the variational inequalities for relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive maps, which solves another variation inequality $\langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, q - p \rangle \leq 0, p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap VI(C, A)$ and is also the optimality condition for the minimization problem $\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Bx, x \rangle - h(x)$, where C is the intersection of the common fixed points set of a nonexpansive mappings and the set of solutions of the variational inequalities for relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive maps, h is a potential function for δf (i.e., $h'(x) = \delta f(x)$ for $x \in H$.) The results are obtained in this paper improve and extend the recent ones announced by many authors; see the literatures.

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3 [12] *Assume B is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space H with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < \rho \leq \|B\|^{-1}$. Then $\|I - \rho B\| \leq 1 - \rho \bar{\gamma}$.*

Lemma 2.4 [11] *Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that*

$$\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)\alpha_n + \delta_n,$$

where γ_n is a sequence in $(0,1)$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence such that

- (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n / \gamma_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *In a real Hilbert space H , there holds the the following inequality*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle,$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

Lemma 2.6 [15] *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space X and let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$ and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.

3. Main results

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and $A : C \rightarrow H$ be relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive and μ -Lipschitz continuous. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with the coefficient α ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be an infinite nonexpansive mappings from C into itself generated by (2.2) such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \cap VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let B be a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator of C into itself with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ such that*

$\|B\| \leq 1$. Assume that $0 < \gamma < \bar{\gamma}/\alpha$. Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is generated by

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ y_n = P_C(\beta_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \beta_n B)W_n P_C(I - r_n A)x_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$. If $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are chosen such that

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty$;
- (ii) $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = 0$;
- (iv) $\{r_n\} \subset [a, b]$ for some a, b with $0 < a < b < \frac{2(r - \gamma\mu^2)}{\mu^2}$, $r > \gamma\mu^2$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $q \in F$, where $q = P_F(\gamma f + (I - B))(q)$, which solves the variation inequality $\langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, p - q \rangle \leq 0, \forall p \in F$.

Proof. First, we show the mapping $I - r_n A$ is nonexpansive. Indeed, from the relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive and μ -Lipschitzian definition on A and the condition (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I - r_n A)x - (I - r_n A)y\|^2 \\ &= \|(x - y) - r_n(Ax - Ay)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - 2r_n[-\gamma\|Ax - Ay\|^2 + r\|x - y\|^2] + r_n^2\|Ax - Ay\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2r_n\mu^2\gamma\|x - y\|^2 - 2r_n r\|x - y\|^2 + \mu^2 r_n^2\|x - y\|^2 \\ &= (1 + 2r_n\mu^2\gamma - 2r_n r + \mu^2 r_n^2)\|x - y\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x - y\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the mapping $I - r_n A$ is nonexpansive. Since the condition (i), we may assume, with no loss of generality, that $\beta_n < \|B\|^{-1}$ for all n . From Lemma 2.3, we know that if $0 < \rho \leq \|B\|^{-1}$, then $\|I - \rho B\| \leq 1 - \rho\bar{\gamma}$. Letting $p \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\| &\leq \beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| + (1 - \beta_n \bar{\gamma}) \|W_n P_C(I - r_n A)x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \beta_n \gamma \|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \beta_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| + (1 - \beta_n \bar{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| \\ &= [1 - \beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha)] \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - p\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) [(1 - \beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha)) \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|\gamma f(p) - Bp\|] \end{aligned}$$

By simple inductions, we have $\|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|Bp - \gamma f(p)\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma\alpha}\}$, which gives that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Set $\rho_n = P_C(I - r_n A)x_n$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_n - \rho_{n+1}\| &\leq \|(I - r_n A)x_n - (I - r_{n+1} A)x_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|(x_n - r_n A x_n) - (x_{n+1} - r_{n+1} A x_{n+1}) + (r_{n+1} - r_n) A x_{n+1}\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + |r_{n+1} - r_n| M_1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where M_1 is an appropriate constant such that $M_1 \geq \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|A x_n\|\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - y_{n+1}\| &\leq (1 - \beta_{n+1} \bar{\gamma}) (\|\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n\| + \|W_{n+1} \rho_n - W_n \rho_n\|) \\ &\quad + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| M_2 + \gamma \beta_{n+1} \alpha \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where M_2 is an appropriate constant such that $M_2 \geq \max\{\sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|B W_n \rho_n\|\}, \gamma \sup_{n \geq 1} \{\|f(x_n)\|\}\}$.

Since T_i and $U_{n,i}$ are nonexpansive, we find from (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{n+1} \rho_n - W_n \rho_n\| &= \|\gamma_1 T_1 U_{n+1,2} \rho_n - \gamma_1 T_1 U_{n,2} \rho_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \|U_{n+1,2} \rho_n - U_{n,2} \rho_n\| \\ &= \gamma_1 \|\gamma_2 T_2 U_{n+1,3} \rho_n - \gamma_2 T_2 U_{n,3} \rho_n\| \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \|U_{n+1,3} \rho_n - U_{n,3} \rho_n\| \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \dots \gamma_n \|U_{n+1,n+1} \rho_n - U_{n,n+1} \rho_n\| \\ &\leq M_3 \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where $M_3 \geq 0$ is an appropriate constant such that $\|U_{n+1,n+1} \rho_n - U_{n,n+1} \rho_n\| \leq M_3$, for all $n \geq 0$.

Substitute (3.1) and (3.3) into (3.2) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - y_{n+1}\| &\leq [1 - \beta_{n+1}(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)] \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &\quad + M_4 (|r_{n+1} - r_n| + |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i), \end{aligned}$$

where M_4 is an appropriate appropriate constant such that $M_4 \geq \max\{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$. From the conditions (i) and (iii), we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - |x_{n+1} - x_n| \} \leq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.6, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|W_n \rho_n - y_n\| = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

For $p \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\rho_n - p\|^2 \\ &= \|P_C(I - r_n A)x_n - P_C(I - r_n A)p\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(x_n - p) - r_n(Ax_n - Ap)\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2r_n \langle x_n - p, Ax_n - Ap \rangle + r_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - 2r_n[-\gamma \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 + r \|x_n - p\|^2] + r_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2r_n \gamma \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 - 2r_n r \|x_n - p\|^2 + r_n^2 \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + (2r_n \gamma + r_n^2 - \frac{2r_n r}{\mu^2}) \|Ax_n - Ap\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|\beta_n(\gamma f(x_n) - Bp) + (I - \beta_n B)(W_n \rho_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq (\beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| + (1 - \beta_n \bar{\gamma}) \|\rho_n - p\|)^2 \\ &\leq \beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|^2 + \|\rho_n - p\|^2 + 2\beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| \|\rho_n - p\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(x_n - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - p)\|^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)\|y_n - p\|^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n\|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)[\beta_n\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|^2 + \|\rho_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|\|\rho_n - p\|].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.10), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|^2 + (2r_n\gamma + r_n^2 - \frac{2r_nr}{\mu^2})\|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|\|\rho_n - p\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

It follows from the condition (iv) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n - Ap\| = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho_n - p\|^2 &= \|P_C(I - r_nA)x_n - P_C(I - r_nA)p\|^2 \\
&\leq \langle (I - r_nA)x_n - (I - r_nA)p, \rho_n - p \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \{ \|(I - r_nA)x_n - (I - r_nA)p\|^2 + \|\rho_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - \|(I - r_nA)x_n - (I - r_nA)p - (\rho_n - p)\|^2 \} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \|x_n - p\|^2 + \|\rho_n - p\|^2 - \|(x_n - \rho_n) - r_n(Ax_n - Ap)\|^2 \} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \{ \|x_n - p\|^2 + \|\rho_n - p\|^2 - \|x_n - \rho_n\|^2 - r_n^2\|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2r_n\langle x_n - \rho_n, Ax_n - Ap \rangle \},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\|\rho_n - p\|^2 \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|\rho_n - x_n\|^2 + 2r_n\|\rho_n - x_n\|\|Ax_n - Ap\|. \tag{3.13}$$

Substituting (3.13) into (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \alpha_n) \|\rho_n - x_n\|^2 \\
& \leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|^2 + 2r_n \|\rho_n - x_n\| \|Ax_n - Ap\| \\
& \quad + 2\beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| \|\rho_n - p\| \\
& \leq (\|x_n - p\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\|) \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\|^2 \\
& \quad + 2r_n \|\rho_n - x_n\| \|Ax_n - Ap\| + 2\beta_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| \|\rho_n - p\|.
\end{aligned}$$

From the conditions (i), (ii), (3.6) and (3.12), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_n - x_n\| = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

On the other hand, we have $\|\rho_n - W_n \rho_n\| \leq \|x_n - \rho_n\| + \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - W_n \rho_n\|$. It follows from (3.5), (3.7) and (3.14) that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|W_n \rho_n - \rho_n\| = 0$. We have for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is N such that $\|W\rho - W_n \rho\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $\rho \in \{\rho_n\}$ and for all $n \geq N$. Therefore, we have $\|W\rho_n - W_n \rho_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that

$$\|W\rho_n - \rho_n\| \leq \|W_n \rho_n - \rho_n\| + \|W_n \rho_n - W\rho_n\|,$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|W\rho_n - \rho_n\| = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $P_F(\gamma f + (I - B))$ is a contraction, we find that $P_F(\gamma f + (I - B))$ has a unique fixed point, say $q \in H$. That is, $q = P_F(\gamma f + (I - B))(q)$. To show it, we choose a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, x_n - q \rangle = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, x_{n_i} - q \rangle.$$

As $\{x_{n_i}\}$ is bounded, we have that there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_{i_j}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges weakly to p . We may assume that without loss of generality that $x_{n_{i_j}} \rightharpoonup p$. Hence we have $p \in F$. Indeed, let us first show that $p \in VI(C, A)$. Put

$$Tw_1 = \begin{cases} Aw_1 + N_C w_1, & w_1 \in C \\ \emptyset, & w_1 \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Since A is relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive and the condition (iii), we have

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - y \rangle \geq (-\gamma)\|Ax - Ay\|^2 + r\|x - y\|^2 \geq (r - \gamma\mu^2)\|x - y\|^2 \geq 0,$$

which yields that A is monotone. Thus T is maximal monotone. Let $(w_1, w_2) \in G(T)$. Since $w_2 - Aw_1 \in N_C w_1$ and $\rho_n \in C$, we have

$$\langle w_1 - \rho_n, w_2 - Aw_1 \rangle \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, from $\rho_n = P_C(I - r_n A)x_n$, we have $\langle w_1 - \rho_n, \rho_n - (I - r_n A)x_n \rangle \geq 0$ and hence $\langle w_1 - \rho_{n_i}, w_2 \rangle \geq \langle w_1 - \rho_{n_i}, A\rho_{n_i} - Ax_{n_i} \rangle - \langle w_1 - \rho_{n_i}, \frac{\rho_{n_i} - x_{n_i}}{r_{n_i}} \rangle$, which implies that $\langle w_1 - p, w_2 \rangle \geq 0$. We have $p \in T^{-1}0$ and hence $p \in VI(C, A)$. Next, let us show $p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$. Since Hilbert spaces are Opial's spaces, from (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_{n_i} - p\| &< \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_{n_i} - Wp\| \\ &= \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_{n_i} - W\rho_{n_i} + W\rho_{n_i} - Wp\| \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|W\rho_{n_i} - Wp\| \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_{n_i} - p\|, \end{aligned}$$

which derives a contradiction. Thus, we have $p \in F(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, x_n - q \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, x_{n_i} - q \rangle \\ &= \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, p - q \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - q\|^2 &\leq \frac{(1 - \beta_n \bar{\gamma})^2 + \beta_n \gamma \alpha}{1 - \beta_n \gamma \alpha} \|x_n - q\|^2 + \frac{2\beta_n}{1 - \alpha_n \gamma \alpha} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, y_n - q \rangle \\ &= \frac{(1 - 2\beta_n \bar{\gamma} + \beta_n \alpha \gamma)}{1 - \beta_n \gamma \alpha} \|x_n - q\|^2 + \frac{\beta_n^2 \bar{\gamma}^2}{1 - \beta_n \gamma \alpha} \|x_n - q\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2\beta_n}{1 - \beta_n \gamma \alpha} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, y_n - q \rangle \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{2\beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)}{1 - \beta_n \gamma \alpha}\right) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{2\beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)}{1 - \beta_n \gamma \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma} \langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, y_n - q \rangle + \frac{\alpha_n \bar{\gamma}^2}{2(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)} M_5 \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where M_5 is an appropriate constant. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(x_n - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) \|y_n - p\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Substitute (3.17) into (3.18) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \left(1 - (1 - \alpha_n) \frac{2\beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)}{1 - \beta_n\gamma\alpha}\right) \|x_n - q\|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n) \frac{2\beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)}{1 - \beta_n\gamma\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma} \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, y_n - q \rangle + \frac{\beta_n \bar{\gamma}^2}{2(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)} M_5\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Put $l_n = (1 - \alpha_n) \frac{2\beta_n(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)}{1 - \beta_n\gamma\alpha}$ and $t_n = \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma} \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, y_n - q \rangle + \frac{\beta_n \bar{\gamma}^2}{2(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha\gamma)} M_5$. That is,

$$\|x_{n+1} - q\|^2 \leq (1 - l_n) \|x_n - q\|^2 + l_n t_n. \quad (3.20)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, y_n - q \rangle &= \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, y_n - x_n \rangle + \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, x_n - q \rangle \\ &\leq \|\gamma f(q) - Aq\| \|y_n - x_n\| + \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, x_n - q \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.5) and (3.16) that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \gamma f(q) - Aq, y_n - q \rangle \leq 0.$$

Apply Lemma 2.4 to (3.20) to conclude $x_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.

If T_i , $1 \leq i \leq \infty$ is identity, we have the following.

Corollary 3.2. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and $A : C \rightarrow H$ be relaxed (γ, r) -cocoercive and μ -Lipschitz continuous. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with the coefficient α ($0 < \alpha < 1$). Assume that $VI(C, A) \neq \emptyset$. Let B be a strongly positive linear bounded self-adjoint operator of C into itself with coefficient $\bar{\gamma} > 0$ such that $\|B\| \leq 1$. Assume that $0 < \gamma < \bar{\gamma}/\alpha$. Assume that $\{x_n\}$ is generated by*

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ y_n = P_C(\beta_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \beta_n B) P_C(I - r_n A)x_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are sequences in $(0, 1)$. If $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are chosen such that

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n = \infty;$$

- (ii) $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$;
- (iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = 0$;
- (iv) $\{r_n\} \subset [a, b]$ for some a, b with $0 < a < b < \frac{2(r - \gamma\mu^2)}{\mu^2}$, $r > \gamma\mu^2$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $q \in F$, where $q = P_F(\gamma f + (I - B))(q)$, which solves the variational inequality $\langle \gamma f(q) - Bq, p - q \rangle \leq 0, \forall p \in VI(C, A)$.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the anonymous reviewer for the useful suggestions which improved the contents of the article.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.T. Rockafellar, On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970), 75-88.
- [2] E. Blum, W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Stud, 63 (1994), 123-145.
- [3] S. Kamimura, W. Takahashi, Approximating solutions of maximal monotone operators in Hilbert spaces, J. Approx. Theory 106 (2000), 226-240.
- [4] S.Y. Cho, S.M. Kang, Zero point theorems for m -accretive operators in a Banach space, Fixed Point Theory 13 (2012), 49-58.
- [5] H.K. Xu, A regularization method for the proximal point algorithm, J. Global Optim. 36 (2006), 115-125.
- [6] J.W. Chen, Z. Wan, Y. Zou, Strong convergence theorems for firmly nonexpansive-type mappings and equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, Optim. 62 (2013), 483-497.
- [7] X. Qin, M. Shang, Y. Su, Strong convergence of a general iterative algorithm for equilibrium problems and variational inequality problems, Math. Comput. Model. 48 (2008), 1033-1046.
- [8] S.Y. Cho, S.M. Kang, Approximation of common solutions of variational inequalities via strict pseudocontractions, Acta Math. Sci. 32 (2012), 1607-1618.
- [9] N. Takahashi, I. Yamada, Parallel algorithms for variational inequalities over the Cartesian product of the intersections of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, J. Approx. Theory, 153 (2008), 139-160.
- [10] Y. Shehu, An iterative method for nonexpansive semigroups, variational inclusions and generalized equilibrium problems, Math. Comput. Modell. 55 (2012), 1301-1314.
- [11] H.K. Xu, An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 116 (2003), 659-678.
- [12] G. Marino, H.K. Xu, A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006), 43-52.

- [13] Y. Yao, A general iterative method for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 66 (2007), 2676-2687.
- [14] K. Shimoji, W. Takahashi, Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 5 (2001), 387-404.
- [15] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Manns type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 305 (2005), 227-239.
- [16] M.A. Noor, Z. Huang, Some resolvent iterative methods for variational inclusions and nonexpansive mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 194 (2007), 267-275.
- [17] S. Plubtieng, R. Punpaeng, A new iterative method for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 197 (2008), 548-558.
- [18] J. Shen, L.P. Pang, An approximate bundle method for solving variational inequalities, *Comm. Optim. Theory*, 1 (2012), 1-18.
- [19] J. Zhao, Strong convergence theorems for equilibrium problems, fixed point problems of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and a general system of variational inequalities, *Nonlinear Funct. Appl. Appl.* 16 (2011), 447-464.
- [20] S. Wang, B. Guo, New iterative scheme with nonexpansive mappings for equilibrium problems and variational inequality problems in Hilbert spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 233 (2010), 2620-2630.
- [21] N. Shahzad, A. Udomene, Fixed point solutions of variational inequalities for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 64 (2006), 558-567.
- [22] X. Qin, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, Viscosity approximation methods for generalized equilibrium problems and fixed point problems with applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010), 99-112.
- [23] X. Qin, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, Convergence theorems of common elements for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Banach spaces, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 225 (2009), 20-30.