



EXISTENCE OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF SECOND-ORDER NONLINEAR INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE DELAY

HOCINE GABSI¹, ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI^{2,*}, AHCENE DJOUDI¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Annaba University, Algeria

²Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Souk Ahras University, Algeria

Abstract. In this work, we use fixed point theorems and choose available operators to prove the existence of periodic solutions of a second-order nonlinear delay integro-differential equations (1). During the process Eq (1) is converted into an equivalent integral equation but with the same properties from which appropriate mappings are constructed. We offer existence criteria based on sufficient conditions on f , p , q to conclude existence of periodic solutions.

Keywords. Fixed points; Periodic solution; Integro-differential equations; Variable delay.

1. Introduction

Ordinary and partial differential equations have long played important roles in the history of theoretical population dynamics, and they will, with no doubt, continue to serve as indispensable tools in future investigations. However, they are generally the first approximations of the considered real systems. More realistic models should include some of the past states of these systems; that is, ideally, a real system should be modeled by differential equations with time delays. Indeed, the use of delay differential equations (DDEs) in the modeling of population dynamics is currently very active, largely due to the recent rapid progress achieved in

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hocinegabsi@gmail.com (H. Gabsi), abd_ardjouni@yahoo.fr (A. Ardjouni), adjoudi@yahoo.com (A. Djoudi)

Received May 15, 2016

the understanding of the dynamics of several important classes of delay differential equations and systems. In this paper, we are interested in the analysis of qualitative theory of periodic solutions of delay differential equations. Motivated by the papers [1]–[4], [6]–[22] and the references therein, we restrict our attention to integro-differential equations as (1). The purpose of this paper is to deal with the existence of periodic solutions for the second-order nonlinear integro-differential equations with variables delay

$$(1) \quad x''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)x^3(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t D(t,s) f(s, x(s - \tau(s))) ds,$$

where

(I) $p, q \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^+)$ and $\tau \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. p, q and τ are all T -periodic continuous functions with $T > 0$ is a constant.

(II) $D : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $t \geq s$, and $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions. where τ is a continuous scalar function, and $\tau(t) \geq \tau^* > 0$.

(III) For all a sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ in C_T implies that $|f(t, x_n) - f(t, x)| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Preliminaries

To describe the main result we use the following notation. For $T > 0$, let C_T be the set of all continuous scalar functions x , periodic in t of period T . Then, $(C_T, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space with the supremum norm

$$(2) \quad \|x\| = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |x(t)| = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |x(t)|.$$

We let

$$(3) \quad C_T^J := \{\varphi \in C_T : \|\varphi\| \leq J\}.$$

Since we are searching for the existence of periodic solutions for system (1), it is natural to assume that

$$(4) \quad D(t+T, s+T) = D(s, t) \text{ and } f(t+T, x) = f(t, x).$$

Also, we assume

$$(5) \quad \int_0^T p(u) du > 0 \text{ and } \int_0^T q(u) du > 0.$$

Throughout this section we assume that there exists a continuous function $F_J(t) \geq |f(t, x)|$ for $x \in C_T^J$ and a constant $E_1 > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^t |D(t, s) F_J(s)| ds \leq E_1, \quad E_1 \leq \frac{J}{\alpha T},$$

with α to be defined later. In order to simplify notation, we define

$$(7) \quad \sigma = \max \{q(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\},$$

and let

$$(8) \quad R_1 = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \left| \int_t^{t+T} \frac{\exp \int_t^s p(u) du}{\exp \left(\int_0^T p(u) du \right) - 1} q(s) ds \right|, \quad Q_1 = \left(1 + \exp \int_0^T p(u) du \right)^2 R_1^2.$$

The next lemmas is crucial to our results.

Lemma 2.1. ([16]) *Suppose that (I), (4) and (5) hold and that*

$$(9) \quad \frac{R_1 \left(\exp \left(\int_0^T p(u) du \right) - 1 \right)}{Q_1 T} \geq 1.$$

Then there are continuous T -periodic functions a and b such that $b(t) > 0$, $\int_0^T a(u) du > 0$ and

$$a(t) + b(t) = p(t) \text{ and } b'(t) + b(t)a(t) = q(t) \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Lemma 2.2. ([21]) *Denote, $A = \int_0^T p(v) dv$, $B = T^2 \exp \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \ln q(v) dv \right)^2$ and consider*

$$(10) \quad A^2 \geq 4B.$$

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left\{ \int_0^T a(v) dv, \int_0^T b(v) dv \right\} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4B} \right) := l, \\ \max \left\{ \int_0^T a(v) dv, \int_0^T b(v) dv \right\} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4B} \right) := m. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. ([21]) *Suppose the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold and that $\phi \in C_T$. Then the equation*

$$x''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)g(x(t)) = \phi(s),$$

has a T -periodic solution. Moreover, the periodic solution can be expressed by

$$x(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) \phi(s) ds,$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \frac{\int_t^s \left(e^{\int_t^u b(v)dv} + e^{\int_u^s a(v)dv} \right) du + \int_s^{t+T} \left(e^{\int_t^u b(v)dv} + e^{\int_u^{s+T} a(v)dv} \right) du}{\left(\exp\left(\int_0^T a(u) du\right) - 1 \right) \left(\exp\left(\int_0^T b(u) du\right) - 1 \right)}.$$

Moreover, the Green's function G satisfies the following properties

$$(11) \quad G(t, t+T) = G(t, t), \quad G(t+T, s+T) = G(t, s),$$

and

$$(12) \quad \frac{T}{(e^m - 1)^2} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{T \exp\left(\int_0^T p(u) du\right)}{(e^l - 1)^2} = \alpha.$$

Furthermore

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t, s) = -b(t) G(t, s) + K(t, s),$$

$$\text{with } K(t, s) = \frac{\exp\left(\int_t^s a(v)dv\right)}{\exp\left(\int_0^T a(v)dv\right) - 1}.$$

The following lemma is fundamental to our results.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 hold. Then, x is T -periodic solution of (1) if and only if x is a solution of the integral equation*

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) = & \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) q(s) H(x(s)) ds \\ & + \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, x(u - \tau(u))) du ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the proof we may assume that $x \in C_T$ and we choose $H(x) = x - x^3$ so we first rewrite (1) as

$$x''(t) + p(t)x'(t) + q(t)x(t) = q(t)H(x(t)) + \int_{-\infty}^t D(t,s) f(s, x(s - \tau(s))) ds.$$

Then, from Lemma 2.3 it is easy to see that there is a solution $x \in C_T$ of (1), which can be expressed by

$$x(t) = \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) \left(q(s)H(x(s)) + \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, x(u - \tau(u))) du \right) ds.$$

Define operators $P_1, P_2 : C_T \rightarrow C_T$ by

$$(15) \quad (P_1x)(t) := \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) q(s)H(x(s)) ds,$$

and

$$(16) \quad (P_2x)(t) := \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, x(u - \tau(u))) duds.$$

In view of (14), (15), (16) and the above analysis, the existence of periodic solutions for (1) is equivalent to the existence of solutions for the operator equation

$$(17) \quad P_1x + P_2x = x \text{ in } C_T.$$

Definition 2.1. ([5]) (Large Contraction) Let (\mathcal{M}, d) be a metric space and consider $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Then \mathcal{B} is said to be a large contraction if given $\phi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\phi \neq \varphi$ then $d(\mathcal{B}\phi, \mathcal{B}\varphi) \leq \delta d(\phi, \varphi)$ and if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 1$ such that

$$[\phi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}, d(\phi, \varphi) \geq \varepsilon] \implies d(\mathcal{B}\phi, \mathcal{B}\varphi) \leq \delta d(\phi, \varphi).$$

Theorem 2.1. ([5]) Let \mathcal{M} be a closed bounded convex nonempty subset of a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. Suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} map \mathcal{M} into \mathcal{M} such that

- (i) $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, implies $\mathcal{A}x + \mathcal{B}y \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is compact and continuous,
- (iii) \mathcal{B} is a large contraction mapping.

Then there exists $z \in \mathcal{M}$ with $z = \mathcal{A}z + \mathcal{B}z$.

Proposition 2.1. If $\|\cdot\|$ is the maximum norm

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ \varphi \in C_T : \|\varphi\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right\}.$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a mapping on \mathcal{M} as follows for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, $(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) := \varphi(t) - \varphi^3(t)$. Then \mathcal{B} is a large contraction of the set \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Indeed, let $\phi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ we have for $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{B}\phi)(t)| &= |\varphi(t) - \phi(t) - \{\varphi^3(t) - \phi^3(t)\}| \\ (18) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= |(\varphi(t) - \phi(t))| |1 - \{\varphi^2(t) + \phi^2(t) + |\phi(t)\varphi(t)|\}|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(\varphi(t) - \phi(t))^2 = \varphi^2(t) + \phi^2(t) - 2\phi(t)\varphi(t) \leq 2(\varphi^2(t) + \phi^2(t)).$$

It follows from $\varphi^2(t) + \phi^2(t) \leq 1$ that

$$(19) \qquad |(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{B}\phi)(t)| \leq |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \left| 1 - \frac{(\varphi^2(t) + \phi^2(t))}{2} \right| \leq \|\varphi - \phi\|.$$

Now, let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be given and let $\phi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\|\varphi - \phi\| \geq \varepsilon$.

Suppose that for some t we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)|.$$

then

$$\varphi^2(t) + \phi^2(t) \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{8}.$$

From (19) we obtain that

$$(20) \qquad \|\mathcal{B}\varphi - \mathcal{B}\phi\| \leq \|\varphi - \phi\| \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \right) \leq \|\varphi - \phi\|.$$

In order, for some t we have

$$|\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

So, for all $\phi, \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$

$$(21) \qquad |(\mathcal{B}\varphi)(t) - (\mathcal{B}\phi)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi(t) - \phi(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi - \phi\|.$$

Thus from (20) and (21) we deduce that

$$\|\mathcal{B}\varphi - \mathcal{B}\phi\| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \right) \right\} \|\varphi - \phi\|.$$

The Proposition 2.1 is proved by letting $\delta = \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{16} \right\}$.

We shall consider an example for Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.2. For P_1 defined in (16), if in addition

$$(22) \quad \alpha\sigma T \leq 1,$$

then $P_1 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a large contraction.

Proof. Obviously, $P_1\varphi$ is continuous and it is easy to show that $P_1\varphi \in C_T$. So, for any $\varphi \in C_T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(P_1\varphi)(t)| &= \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| |q(s)| |\varphi(s) - \varphi^3(s)| ds \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \{|q(s)|\} \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| |\mathcal{B}\varphi(s)| ds \\ &\leq \alpha\sigma T \|\varphi - \varphi^3\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\|\varphi\| \leq J$ and from (19) we have

$$|(P_1\varphi)(t)| \leq \alpha\sigma T \|\mathcal{B}\varphi\| \leq \alpha\sigma T \|\varphi\| \leq \alpha\sigma T J \leq J.$$

Thus $P_1\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$. Consequently, we have $P_1 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Now, let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ be given and let $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\|\varphi - \phi\| \geq \varepsilon$. By (22) and from the proof of the Proposition 2.1 we have found $\delta < 1$ such that

$$|(P_1\varphi)(t) - (P_1\phi)(t)| \leq \alpha\sigma T \delta \|\varphi - \phi\| \leq \delta \|\varphi - \phi\|.$$

Then $\|P_1\varphi - P_1\phi\| \leq \delta \|\varphi - \phi\|$. Consequently, P_1 is a large contraction.

Proposition 2.3. Assume the conditions of Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 hold. Suppose also that conditions (4), (6) hold. Then, $P_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is continuous and the image of P_2 is contained in a compact set, where P_2 is defined by (16).

Proof. Let P_2 be defined by (16). Obviously, $P_2\varphi$ is continuous and it is easy to show that $(P_2\varphi)(t+T) = (P_2\varphi)(t)$. Observe that from (6) for $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(P_2\varphi)(t)| &\leq \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{-\infty}^s |D(s,u)| |f(u, \varphi(u - \tau(u)))| duds \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{-\infty}^s |D(s,u)| |F_J(u)| duds \\ (23) \quad &\leq \alpha E_1 T \leq J. \end{aligned}$$

That is, $P_2\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$. To see that P_2 is continuous on C_T^J it suffices to show that for all sequence of points $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ in \mathcal{M} such that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that the sequence $(P_2\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $(P_2\varphi) \in \mathcal{M}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where n is a positive integer. In fact that $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \in \mathcal{M}$, so $P_2\varphi_n \in C_T$. Next, let $r > 0$ be given, since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{s-r}^s |D(s,u)| |f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u)))| duds \\
& \leq \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{s-r}^s |D(s,u)| F_J(u) duds \\
(24) \quad & \leq \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{-\infty}^s |D(s,u)| F_J(u) duds \leq J,
\end{aligned}$$

then, for all $n \geq 0$ and $r > 0$ we have

$$(25) \quad \int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{s-r}^s |D(s,u)| |f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u)))| duds \leq J.$$

Thus from (III) and by the dominated convergence theorem we deduce that

$$\int_t^{t+T} |G(t,s)| \int_{s-r}^s |D(s,u)| |f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u))) - f(u, \varphi(u - \tau(u)))| duds \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $P_2\varphi_n \rightarrow P_2\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$. To show that the image of P_2 is contained in a compact set, we calculate $\frac{d}{dt}(P_2\varphi_n)(t)$ and show that it is uniformly bounded. For that, by making use of (25), (13) and (11) we obtain by taking the derivative in, (16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}(P_2\varphi_n)(t) &= \int_t^{t+T} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t,s) \right) \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u))) duds \\
&= -b(t) \int_t^{t+T} G(t,s) \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u))) duds \\
&+ \int_t^{t+T} K(t,s) \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u))) duds. \\
&= -b(t)(P_2\varphi_n)(t) + \int_t^{t+T} K(t,s) \int_{-\infty}^s D(s,u) f(u, \varphi_n(u - \tau(u))) duds.
\end{aligned}$$

where $K(t,s)$ is as given in (13). Thus the above expression yields

$$\left\| \frac{d}{dt}(P_2\varphi_n) \right\| = J \max\{b(t), 0 \leq t \leq T\} + TE_1 \frac{\exp(\int_0^T a(v)dv)}{\exp(\int_0^T a(v)dv) - 1} = E_2,$$

for some positive constant E_2 . Thus the sequence $(P_2\varphi_n)$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. Hence by Ascoli-Arzela's theorem the set $\{P_2\varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is equicontinuous and P_2 is

continuous. So P_2 is compact operator on \mathcal{M} . Also from (23) $P_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ we deduce that $\{P_2\varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is contained in a compact subset of \mathcal{M} .

2. Existence of periodic solutions

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(C_T, \|\cdot\|)$ be the Banach space of continuous T -periodic real valued functions and $\mathcal{M} := \{\varphi \in C_T : \|\varphi\| \leq 1/\sqrt{3}\}$, where $J = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Suppose (3)–(5), (10) and (22) hold. If the condition (6) is replaced by*

$$(26) \quad E_1 \leq \frac{1}{3\alpha T} J,$$

then, equation (1) has a T -periodic solution x in the subset \mathcal{M} .

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, the operator $P_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is compact and continuous. Also, from Proposition 2.2, the operator $P_1 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a large contraction. Moreover, if $\varphi, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$, we see that $|(P_1\varphi)(t)| \leq \alpha\sigma T \|\mathcal{B}\varphi\| \leq \alpha\sigma T \|\varphi\|$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1\varphi + P_2\phi\| &\leq \|P_1\varphi\| + \|P_2\phi\| \leq \alpha\sigma T \|\varphi - \varphi^3\| + \alpha E_1 T \\ &\leq \alpha\sigma T \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \alpha E_1 T \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} J + \frac{1}{3} J = J, \end{aligned}$$

because $\|\varphi - \varphi^3\| \leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Thus $P_1\varphi + P_2\phi \in \mathcal{M}$. Clearly, all the hypotheses of the Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Thus there exists a fixed point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $P_1x + P_2x = x$. From (17) and by Lemma 2.4 this fixed point is a solution of (1). Hence the Eq (1) has a T -periodic solution.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Adivar and Y. N. Raffoul, Existence of periodic solutions in totally nonlinear delay dynamic equations, Electron. J. Qualitative Theory Differential Equ. 1 (2009), 1-20.
- [2] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation with variable delay, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2011 (2011), No. 128.

- [3] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions in totally nonlinear dynamic equations with functional delay on a time scale, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* Vol. 68, (2010), 349-359.
- [4] A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi a existence of periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear neutral differential equation with functional delay, *E. J. Qualitative Theory Diff. Equ.* 31 (2012), 1-9.
- [5] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [6] F. D. Chen, Positive periodic solutions of neutral Lotka-Volterra system with feedback control, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 162 (2005), 1279-1302.
- [7] F. D. Chen and J. L. Shi, Periodicity in a nonlinear predator-prey system with state dependent delays, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* 21 (2005), 49-60.
- [8] H. Deham and A. Djoudi, Periodic solutions for nonlinear differential equation with functional delay, *Georgian Math. J.* 15 (2008), 635-642.
- [9] H. Deham and A. Djoudi, Existence of periodic solutions for neutral nonlinear differential equations with variable delay, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 2010 (2010), No. 127.
- [10] Y. M. Dib, M. R. Maroun and Y. N. Raffoul, Periodicity and stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, 2005 (2005), No. 142.
- [11] M. Fan and K. Wang, P. J. Y. Wong and R. P. Agarwal, Periodicity and stability in periodic n-species Lotka-Volterra competition system with feedback controls and deviating arguments, *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* 19 (2003), 801-822.
- [12] E. R. Kaufmann and Y. N. Raffoul, Periodic solutions for a neutral nonlinear dynamical equation on a time scale, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 319 (2006), 315-325.
- [13] E. R. Kaufmann and Y. N. Raffoul, Periodicity and stability in neutral nonlinear dynamic equations with functional delay on a time scale, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2007 (2007), 1-12.
- [14] E. R. Kaufmann, A nonlinear neutral periodic differential equation, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2010 (2010), no. 88.
- [15] W. G. Li and Z. H. Shen, An constructive proof of the existence Theorem for periodic solutions of Duffing equations, *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 42(1997), 1591-1595.
- [16] Y. Liu and W. Ge, Positive periodic solutions of nonlinear duffing equations with delay and variable coefficients, *Tamsui Oxf. J. Math. Sci.* 20 (2004) 235-255.
- [17] Y. N. Raffoul, Periodic solutions for neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delay, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2003 (2003), No. 102.
- [18] Y. N. Raffoul, Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delays using fixed-point theory, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 40 (2004), 691-700.

- [19] Y. N. Raffoul, Positive periodic solutions in neutral nonlinear differential equations, *E. J. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ.* 2007 (2007), No. 16.
- [20] Q. Wang, Positive periodic solutions of neutral delay equations (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)* 6 (1996), 789-795.
- [21] Y. Wang, H. Lian and W. Ge, Periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear functional differential equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20 (2007) 110-115.
- [22] W. Zeng, Almost periodic solutions for nonlinear Duffing equations, *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)* 13 (1997), 373-380.