



SPLIT EQUALITY FIXED POINT PROBLEM FOR TWO QUASI-ASYMPTOTICALLY PSEUDOCONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

JINFANG TANG¹, SHIH-SEN CHANG^{2,*}, JIAN DONG¹

¹College of Mathematics, Yibin University, Yibin, Sichuan 644007, China

²Center for General Education, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

Abstract. In this paper, we consider a split equality fixed point problem for two quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings. Some properties for quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive operators are presented. An iterative algorithm for solving the split common fixed point problem for two quasi-pseudocontractive operators is constructed. Weak and strong convergence theorems are established in Hilbert spaces.

Keywords. Split equality fixed point problem; Quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping; Quasi-asymptotically nonexpansive mapping; Strong convergence theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47J20, 47H10, 49J25.

1. Introduction

Let C and Q be nonempty closed and convex subsets of real Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , respectively, and let $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ be a bounded linear operator. We use $Fix(T)$ to denote the fixed point set of mapping T , i.e., $Fix(T) = \{x \in C : x = Tx\}$.

Recall that the split feasibility problem (*SFP*) is formulated as to find a point $q \in H_1$ such that:

$$q \in C \text{ and } Aq \in Q. \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: changss2013@163.com (S.S. Chang).

Received October 14, 2016; Accepted March 23, 2017.

It is easy to see that $q \in C$ solves equation (1.1) if and only if it solves the following fixed point equation $q = P_C(I - \gamma A^*(I - P_Q)A)q$, where P_C (resp. P_Q) is the (orthogonal) projection from H_1 (resp. H_2) onto C (resp. Q), $\gamma > 0$, and A^* is the adjoint of A .

In 1994, Censor and Elfving [1] first introduced the (SFP) in finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces for modeling inverse problems which arise from phase retrievals and in medical image reconstruction [2]. It has been found that the (SFP) can also be used in various disciplines such as image restoration, computer tomograph and radiation therapy treatment planning [3-5]. The (SFP) in an infinite dimensional real Hilbert space can be found in [6-10].

Recently, Moudafi [11, 12] and Moudafi and Al-Shemas [13] introduced the following split equality feasibility problem (SEFP):

$$\text{find } x \in C, \quad y \in Q \quad \text{such that} \quad Ax = By, \quad (1.2)$$

where $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$ and $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$ are two bounded linear operators. Obviously, if $B = I$ (identity mapping on H_2) and $H_3 = H_2$, then (1.2) reduces to (1.1).

In order to solve split equality feasibility problem (1.2), Moudafi [11] introduced the following simultaneous iterative algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = P_C(x_k - \gamma A^*(Ax_k - By_k)), \\ y_{k+1} = P_Q(y_k + \beta B^*(Ax_{k+1} - By_k)), \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

and under suitable conditions he proved the weak convergence of the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ to a solution of (1.2) in Hilbert spaces.

In order to avoid using the projection, recently, Moudafi and Al-Shemas [13] introduced and studied the following problem: Let $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $S : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ be nonlinear operators such that $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$. If $C = \text{Fix}(T)$ and $Q = \text{Fix}(S)$, then the split equality feasibility problem (1.2) reduces to:

$$\text{find } x \in \text{Fix}(T) \text{ and } y \in \text{Fix}(S) \text{ such that } Ax = By, \quad (1.4)$$

which is called *split equality fixed point problem (in short, (SEFPP))*. Denote by Γ the solution set of split equality fixed point problem (1.4).

Recently Moudafi [12] proposed the following iterative algorithm for finding a solution of (SEFPP) (1.4):

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = T(x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - By_n)), \\ y_{n+1} = S(y_n + \beta_n B^*(Ax_{n+1} - By_n)). \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

He also studied the weak convergence of the sequences generated by scheme (1.5) under the condition that T and S are firmly quasi-nonexpansive mappings. In 2015, Che and Li [14] proposed the following iterative algorithm for finding a solution of (SEFPP) (1.4):

$$\begin{cases} u_n = x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - By_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) T u_n, \\ v_n = y_n + \gamma_n B^*(Ax_n - By_n), \\ y_{n+1} = \alpha_n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n) S v_n. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

They also established the weak convergence of scheme (1.6) under the condition that both T and S are quasi-nonexpansive mappings.

Very recently, Chang, Wang and Qin [15] proposed the following iterative algorithm for finding a solution of (SEFPP) (1.4):

$$\begin{cases} u_n = x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - By_n), \\ x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)((1 - \xi_n)I + \xi_n T((1 - \eta_n)I + \eta_n T))u_n, \\ v_n = y_n + \gamma_n B^*(Ax_n - By_n), \\ y_{n+1} = \alpha_n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n)((1 - \xi_n)I + \xi_n S((1 - \eta_n)I + \eta_n S))v_n. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

They established the weak convergence of scheme (1.7) under the condition that both T and S are quasi-pseudocontractive mappings which is more general than the classes of quasi-nonexpansive mappings, directed mappings and demi-contractive mappings.

Motivated by above results, the purpose of this paper is to consider split equality fixed point problem (1.4) for the class of quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings which is more general than the classes of quasi-nonexpansive mappings and quasi-pseudocontractive mappings. Under suitable conditions, some weak and strong convergence theorems are proved.

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be an operator.

Definition 2.1. An operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be pseudocontractive if

$$\langle Tx - Ty, x - y \rangle \leq \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

It is easy to know that T is pseudocontractive if and only if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

Definition 2.2. $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be quasi-pseudocontractive if $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\|Tx - p\|^2 \leq \|x - p\|^2 + \|Tx - x\|^2, \quad \forall x \in C, \forall p \in \text{Fix}(T).$$

Definition 2.3. An operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be asymptotically pseudocontractive if there exists a sequence $\{l_n\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ with $l_n \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\|^2 \leq l_n \|x - y\|^2 + \|(I - T^n)x - (I - T^n)y\|^2,$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and for all $n \geq 1$.

It is well known that T is asymptotically pseudocontractive if and only if

$$\langle T^n x - T^n y, x - y \rangle \leq \frac{l_n + 1}{2} \|x - y\|^2,$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.4. An operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive if $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a sequence $\{l_n\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ with $l_n \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\|T^n x - p\|^2 \leq l_n \|x - p\|^2 + \|T^n x - x\|^2, \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x \in C, p \in \text{Fix}(T)$ and for all $n \geq 1$.

Example 2.5. [16] Let C be a unit ball in a real Hilbert space l^2 and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a mapping defined by

$$S : (x_1, x_2, \dots) \rightarrow (0, x_1^2, a_2 x_2, a_3 x_3, \dots).$$

It is proved in [16] that

$$(i) \quad \|Sx - Sy\|^2 \leq (2\|x - y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C;$$

$$(ii) \quad \|S^n x - S^n y\|^2 \leq (2 \prod_{j=2}^n a_j \|x - y\|)^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C, \quad \forall n \geq 2.$$

Taking $a_j = 2^{-\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}}$, $j \geq 2$, it is easy to see that $\prod_{j=2}^n a_j = \frac{1}{2}$. So we can take $l_1 = 4$, $l_n = (2 \prod_{j=2}^n a_j)^2$, $\forall n \geq 2$. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2 \prod_{j=2}^n 2^{-\frac{1}{2^{j-1}}})^2 = 1.$$

Therefore $S : C \rightarrow C$ is an asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping with $\text{Fix}(S) = \{(0, 0, 0, \dots)\}$, so it is also a quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping.

Definition 2.6. An operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be uniformly L -Lipschitzian if there exists some $L > 0$ such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L\|x - y\|,$$

for all $x, y \in C$ and for all $n \geq 1$.

Definition 2.7. (1) An operator $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be demiclosed at 0 if, for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ which converges weakly to x and $\|x_n - T(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$, then $Tx = x$.

(2) An operator $T : H \rightarrow H$ is said to be semi-compact if, for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$ with $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges strongly to some point $x \in H$.

Lemma 2.8. Let H be a real Hilbert space. For any $x, y \in H$, the following conclusions hold:

$$\|tx + (1-t)y\|^2 = t\|x\|^2 + (1-t)\|y\|^2 - t(1-t)\|x - y\|^2, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle. \quad (2.3)$$

Recall that a Banach space X is said to satisfy Opial's condition [17], if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X which converges weakly to x^* , then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \quad \forall y \in X \text{ with } y \neq x^*.$$

Lemma 2.9. [18] *Let $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ be the nonnegative real sequences satisfying the following conditions*

$$p_{n+1} \leq (1 + q_n)p_n + r_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n < \infty.$$

Then (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n$ exists; (ii) if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = 0$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let H be a real Hilbert space, $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a uniformly L -Lipschitzian and $\{l_n\}$ -quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive mapping with $\{L_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$, $L \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = 1$. Let $\{K_n : H \rightarrow H\}$ be a sequence of mappings defined by:*

$$K_n := (1 - \xi)I + \xi T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n). \quad (2.4)$$

If $0 < \xi < \eta < \frac{1}{\frac{M+1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(M+1)^2}{4} + L^2}}$, where $M = \sup_{n \geq 1} l_n$, then the following conclusions hold:

- (i) *$\text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n)) = \text{Fix}(K_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$;*
- (ii) *If T is demiclosed at 0, then K_1 is also demiclosed at 0;*
- (iii) *For all $n \geq 1$ and for all $x \in H, u^* \in \text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(K_n)$,*

$$\|K_n x - u^*\| \leq k_n \|x - u^*\|,$$

where $k_n = 1 + \xi(l_n - 1)(1 + \eta l_n)$, $\{k_n\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$.

Proof. (i) If $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$, i.e., $x^* = Tx^*$, we have

$$T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n)x^* = T^n((1 - \eta)x^* + \eta T^n x^*) = T^n x^* = x^*.$$

This shows that $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n))$. Conversely, if $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n))$ for all $n \geq 1$, i.e., $x^* = T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n)x^*$, letting $U^n = (1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n$, we have $T^n U^n x^* = x^*$.

Putting $U^n x^* = y^*$, we have $T^n y^* = x^*$. Now we prove that $x^* = y^*$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - y^*\| &= \|x^* - U^n x^*\| = \|x^* - ((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n)x^*\| \\ &= \eta \|x^* - T^n x^*\| = \eta \|T^n y^* - T^n x^*\| \leq L\eta \|x^* - y^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < L\eta < 1$, we have $x^* = y^*$, i.e., $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$. This shows that $\text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n))$ for all $n \geq 1$. It is obvious that $x \in \text{Fix}(K_n)$ if and only if $x \in \text{Fix}(T^n((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^n))$. The conclusion (i) is proved.

(ii) For any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset H$ satisfying $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ and $\|x_n - Kx_n\| \rightarrow 0$, we show that $x^* \in \text{Fix}(K)$. From conclusion (i), we only need to prove that $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$. In fact, since T is L -Lipschitzian, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - Tx_n\| &\leq \|x_n - T((1-\eta)I + \eta T)x_n\| + \|T((1-\eta)I + \eta T)x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi} \|x_n - (1-\xi)x_n - \xi T((1-\eta)I + \eta T)x_n\| + L\eta \|x_n - Tx_n\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\xi} \|x_n - K_1x_n\| + L\eta \|x_n - Tx_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying it, we have

$$\|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\xi(1-L\eta)} \|x_n - K_1x_n\| \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Since T is demiclosed at 0, we have $x^* \in F(T) = F(K)$. The conclusion (ii) is proved.

(iii) For all $u^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$, from (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T^n((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x - u^*\|^2 \\ &\leq l_n \|((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x - u^*)\|^2 + \|((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x - T^n((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x\|^2 \\ &= l_n \|(1-\eta)(x - u^*) + \eta(T^n x - u^*)\|^2 + \|((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x - T^n((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\|T^n x - u^*\|^2 \leq l_n \|x - u^*\|^2 + \|x - T^n x\|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Since T is L -Lip and $x - ((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x) = \eta(x - T^n x)$, we have

$$\|T^n x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\| \leq L\eta \|x - ((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\| = L\eta \|x - T^n x\|. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1-\eta)(x - u^*) + \eta(T^n x - u^*)\|^2 \\ &= (1-\eta)\|x - u^*\|^2 + \eta\|T^n x - u^*\|^2 - \eta(1-\eta)\|x - T^n x\|^2 \\ &\leq (1-\eta)\|x - u^*\|^2 + \eta(l_n\|x - u^*\|^2 + \|x - T^n x\|^2) - \eta(1-\eta)\|x - T^n x\|^2 \\ &= (1 + \eta(l_n - 1))\|x - u^*\|^2 + \eta^2\|x - T^n x\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.2) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x - T^n((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x\|^2 \\
&= \|(1-\eta)(x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)) + \eta(T^n x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x))\|^2 \\
&= (1-\eta)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 + \eta\|T^n x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 \\
&\quad - \eta(1-\eta)\|x - T^n x\|^2 \\
&\leq (1-\eta)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 - \eta(1-\eta-\eta^2 L^2)\|x - T^n x\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Substituting (2.9) and (2.10) into (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T^n((1-\eta)I + \eta T^n)x - u^*\|^2 \\
&\leq l_n(1 + \eta(l_n - 1))\|x - u^*\|^2 + l_n \eta^2 \|T^n x - x\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1-\eta)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 - \eta(1-\eta-\eta^2 L^2)\|T^n x - x\|^2 \\
&= l_n(1 + \eta(l_n - 1))\|x - u^*\|^2 + (1-\eta)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 \\
&\quad - \eta(1-\eta-\eta^2 L^2 - l_n \eta)\|T^n x - x\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since $\eta < \frac{1}{\frac{M+1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(M+1)^2}{4} + L^2}}$, we deduce $1 - \eta - \eta^2 L^2 - l_n \eta > 0$. From (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x) - u^*\|^2 \\
&\leq l_n(1 + \eta(l_n - 1))\|x - u^*\|^2 + (1-\eta)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|K_n x - u^*\|^2 = \|(1-\xi)x + \xi T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x) - u^*\|^2 \\
&= (1-\xi)\|x - u^*\|^2 + \xi\|T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x) - u^*\|^2 - \xi(1-\xi)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 \\
&\leq (1-\xi)\|x - u^*\|^2 + \xi l_n(1 + \eta(l_n - 1))\|x - u^*\|^2 \\
&\quad + (\xi(1-\eta) - \xi(1-\xi))\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2 \\
&= (1 + \xi(l_n - 1)(1 + \eta l_n))\|x - u^*\|^2 - \xi(\eta - \xi)\|x - T^n((1-\eta)x + \eta T^n x)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with $\xi < \eta$ implies that $\|K_n x - u^*\|^2 \leq k_n \|x - u^*\|^2$ for all $x \in H, u^* \in \text{Fix}(K_n)$ and $n \geq 1$, where $k_n = 1 + \xi(l_n - 1)(1 + \eta l_n)$. In view of that $\{l_n\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = 1$ we have $\{k_n\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$. The conclusion (iii) is proved.

2. Main results

Throughout this section, we assume that:

(1) H_1, H_2 and H_3 are three real Hilbert spaces, $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$, $B : H_2 \rightarrow H_3$ are two bounded linear operators and A^* and B^* are the adjoint operators of A and B , respectively;

(2) $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$ and $S : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$ are two uniformly L -Lipschitzian and $\{l_n\}$ -quasi-asymptotically pseudocontractive mappings with $L \geq 1$, $l_n \in [1, \infty)$, $l_n \rightarrow 1$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (l_n^2 - 1) < \infty$, $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$.

In the sequel, we denote by $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ the strong convergence and weak convergence of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ to a point $x \in H$, respectively.

Our object is to solve the following split equality fixed point problem:

$$\text{find } x \in \text{Fix}(T), y \in \text{Fix}(S) \text{ such that } Ax^* = By^*. \quad (3.1)$$

In the sequel we use Γ to denote the set of solutions of (3.1), that is,

$$\Gamma = \{(x^*, y^*) \in \text{Fix}(T) \times \text{Fix}(S) \text{ such that } Ax^* = By^*\}, \quad (3.2)$$

and we assume that $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$.

Now, we present our theorem for finding $(x^*, y^*) \in \Gamma$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $H_1, H_2, H_3, A, B, S, T, \Gamma, \{l_n\}$ be the same as above. Choose $\{\alpha_{n,i}\} \subset (0, 1)$ such that for each $n \geq 1$, $\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} = 1$ and for each $i \geq 0$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n,i} > 0$. Taking arbitrary $x_0 \in H_1, y_0 \in H_2$, the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ is generated by:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a) \ u_n = x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - By_n), \\ (b) \ x_{n+1} = \alpha_{n,0}x_n + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} K_i u_n, \\ (c) \ v_n = y_n + \gamma_n B^*(Ax_n - By_n), \\ (d) \ y_{n+1} = \alpha_{n,0}y_n + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} G_i v_n, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

where $K_i = (1 - \xi)I + \xi T^i((1 - \eta)I + \eta T^i)$ and $G_i = (1 - \xi)I + \xi S^i((1 - \eta)I + \eta S^i)$.

If T and S are demiclosed at 0 and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $\gamma_n \in (0, \min(\frac{1}{\|A\|^2}, \frac{1}{\|B\|^2}))$, $\forall n \geq 1$ with $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n > 0$;

(ii) $0 < a < \xi < \eta < b < \frac{1}{\frac{M+1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{(M+1)^2}{4} + L^2}}, \forall n \geq 1$, where $M = \sup_{n \geq 1} l_n$.

Then the following conclusions hold: (I) $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ converges weakly to a solution of problem (3.1); (II) In addition, if both S and T are also semi-compact, then $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ converges strongly to a solution of problem (3.1).

Proof. First we prove the conclusion (I).

For any given $(p, q) \in \Gamma$, we have $p \in \text{Fix}(T), q \in \text{Fix}(S)$ and $Ap = Bq$. From (3.3)(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - p\|^2 &= \|x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - By_n) - p\|^2 \\ &= \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|A^*(Ax_n - By_n)\|^2 - 2\gamma_n \langle x_n - p, A^*(Ax_n - By_n) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|A\|^2 \|Ax_n - By_n\|^2 - 2\gamma_n \langle Ax_n - Ap, Ax_n - By_n \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, from (3.3)(c), we have

$$\|v_n - q\|^2 \leq \|y_n - q\|^2 + \gamma_n^2 \|B\|^2 \|Ax_n - By_n\|^2 + 2\gamma_n \langle By_n - Bq, Ax_n - By_n \rangle. \quad (3.5)$$

By condition (ii) and Lemma 2.9, sequences $\{K_i\}$ and $\{G_i\}$ have the following properties:

- (i) $\text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(K_i)$ and $\text{Fix}(S) = \text{Fix}(G_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$;
- (ii) K_1 and G_1 are demiclosed at 0;
- (iii) For all $i \geq 1$ and for all $x \in H_1, y \in H_2, u^* \in \text{Fix}(T) = \text{Fix}(K_i), v^* \in \text{Fix}(S) = \text{Fix}(G_i)$, $\|K_i x - u^*\| \leq k_i \|x - u^*\|, \|G_i y - v^*\| \leq k_i \|y - v^*\|$, where $k_i = 1 + \xi(l_i - 1)(1 + \eta l_i), \{k_i\} \subset [1, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k_i = 1$. By the assumption that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (l_i^2 - 1) < \infty$, therefore we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (k_i - 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi(l_i - 1)(l_i + 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (l_i^2 - 1) < \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence from (3.3)(b) and (2.1), for any positive integer $l \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_{n,0}(x_n - p) + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(K_i u_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0} \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} \|K_i u_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,l} \|K_l u_n - x_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha_{n,0} \|x_n - p\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} k_i^2 \|u_n - p\|^2 - \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,l} \|K_l u_n - x_n\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Similarly from (3.3)(c) and (2.1), we have

$$||y_{n+1} - q||^2 \leq \alpha_{n,0}||y_n - q||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2||v_n - q||^2 - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,l}||G_lv_n - y_n||^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Adding up (3.7) and (3.8) and by using (3.4) and (3.5), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & ||x_{n+1} - p||^2 + ||y_{n+1} - q||^2 \\ & \leq \alpha_{n,0}||x_n - p||^2 + \alpha_{n,0}||y_n - q||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2(||u_n - p||^2 + ||v_n - q||^2) \\ & \quad - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,l}(||K_lu_n - x_n||^2 + ||G_lv_n - y_n||^2) \\ & \leq \alpha_{n,0}||x_n - p||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2\{||x_n - p||^2 + \gamma_n^2||A||^2||Ax_n - By_n||^2 \\ & \quad - 2\gamma_n\langle Ax_n - Ap, Ax_n - By_n \rangle\} + \alpha_{n,0}||y_n - q||^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2\{||y_n - q||^2 + \gamma_n^2||B||^2||Ax_n - By_n||^2 \\ & \quad + 2\gamma_n\langle By_n - Bq, Ax_n - By_n \rangle\} - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,l}(||K_lu_n - x_n||^2 + ||G_lv_n - y_n||^2) \\ & = (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(k_i^2 - 1))\{||x_n - p||^2 + ||y_n - q||^2\} \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2\gamma_n^2(||A||^2 + ||B||^2)||Ax_n - By_n||^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2\gamma_n||Ax_n - By_n||^2 \\ & \quad - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,l}(||K_lu_n - x_n||^2 + ||G_lv_n - y_n||^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Since $\gamma_n \in (0, \min\{\frac{1}{||A||^2}, \frac{1}{||B||^2}\})$, $\gamma_n||A||^2 < 1$ and $\gamma_n||B||^2 < 1$, we get $0 < \gamma_n(||A||^2 + ||B||^2) < 2$.

This implies that $\gamma_n(2 - \gamma_n(||A||^2 + ||B||^2)) > 0$. Putting

$$X_n(p, q) = ||x_n - p||^2 + ||y_n - q||^2, \quad (3.10)$$

hence (3.9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n+1}(p, q) & \leq (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(k_i^2 - 1))X_n(p, q) - \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}k_i^2\gamma_n(2 - \gamma_n(||A||^2 + ||B||^2))||Ax_n - By_n||^2 \\ & \quad - \alpha_{n,0}\alpha_{n,l}(||K_lu_n - x_n||^2 + ||G_lv_n - y_n||^2) \\ & \leq (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(k_i^2 - 1))X_n(p, q) \\ & = (1 + \sigma_n)X_n(p, q), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $\sigma_n = (\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(k_i^2 - 1))$. Since $k_n \rightarrow 1$, and by (3.6), $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (k_i - 1) < \infty$. This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (k_i^2 - 1) < \infty$. Again since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_n &= \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i}(k_i^2 - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (k_i^2 - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} (k_i^2 - 1) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$, by virtue of Lemma 2.8, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n(p, q)$ exists. Therefore the following limits exist:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - q\| \quad \forall (p, q) \in \Gamma. \quad (3.12)$$

Rewrite (3.11) as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_{n,i} k_i^2 \gamma_n (2 - \gamma_n (||A||^2 + ||B||^2)) ||Ax_n - By_n||^2 \\ &+ \alpha_{n,0} \alpha_{n,l} (||K_l u_n - x_n||^2 + ||G_l v_n - y_n||^2) \\ &\leq (1 + \sigma_n) X_n(p, q) - X_{n+1}(p, q). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and taking limit in (3.13), we have for all $l = 1, 2, \dots$

$$||Ax_n - By_n|| \rightarrow 0; \quad ||K_l u_n - x_n|| \rightarrow 0; \quad ||G_l v_n - y_n|| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.14) and (3.3) we have that

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||u_n - x_n|| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||v_n - y_n|| = 0. \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i} ||K_i u_n - x_n|| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i} \sup_{i \in I} ||K_i u_n - x_n|| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in I} ||K_i u_n - x_n|| = 0. \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ||y_{n+1} - y_n|| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i} ||G_i v_n - y_n|| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n,i} \sup_{i \in I} ||G_i v_n - y_n|| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{i \in I} ||G_i v_n - y_n|| = 0. \end{aligned} \right. \quad (3.15)$$

This together with (3.14) shows that

$$\begin{cases} \|K_1 u_n - u_n\| \leq \|K_1 u_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0; \\ \|G_1 v_n - v_n\| \leq \|G_1 v_n - y_n\| + \|y_n - v_n\| \rightarrow 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are bounded sequences, there exist some weakly convergent subsequences, say $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{n_i}\} \subset \{y_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x^*$ and $y_{n_i} \rightharpoonup y^*$. Since every Hilbert space has the Opial's property which guarantees that the weakly subsequential limit of $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$ is unique. Therefore we have $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$, and $y_n \rightharpoonup y^*$.

On the other hand, from (3.15), it gets that $u_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ and $v_n \rightharpoonup y^*$. By (3.16) and the demiclosed property of K_1 and G_1 , we have $K_1 x^* = x^*$ and $G_1 y^* = y^*$. This implies that $x^* \in \text{Fix}(T)$ and $y^* \in \text{Fix}(S)$.

Now we show that $Ax^* = By^*$. In fact, since $Ax_n - By_n \rightharpoonup Ax^* - By^*$, by using the weakly lower semi-continuity of norm, we have

$$\|Ax^* - By^*\|^2 \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n - By_n\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Ax_n - By_n\|^2 = 0.$$

Thus $Ax^* = By^*$. This completes the proof of the conclusion (I).

Now we prove the conclusion (II). In fact, since K_1 is uniformly continuous, we have $\|K_1 u_n - K_1 x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence from (3.15), we have

$$\|x_n - K_1 x_n\| \leq \|x_n - K_1 u_n\| + \|K_1 u_n - K_1 x_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.17)$$

Similarly, we can also prove that

$$\|y_n - G_1 y_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3.18)$$

By virtue of (2.5), (3.14), (3.17) and (3.18), we have

$$\begin{cases} \|x_n - Tx_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\xi(1-L\eta)} \|x_n - K_1 x_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty); \\ \|y_n - Sy_n\| \leq \frac{1}{\xi(1-L\eta)} \|y_n - G_1 y_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

Since S, T are semi-compact, it follows from (3.19) that there exist subsequences $\{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\}$ and $\{y_{n_j}\} \subset \{y_n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x$ (some point in $\text{Fix}(T)$) and $y_{n_j} \rightarrow y$ (some point in $\text{Fix}(S)$).

It follows from (3.12), $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ and $y_n \rightharpoonup y^*$ that $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ and $y_n \rightarrow y^*$ and $Ax^* = By^*$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Scientific Research Fund of Sichuan Provincial Department of Science and Technology (2015JY0165) and the Scientific Research Fund of Sichuan Provincial Education Department (16ZA0331) and the Natural Science Foundation of Center for General Education, China Medical University, Taiwan

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Censor, T. Elfving, A multiprojection algorithm using Bregman projections in a product space, *Numer. Algorithms* 8 (1994), 221-239.
- [2] C. Byrne, Iterative oblique projection onto convex subsets and the split feasibility problem, *Inverse Probl.* 18 (2002)441-453.
- [3] Y. Censor, T. Bortfeld, N. Martin, A. Trofimov, A unified approach for inversion problem in intensity-modulated radiation therapy, *Phys. Med. Biol.* 51 (2006), 2353-2365.
- [4] Y. Censor, T. Elfving, N. Kopf, T. Bortfeld, The multiple-sets split feasibility problem and its applications. *Inverse Prob.* 21 (2005), 2071-2084.
- [5] Y. Censor, A. Motova, A. Segal, Perturbed projections and subgradient projections for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 327 (2007) 1244-1256.
- [6] J.F. Tang, S.S. Chang, Strong convergence theorem of two-step iterative algorithm for split feasibility problems, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 280.
- [7] J.F. Tang, S.S. Chang, F. Yuan, A strong convergence theorem for equilibrium problems and split feasibility problems in Hilbert spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 36.
- [8] N. Fang, Y. Gong, Viscosity iterative methods for split variational inclusion problems and fixed point problems of a nonexpansive mapping, *Commun. Optim. Theory* 2016 (2016), Article ID 11.
- [9] S.S. Chang, R.P. Agarwal, Strong convergence theorems of general split equality problems for quasi-nonexpansive mappings, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 367.
- [10] S.S. Chang, L. Wang, Y.K. Tang, G. Wang, Moudafi's open question and simultaneous iterative algorithm for general split equality variational inclusion problems and general split equality optimization problems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 215.
- [11] A. Moudafi, A relaxed alternating CQ algorithm for convex feasibility problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 79 (2013), 117-121.

- [12] A. Moudafi, Split monotone variational inclusions, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* 150 (2011), 275-283.
- [13] A Moudafi, E. Al-Shemas, Simultaneous iterative methods for split equality problem, *Trans. Math. Program. Appl.* 1 (2013), 1-11.
- [14] H. Che, M. Li, A simultaneous iterative method for split equality problems of two finite families of strictly pseudocontractive mappings without prior knowledge of operator norms, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), Article ID 1.
- [15] S.S. Chang, L. Wang, L.J. Qin, Split equality fixed point problem for quasi-pseudo-contractive mappings with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2015 (2015), Article ID 208.
- [16] K. Goebel, W.A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35 (1972), 171-174.
- [17] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximation for nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 73 (1967), 591-597.
- [18] Q.H. Liu, Iterative sequence for asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with errors member, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001), 18-24.