



## A FAMILY OF BALANCED AND ABSORBING SETS WITH EMPTY INTERIOR

FRANCISCO JAVIER GARCÍA-PACHECO\*, ENRIQUE NARANJO-GUERRA

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cadiz, Puerto Real, Spain, 11519, EU

**Abstract.** A balanced and absorbing subset with empty interior has already been explicitly constructed in every normed space of dimension strictly greater than 1 (see [4]). However this construction varies depending whether the normed space is separable or not. In this note, we provide a unique construction by means of a family of balanced and absorbing sets with empty interior in every normed space of dimension strictly greater than 1.

**Keywords.** Absorbing; Balanced; Convex; Empty interior; LCH.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 54C05, 46A03, 46A55.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces (LCH) will be considered to have dimension strictly greater than 1. If  $X$  is an LCH, then by  $\mathcal{A}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and  $\mathcal{F}_X$  we denote the set of absorbing, balanced, convex, and closed subsets of  $X$ , respectively. The filter of neighborhoods of 0 will be denoted by  $\mathcal{U}_0$ . It is trivial that  $\mathcal{U}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_X$ .

Recall that every vector space can be endowed, for instance, with a norm or with the finest locally convex vector topology. A vector space endowed with the finest locally convex vector topology is called a finest LCH. Note that

---

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [garcia.pacheco@uca.es](mailto:garcia.pacheco@uca.es) (F. J. García-Pacheco), [enrique.naranjo@alum.uca.es](mailto:enrique.naranjo@alum.uca.es) (E. Naranjo-Guerra).

Received March 8, 2017; Accepted March 26, 2017.

- If  $X$  is finest, then  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{C}_X$  (see [2, TVS II.26], [1, Page 142, Exercise 4.1.22] and [3, Theorem 2.1]).
- If  $X$  is Baire, then  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{F}_X = \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{F}_X$  (it is a trivial exercise).
- If  $X$  is barrelled, then  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_X \cap \mathcal{C}_X \cap \mathcal{F}_X = \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{B}_X \cap \mathcal{C}_X \cap \mathcal{F}_X$  (by definition of barrelledness).
- If  $X$  is normed and separable, then  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_X \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{B}_X$  (see [4, Theorem 3.2]).
- If  $X$  is normed and infinite dimensional, then  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_X \cap \mathcal{C}_X \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{B}_X \cap \mathcal{C}_X$  (see [4, Corollary 2.2]).

In [4, Theorem 3.2] it was explicitly constructed an example of a balanced and absorbing bounded set with empty interior in every separable normed space. By relying on this fact, the strict inclusion  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_X \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{B}_X$  can be easily shown for  $X$  an LCH.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $X$  is an LCH, then  $X$  has a balanced and absorbing subset with empty interior. In particular,  $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_X \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{B}_X$ .*

**Proof** By [4, Theorem 3.2] we may assume that  $X$  is infinite dimensional. Let  $Y$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$  and let  $Z$  be a topological complement for  $Y$  in  $X$ . In accordance with [4, Theorem 3.2], we can find a balanced and absorbing subset  $W_Y$  of  $Y$  with empty interior. Now fix an arbitrary balanced and absorbing neighborhood  $U_Z$  of 0 in  $Z$ . We will show that  $W := W_Y + U_Z$  verifies the desired properties. It is a straight forward exercise to check that  $W$  is balanced and absorbing. Finally, since linear projections are open mappings, if  $W$  has non-empty interior in  $X$ , then  $W_Y$  has non-empty interior in  $Y$ , which contradicts the choice of  $W_Y$ . As a consequence,  $W$  has empty interior in  $X$ .

Notice that, according to the items remarked at the beginning of the introduction, the best strict inclusion concerning the sets  $\mathcal{A}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_X$  and  $\mathcal{U}_0$  is given by Theorem 1.1.

The aim of this paper is to provide a unique construction of a balanced and absorbing set with empty interior that works for all normed spaces, not only for separable normed spaces like in [4, Theorem 3.2].

The standard notation used throughout this manuscript follows to conclude the introduction:

- $\mathbb{K}$  stands for the real or complex field.

- If  $Z$  is a topological space and  $A$  is a subset of  $Z$ , then  $\text{int}(A)$ ,  $\text{cl}(A)$ , and  $\text{bd}(A)$  will denote the topological interior, the topological closure, and the topological boundary of  $A$ , respectively.
- Given a normed space  $X$ , the open unit ball of  $X$  will be denoted by  $U_X$ , the closed unit ball or simply the unit ball of  $X$  will be denoted by  $B_X$ , and the unit sphere of  $X$  is  $S_X$ . The open ball of center  $x$  and radius  $s$  will be denoted by  $U_X(x, s)$ , the closed ball of center  $x$  and radius  $s$  will be denoted by  $B_X(x, s)$ , and the unit sphere of center  $x$  and radius  $s$  is  $S_X(x, s)$ .

## 2. Main results

### 2.1. Construction of the set $W_\gamma$

We recall the reader that if  $A$  is an absorbing set, then  $\mathbf{j}_A$  stands for the Minkowski functional of  $A$ . Here we will apply the inverse process, that is, we start off with a function and end up with an absorbing (and balanced) set.

Let us define the following equivalence relation on  $S_X$

$$\mathcal{R} := \{(x, y) \in S_X \times S_X : x \in \mathbb{K}y\}.$$

A function  $\gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is said to be  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant provided that  $\gamma(x) = \gamma(y)$  whenever  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. We define the following two balanced and absorbing sets generated by  $\gamma$ :

- $W_\gamma := \bigcup_{x \in S_X} \gamma(x) B_{\mathbb{K}} x.$
- $V_\gamma := \bigcup_{x \in S_X} \gamma(x) U_{\mathbb{K}} x.$

The following proposition highlights the main characteristics of the set  $W_\gamma$ .

**Proposition 2.1.2.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant.

- (1)  $W_\gamma = \left\{ x \in X \setminus \{0\} : \|x\| \leq \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\} \cup \{0\}.$
- (2)  $V_\gamma = \left\{ x \in X \setminus \{0\} : \|x\| < \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right\} \cup \{0\}.$
- (3)  $\mathbf{j}_{W_\gamma}(x) = \frac{\|x\|}{\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)}$  for all  $x \neq 0$ .

- (4)  $V_\gamma$  is dense in  $W_\gamma$  and both  $W_\gamma$  and  $V_\gamma$  are balanced, absorbing and are contained in  $B_X$ .
- (5) If  $W$  is a bounded, balanced and absorbing subset of  $X$ , then there exists an  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant function  $\gamma: S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $V_\gamma \subseteq \alpha W \subseteq W_\gamma$ .

**Proof.** We will only sketch the proofs of the first, third and last items, since the proofs of the other items are either similar or trivial.

- (1) Let  $x \in W_\gamma \setminus \{0\}$  and write  $x = \gamma(y)ty$  for some  $t \in B_{\mathbb{K}}$  and  $y \in S_X$ . Note that  $\|x\| = |t|\gamma(y) \leq \gamma(y) = \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)$  since  $\left(y, \frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \in \mathcal{R}$ . Conversely, let  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\|x\| \leq \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)$ . Observe that

$$x = \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \frac{\|x\|}{\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} \frac{x}{\|x\|} \in \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) B_{\mathbb{K}} \frac{x}{\|x\|} \subseteq W_\gamma.$$

- (3) Recall that  $\mathbf{j}_{W_\gamma}(x) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : x \in \lambda W_\gamma\}$ . On the one hand, by the first item we have that

$$\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \frac{x}{\|x\|} \in W_\gamma,$$

so

$$x \in \frac{\|x\|}{\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} W_\gamma,$$

which means that

$$\mathbf{j}_{W_\gamma}(x) \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\lambda > 0$  is so that  $x \in \lambda W_\gamma$ , then  $\frac{1}{\lambda}x \in W_\gamma$  and again by the first item we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}\|x\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda}x \right\| \leq \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right),$$

that is,

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} \leq \lambda,$$

and hence

$$\frac{\|x\|}{\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} \leq \mathbf{j}_{W_\gamma}(x).$$

- (5) We may assume without any loss of generality that  $W$  is a balanced and absorbing subset of  $X$  contained in  $B_X$ . Define

$$\gamma(x) := \frac{1}{\mathbf{j}_W(x)}$$

for all  $x \in S_X$ . Observe the following:

- $\gamma$  is well defined. Indeed, if  $\mathbf{j}_W(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in S_X$ , then there exist a null sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of strictly positive numbers and a sequence  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq W$  such that  $x = \lambda_n w_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\|w_n\| \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to 0, we deduce that  $x = 0$ .
- $V_\gamma = (\mathbf{j}_W)^{-1}([0, 1))$ . Indeed, for all nonzero  $x$  we have that

$$\gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{j}_W\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)} = \frac{\|x\|}{\mathbf{j}_W(x)},$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{j}_W(x) < 1$  if and only if  $\|x\| < \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right)$ .

- $W_\gamma = (j_W)^{-1}([0, 1])$ . It follows a similar proof as the above bullet.

By taking into account the previous proposition, the following example is nearly trivial.

**Example 2.1.3.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and consider another norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $X$  such that  $\|\cdot\| \leq |\cdot|$ . If we denote by  $B_{|\cdot|}$  to the unit ball induced by the norm  $|\cdot|$ , then it is not difficult to see that  $B_{|\cdot|} = W_\gamma$  where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: S_X &\rightarrow (0, 1] \\ x &\mapsto \gamma(x) := \frac{1}{|x|}. \end{aligned}$$

We will finish this subsection with examples of a  $W_\gamma$ -set which is not linearly closed and a  $V_\gamma$ -set which is not linearly open. Recall that a set in a vector space is linearly open if it is composed only of internal points (see [2, TVS II.26]) and linearly closed if its complementary is linearly open.

**Example 2.1.4.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and fix arbitrary elements  $y, z \in S_X$  such that  $(y, z) \notin \mathcal{R}$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: S_X &\rightarrow (0, 1] \\ x &\mapsto \gamma(x) := \begin{cases} 1/5 & x \in \mathbb{K}y, \\ 3/5 & x \notin \mathbb{K}y \cup \mathbb{K}z, \\ 1 & x \in \mathbb{K}z. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Note that

- $\frac{2}{5}y \in X \setminus W_\gamma$  but it is not an internal point of  $X \setminus W_\gamma$ .
- $\frac{4}{5}z \in V_\gamma$  but it is not an internal point of  $V_\gamma$ .

## 2.2. Closure of $W_\gamma$

Recall that a function  $f : A \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  between a metric space  $A$  and the extended real line is said to be upper semicontinuous at  $a \in A$  provided that

$$\limsup_{b \rightarrow a} f(b) \leq f(a).$$

**Theorem 2.2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. Then  $W_\gamma$  is closed if and only if  $\gamma$  is upper semicontinuous.*

**Proof.** Assume first that  $\gamma$  is upper semicontinuous. Let  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq W_\gamma \setminus \{0\}$  be convergent to some  $y \in B_X \setminus \{0\}$ . In accordance with Proposition 2.1.2 (1) and taking into consideration that  $\gamma$  is upper semicontinuous, we have that  $\|y_n\| \leq \gamma\left(\frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|}\right)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means that

$$\|y\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|}\right) \leq \gamma\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right).$$

By applying again Proposition 2.1.2 (1), we obtain that  $y \in W_\gamma$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $W_\gamma$  is closed. Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$  be convergent to some  $x \in S_X$ . In virtue of Proposition 2.1.2 (1), we have that  $(\gamma(x_n)x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq W_\gamma \setminus \{0\}$ . Since  $W_\gamma$  is closed, we have that

$$\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(x_n)\right)x \in W_\gamma.$$

Now Proposition 2.1.2 (1) comes again into play to assure that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(x_n) = \left\| \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma(x_n)\right)x \right\| \leq \gamma(x).$$

In order to find the closure of  $W_\gamma$  we will strongly rely on the function

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma} : S_X &\rightarrow (0, 1] \\ a &\mapsto \bar{\gamma}(a) := \max \left\{ \gamma(a), \limsup_{y \rightarrow a} \gamma(y) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which trivially verifies the following properties:

- If  $x \in S_X$ , then there exists a sequence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$  converging to  $x$  such that  $(\gamma(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\bar{\gamma}(x)$ .

- $\bar{\gamma}$  is upper semi-continuous and  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant.

**Corollary 2.2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma: S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. Then  $\text{cl}(W_\gamma) = W_{\bar{\gamma}}$ .*

**Proof.**

- $\subseteq$  Since  $\gamma \leq \bar{\gamma}$ , Proposition 2.1.2 (1) allows us to conclude that  $W_\gamma \subseteq W_{\bar{\gamma}}$ . By keeping in mind that  $\bar{\gamma}$  is upper semicontinuous and in accordance with Theorem 2.2.1, we deduce that  $\text{cl}(W_\gamma) \subseteq W_{\bar{\gamma}}$ .
- $\supseteq$  Let  $x \in S_X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{K}}$ . There exists a sequence  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S_X$  converging to  $x$  such that  $(\gamma(y_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\bar{\gamma}(x)$ . Then  $(\gamma(y_n)ty_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq W_\gamma$  converges to  $\bar{\gamma}(x)tx$ .

As an immediate consequence of the previous corollary we obtain a sufficient condition for  $W_\gamma$  to be dense in  $B_X$ .

**Scholium 2.2.3.** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma: S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. If  $\gamma^{-1}(\{1\})$  is dense in  $S_X$ , then  $W_\gamma$  is dense in  $B_X$ .

**Proof.** It only suffices to bear in mind Corollary 2.2.2 together with the fact that  $W_{\bar{\gamma}} = B_X$  if and only if  $\bar{\gamma}$  is the constant function 1.

### 2.3. Interior of $W_\gamma$

First off, observe that the lack of convexity of  $W_\gamma$  could make possible that  $W_\gamma$  not be a neighborhood of 0 and have non-empty interior.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma: S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $z \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $v \in S_X$ .*

- (1)  $B_X(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq W_\gamma$  if and only if  $\varepsilon \leq \inf_{x \in S_X} \gamma(x)$ .
- (2) If  $B_X(z, \varepsilon) \subseteq W_\gamma$ , then  $\|z\| \leq \inf \left\{ \gamma(x) : x \in S_X \cap B_X\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|}, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|z\|}\right) \right\}$ .
- (3) If  $\delta := \inf \{ \gamma(x) : x \in S_X \cap B_X(v, \varepsilon) \} > 0$ , then  $B_X\left(\frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v, \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right) \subseteq W_\gamma$ .

**Proof.**

- (1) Assume first that  $B_X(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq W_\gamma$  and fix an arbitrary  $x \in S_X$ . Notice that  $\varepsilon x \in B_X(0, \varepsilon) \subseteq W_\gamma$ . From Proposition 2.1.2 (1) we deduce that  $\varepsilon = \|\varepsilon x\| \leq \gamma(x)$ . Conversely, assume that  $\varepsilon \leq \inf_{x \in S_X} \gamma(x)$  and let  $y \in B_X(0, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $0 \in W_\gamma$ , we may assume that  $y \neq 0$ . Then  $\|y\| \leq \varepsilon \leq \gamma\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right)$ . Again by Proposition 2.1.2 (1),  $y \in W_\gamma$ .

- (2) Fix an arbitrary element  $x \in S_X \cap B_X \left( \frac{z}{\|z\|}, \frac{\varepsilon}{\|z\|} \right)$ . Notice that  $\|z\|x \in B_X(z, \varepsilon) \subseteq W_\gamma$ . In virtue of Proposition 2.1.2 (1),  $\|z\| = \|\|z\|x\| \leq \gamma(x)$ .
- (3) Let  $y \in B_X \left( \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v, \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)} \right)$ . Notice that

$$\frac{\delta}{1+\varepsilon} = \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)} - \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \|y\| \leq \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)} + \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)} = \delta$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{y}{\|y\|} - v \right\| &= \frac{\|y - \|y\|v\|}{\|y\|} \\ &\leq \frac{\left\| y - \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v \right\| + \left\| \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v - \|y\|v \right\|}{\|y\|} \\ &= \frac{\left\| y - \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v \right\| + \left| \left\| \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v \right\| - \|y\| \right|}{\|y\|} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \left\| y - \frac{(2+\varepsilon)\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)}v \right\|}{\|y\|} \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\varepsilon\delta}{2(1+\varepsilon)} \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\delta} \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,  $\frac{y}{\|y\|} \in S_X \cap B_X(v, \varepsilon)$ , so by hypothesis

$$\|y\| \leq \delta \leq \gamma \left( \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right)$$

which implies in accordance with Proposition 2.1.2 (1) that  $y \in W_\gamma$ .

A function  $\gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$  is said to be rare provided that  $\inf \gamma(U) = 0$  for all open subsets  $U$  of  $S_X$ .

**Corollary 2.3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $\gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1]$   $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant. Then  $\text{int}(W_\gamma) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is rare.*

As a consequence,  $\{W_\gamma : \gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1] \text{ is } \mathcal{R}\text{-invariant and rare}\}$  is a family of balanced and absorbing sets with empty interior, provided that the set of proper  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant functions is not empty. In the next subsection, we show examples of such functions.

## 2.4. The strict inclusion $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_X \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_X \cap \mathcal{B}_X$



The final subsection begins with a combination of [4, Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 3.2] into a single result with a very simple proof. However first a couple of technical lemmas.

**Lemma 2.4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a separable normed space. If  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a dense sequence in  $S_X$  with pairwise different elements, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: S_X &\rightarrow (0, 1] \\ x &\mapsto \gamma(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \in S_X \setminus \{\lambda x_n : \lambda \in S_{\mathbb{K}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ 1/n & x \in \mathbb{K}x_n, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

*is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant rare function.*

The proof of the previous lemma is omitted for simplicity.

**Lemma 2.4.2.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional normed space. Let  $\{e_i : i \in I\}$  be a Hamel basis for  $X$  contained in  $S_X$  except for a countably infinite subset  $\{e_{i_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  which verifies that  $\|e_{i_n}\| = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: S_X &\rightarrow (0, 1] \\ x &\mapsto \gamma(x) := \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k |\lambda_i|} \text{ where } x = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i e_i. \end{aligned}$$

*is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -invariant rare function.*

**Proof.** Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $S_X$  and consider any  $x \in U$ . We can fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\frac{x + \varepsilon n e_{i_n}}{\|x + \varepsilon n e_{i_n}\|} \in U$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  so that if  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $e_{i_n}$  does not appear in the decomposition of  $x$ .

Now observe that

$$\gamma\left(\frac{x + \varepsilon n e_{i_n}}{\|x + \varepsilon n e_{i_n}\|}\right) \leq \frac{\|x + \varepsilon n e_{i_n}\|}{\varepsilon n} \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\varepsilon n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

As a consequence,  $\inf \gamma(U) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.4.3.** *If  $X$  is a normed space, then there exists a balanced and absorbing subset of  $X$  with empty interior.*

**Proof.** Simply consider the family  $\{W_\gamma : \gamma : S_X \rightarrow (0, 1] \text{ is } \mathcal{R}\text{-invariant and rare}\}$ .

## Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the MINECO and FEDER under Grant MTM2014-58984-P.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. Borwein, J. D. Vanderwerff, Convex functions: constructions, characterizations and counterexamples, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 109. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, Topological vector spaces. Chapters 1–5. Translated from the French by H. G. Eggleston and S. Madan. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] F. J. García-Pacheco, Non-continuous linear functionals on topological vector spaces, Banach J. Math. Anal. 2 (2008), 11-15.
- [4] F. J. García-Pacheco, E. Naranjo-Guerra, Balanced and absorbing sets with empty interior, Adv. Geom. 16 (2016), 477-480.