



GLOBAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY IN NONLINEAR NEUTRAL DYNAMIC EQUATIONS ON TIME SCALES

MALIK BELAID¹, ABDELOUAHEB ARDJOUNI^{2,*}, AHCENE DJOUDI¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Annaba University, Algeria

²Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Souk Ahras University, Algeria

Abstract. This paper is mainly concerned the global asymptotic stability of the zero solution of a class of nonlinear neutral dynamic equations in C_{rd}^1 . By converting the nonlinear neutral dynamic equation into an equivalent integral equation, our main results are obtained via the Banach contraction mapping principle.

Keywords. Fixed point; Neutral dynamic equation, Asymptotic stability, Time scales.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34K20, 34K40.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of time scales analysis is a fairly new idea. In 1988, it was introduced by the German mathematician Stefan Hilger in his Ph.D. thesis [1]. It combines the traditional areas of continuous and discrete analysis into one theory. After the publication of two textbooks in this area by Bohner and Peterson [2] and [3], more and more researchers were getting involved in this fast-growing field of mathematics. The study of dynamic equations brings together the traditional research areas of differential and difference equations. It allows one to handle these two research areas at the same time, hence shedding light on the reasons for their seeming discrepancies. In fact, many new results for the continuous and discrete cases have been obtained by studying the more general time scales case; see [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and the references therein.

There is no doubt that the Lyapunov method have been used successfully to investigate stability properties of wide variety of ordinary, functional and partial equations. Nevertheless, the application of this method to the problem of stability in differential equations with delay has encountered serious difficulties if the delay is unbounded or if the equation has unbounded term. It has been noticed that some of theses difficulties vanish by using fixed point techniques. Other advantages of the fixed point theory

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: malikbelaid51@gmail.com (M. Belaid), abd.ardjouni@yahoo.fr (A. Ardjouni), adjoudi@yahoo.com (A. Djoudi).

Received April 14, 2017; Accepted December 7, 2017.

over the Lyapunov's method is that the conditions of the former are average while those of the latter are pointwise; see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] and references therein.

In this paper, we consider the following neutral nonlinear dynamic equations with variable delays given by

$$x^\Delta(t) = -a(t)x^\sigma(t) + c(t)x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(t - \tau_1(t)) + q(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_2(t))), \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial condition

$$x(t) = \varphi(t), \quad t \in [d_{t_0}, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T},$$

where

$$d_{t_0} = \inf_{t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}} \{t - \tau_1(t), t - \tau_2(t)\},$$

for each $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and \mathbb{T} is an unbounded above and below time scale and such that $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$.

Our results are obtained with no need of further assumptions on the delta-differentiable of the neutral coefficient c and the twice delta-differentiable of τ with $\tau^\Delta(t) \neq 1$ for $t \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, so that for a given initial function $\varphi \in \Phi_{t_0}$ a mapping P for (1.1) is constructed in such a way to map a , carefully chosen, closed convex nonempty subset D of a Banach space X into itself on which P is a contraction mapping possessing a fixed point. This procedure will enable us to establish and prove by means of the contraction mapping theorem ([15], p. 2) the global asymptotic stability in C_{rd}^1 for the zero solution of (1.1) with a less restrictive conditions. In the special case $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, Liu and Yan in [16] showed that the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable in C^1 by using the contraction mapping theorem. Our results presented in this paper mainly extend the work of Liu and Yan [16].

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we consider some advanced topics in the theory of dynamic equations on a time scales. Again, we remind that for a review of this topic we direct the reader to the monographs of Bohner and Peterson [2] and [3].

A time scale \mathbb{T} is a closed nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . For $t \in \mathbb{T}$ the forward jump operator σ , and the backward jump operator ρ , respectively, are defined as $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ and $\rho(t) = \sup\{t \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$. These operators allow elements in the time scale to be classified as follows. We say t is right scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$ and right dense if $\sigma(t) = t$. We say t is left scattered if $\rho(t) < t$ and left dense if $\rho(t) = t$. The graininess function $\mu : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, is defined by $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$ and gives the distance between an element and its successor. We set $\inf \emptyset = \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sup \emptyset = \inf \mathbb{T}$. If \mathbb{T} has a left scattered maximum M , we define $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{M\}$. Otherwise, we define $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$. If \mathbb{T} has a right scattered minimum m , we define $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T} \setminus \{m\}$. Otherwise, we define $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The delta derivative of $f(t)$, denoted $f^\Delta(t)$, is defined to be the number (when it exists), with the property that, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood U of t such that

$$\left| f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t) [\sigma(t) - s] \right| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|,$$

for all $s \in U$. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ then $f^\Delta(t) = f'(t)$ is the usual derivative. If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then $f^\Delta(t) = \Delta f(t) = f(t+1) - f(t)$ is the forward difference of f at t .

A function f is right dense continuous (rd-continuous), $f \in C_{rd} = C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, if it is continuous at every right dense point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and its left-hand limits exist at each left dense point $t \in \mathbb{T}$. The function

$f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable on \mathbb{T}^k provided that $f^\Delta(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. $f \in C_{rd}^1 = C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ if $f^\Delta \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

We are now ready to state some properties of the delta-derivative of f . Note $f^\sigma(t) = f(\sigma(t))$.

Theorem 2.1 ([2, Theorem 1.20]). *Assume $f, g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ and let α be a scalar.*

(i) $(f + g)^\Delta(t) = g^\Delta(t) + f^\Delta(t)$.

(ii) $(\alpha f)^\Delta(t) = \alpha f^\Delta(t)$.

(iii) *The product rules*

$$(fg)^\Delta(t) = f^\Delta(t)g(t) + f^\sigma(t)g^\Delta(t),$$

$$(fg)^\Delta(t) = f(t)g^\Delta(t) + f^\Delta(t)g^\sigma(t).$$

(iv) *If $g(t)g^\sigma(t) \neq 0$, then*

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\Delta(t) = \frac{f^\Delta(t)g(t) - f(t)g^\Delta(t)}{g(t)g^\sigma(t)}.$$

The next theorem is the chain rule on time scales ([2, Theorem 1.93]).

Theorem 2.2 (Chain rule). *Assume that $v : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing and $\tilde{\mathbb{T}} := v(\mathbb{T})$ is a time scale. Let $\omega : \tilde{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $v^\Delta(t)$ and $\omega^\Delta(v(t))$ exist for $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, then $(\omega \circ v)^\Delta = (\omega^\Delta \circ v)v^\Delta$.*

In the sequel we will need to differentiate and integrate functions of the form $f(t - \tau(t)) = f(v(t))$, where $v(t) := t - \tau(t)$. Our next theorem is the substitution rule ([2, Theorem 1.98]).

Theorem 2.3 (Substitution). *Assume that $v : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing and $\tilde{\mathbb{T}} := v(\mathbb{T})$ is a time scale. If $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is rd-continuous function and v is differentiable with rd-continuous derivative, then, for $a, b \in T$,*

$$\int_a^b f(t)v^\Delta(t)\Delta t = \int_{v(a)}^{v(b)} (f \circ v^{-1})(s)\tilde{\Delta} s.$$

A function $p : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be regressive provided $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. The set of all regressive rd-continuous function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is denoted by \mathcal{R} . The set of all positively regressive functions \mathcal{R}^+ , is given by $\mathcal{R}^+ = \{f \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + \mu(t)f(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}\}$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\mu(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. The exponential function on \mathbb{T} is defined by

$$e_p(t, s) = \exp\left(\int_s^t \frac{1}{\mu(z)} \log(1 + \mu(z)p(z))\Delta z\right).$$

It is well known that if $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $e_p(t, s) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Also, the exponential function $y(t) = e_p(t, s)$ is the solution to the initial value problem $y^\Delta = p(t)y$, $y(s) = 1$. Other properties of the exponential function are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 ([2, Theorem 2.36]). *Let $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$. Then*

(i) $e_0(t, s) = 1$ and $e_p(t, t) = 1$,

(ii) $e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s)$,

(iii) $\frac{1}{e_p(t, s)} = e_{\ominus p}(t, s)$, where $\ominus p(t) = -\frac{p(t)}{1 + \mu(t)p(t)}$,

(iv) $e_p(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_p(s, t)} = e_{\ominus p}(s, t)$,

(v) $e_p(t, s)e_p(s, r) = e_p(t, r)$,

(vi) $e_p^\Delta(\cdot, s) = pe_p(\cdot, s)$ and $\left(\frac{1}{e_p(\cdot, s)}\right)^\Delta = -\frac{p(t)}{e_p^2(\cdot, s)}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([4]). *If $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then*

$$0 < e_p(t, s) \leq \exp \left(\int_s^t p(u) \Delta u \right), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{T}.$$

3. GLOBAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

In this section, we study the global asymptotic stability in C_{rd}^1 of the zero solution to Eq. (1.1). We introduce the following hypotheses.

- (H₁) $a, c \in C_{rd}([0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, $q \in C_{rd}([0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, $\tau_i \in C_{rd}([0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}, (0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T})$ and $(id - \tau_i)([0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T})$ is closed with $t - \tau_i(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $i = 1, 2$.
(H₂) For $t \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, $q(t, 0, 0) = 0$, and there exist $L_1, L_2 \in C_{rd}([0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}, (0, \infty))$ such that

$$|q(t, x_1, y_1) - q(t, x_2, y_2)| \leq L_1(t) |x_1 - x_2| + L_2(t) |y_1 - y_2|,$$

for any $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$.

- (H₃) $a \in \mathcal{R}^+$ is bounded on $[0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t a(s) \Delta s > -\infty$.
(H₄) There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $t \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$,

$$\int_0^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \leq \alpha,$$

and

$$|a(t)| \int_0^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u + |c(t)| + L_1(t) + L_2(t) \leq \alpha.$$

For each $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, denote $C_{rd}^1(t_0) = C_{rd}^1([d_{t_0}, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with the norm defined by

$$|x|_{t_0} = \max_{t \in [d_{t_0}, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T}} \left\{ |x(t)|, |x^\Delta(t)| \right\}$$

for $x \in C_{rd}^1(t_0)$. In addition, denote

$$\Phi_{t_0} = \left\{ \varphi \in C_{rd}^1(t_0) : \varphi^\Delta(t_0) = -a(t_0) \varphi^\sigma(t_0) + c(t_0) \varphi^{\tilde{\Delta}}(t_0 - \tau_1(t_0)) + q(t_0, \varphi(t_0), \varphi(t_0 - \tau_2(t_0))) \right\}.$$

For each $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, we always assume that the initial function for Eq. (1.1) is of the type $\varphi \in \Phi_{t_0}$. For convenience of stating our main result, we shall give the following definitions.

Definition 3.1. For each $(t_0, \varphi) \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T} \times \Phi_{t_0}$, x is said to be a solution of Eq. (1.1) through (t_0, φ) if $x \in C_{rd}^1([d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T})$ satisfies Eq. (1.1) on $[t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and $x(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $t \in [d_{t_0}, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T}$. We denote such a solution by $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \varphi)$.

Definition 3.2. (i) The zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is said to be stable in C_{rd}^1 if, for any $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, t_0)$ such that $\varphi \in \Phi_{t_0}$ and $|\varphi|_{t_0} < \delta$ implies

$$\max_{t \in [d_{t_0}, t] \cap \mathbb{T}} \left\{ |x(t)|, |x^\Delta(t)| \right\} < \varepsilon,$$

for $t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$.

(ii) The zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is said to be globally asymptotically stable in C_{rd}^1 if it is stable in C_{rd}^1 , and for any $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, $\varphi \in \Phi_{t_0}$ implies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t, t_0, \varphi) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^\Delta(t, t_0, \varphi) = 0.$$

In view of the definition of the solution of Eq. (1.1), it is clear that the conditions imposed on the initial functions are very natural. From the above assumptions, it is easy to see that, for each $(t_0, \varphi) \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T} \times \Phi_{t_0}$, there exists a unique solution $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \varphi)$ of Eq. (1.1) defined on $[d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$. By (H_2) , Eq. (1.1) has the zero solution.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $(H_1) - (H_4)$ hold. Then the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable in C_{rd}^1 if and only if*

$$\int_0^\infty a(s) \Delta s = \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. (i) Suppose that (3.1) holds. For any $t_0 \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$, let

$$X = \left\{ x \in C_{rd}^1([d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}) : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^\Delta(t) = 0 \right\},$$

with the norm defined by

$$\|x\|_{t_0} = \max_{t \in [d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}} \left\{ |x(t)|, |x^\Delta(t)| \right\},$$

for $x \in X$. Since X is a closed vectorial subspace of $C_{rd}^1([d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T})$ and $C_{rd}^1([d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}, \|\cdot\|_{t_0})$ is a Banach space, we see that $(X, \|\cdot\|_{t_0})$ is also a Banach space. For any $\varphi \in \Phi_{t_0}$, let

$$D = \{x \in X : x(t) = \varphi(t) \text{ for } t \in [d_{t_0}, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T}\}.$$

It is easy to see that D is a nonempty, closed subset of X . Define the operator $P : D \rightarrow C_{rd}([d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T})$ by $(Px)(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $t \in [d_{t_0}, t_0] \cap \mathbb{T}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (Px)(t) &= \varphi(t_0) e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left[c(u) x^\Delta(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right] \Delta u, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for $t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$.

Firstly, we prove $Px \in D$ for any $x \in D$. From (3.2), for $t > t_0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (Px)^\Delta(t) &= -\varphi(t_0) a(t) e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) + c(t) x^\Delta(t - \tau_1(t)) + q(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_2(t))) \\ &- a(t) \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left[c(u) x^\Delta(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right] \Delta u \\ &= -a(t) (Px)(t) + c(t) x^\Delta(t - \tau_1(t)) + q(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_2(t))). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By the definition of Φ_{t_0} , one sees that (3.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (Px)_+^\Delta(t_0) &= -a(t_0) \varphi(t_0) + c(t_0) \varphi^\Delta(t_0 - \tau_1(t_0)) + q(t_0, \varphi(t_0), \varphi(t_0 - \tau_2(t_0))) \\ &= \varphi_-^\Delta(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Px \in C_{rd}^1([d_{t_0}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T})$ for $x \in D$. For $x \in D$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^\Delta(t) = 0$. Note that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t - \tau_i(t) = \infty$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T > 0$ such that, for $t \geq T$,

$$\max \left\{ |x(t)|, |x(t - \tau_2(t))|, |x^\Delta(t - \tau_1(t))| \right\} < \varepsilon. \quad (3.4)$$

It follows from (3.2), (3.4) and (H_2) and (H_4) that, for $t > T$ and $x \in D$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Px)(t)| \\
& \leq |\varphi(t_0)| e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \\
& + \int_{t_0}^T e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \\
& + \int_T^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) - q(u, 0, 0) \right| \Delta u \\
& \leq e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \left[|\varphi(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^T e_a(u, t_0) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \right] \\
& + \int_T^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left[\left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) \right| + L_1(u) |x(u)| + L_2(u) |x(u - \tau_2(u))| \right] \Delta u \\
& \leq e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \left[|\varphi(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^T e_a(u, t_0) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \right] \\
& + \varepsilon \int_T^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \\
& \leq e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \left[|\varphi(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^T e_a(u, t_0) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \right] + \alpha \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1), there exists $T_1 > T$ such that, for $t > T_1$,

$$e_{\ominus a}(t, t_0) \left[|\varphi(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^T e_a(u, t_0) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \right] < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (Px)(t) = 0$ for $x \in D$. In addition, it follows from (3.3) and (H_2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (Px)^{\Delta}(t) \right| \\
& \leq |a(t)(Px)(t)| + \left| c(t) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(t - \tau_1(t)) \right| + |q(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_2(t))) - q(t, 0, 0)| \\
& \leq |a(t)(Px)(t)| + \left| c(t) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(t - \tau_1(t)) \right| + L_1(t) |x(t)| + L_2(t) |x(t - \tau_2(t))|.
\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (H_3) and (H_4) , yields $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (Px)^{\Delta}(t) = 0$ for $x \in D$. Therefore, $Px \in D$ for $x \in D$, i.e. $P : D \rightarrow D$.

Secondly, we show that $P : D \rightarrow D$ is a contraction mapping. For any $x, y \in D$, it follows from (3.2), (H_2) and (H_4) that, for $t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| \\
& \leq \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left[|c(u)| \left| x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) - y^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) \right| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + |q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) - q(u, y(u), y(u - \tau_2(u)))| \right] \Delta u \\
& \leq \|x - y\|_{t_0} \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \\
& \leq \alpha \|x - y\|_{t_0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

In addition, it follows from (3.3), (3.5), (H_2) and (H_4) that, for $t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (Px)^\Delta(t) - (Py)^\Delta(t) \right| \\
& \leq |a(t)| |(Px)(t) - (Py)(t)| + |c(t)| \left| x^\Delta(t - \tau_1(t)) - y^\Delta(t - \tau_1(t)) \right| \\
& \quad + |q(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_2(t))) - q(t, y(t), y(t - \tau_2(t)))| \\
& \leq \|x - y\|_{t_0} \left[|a(t)| \int_{t_0}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u + |c(t)| + L_1(t) + L_2(t) \right] \\
& \leq \alpha \|x - y\|_{t_0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), one sees that $P : D \rightarrow D$ is a contraction mapping. By the contraction mapping principle, P has a unique fixed point x in D , which is the unique solution of Eq. (1.1) through (t_0, φ) and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x^\Delta(t) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Finally, we show that the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is stable in C_{rd}^1 . Let

$$K = \sup_{t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}} \{e_{\ominus a}(t, u)\} \text{ and } A = \sup_{t \in [t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}} \{|a(t)|\}.$$

From (3.1) and (H_3) , $K, A \in (0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\delta < \varepsilon \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1 - \alpha}{K}, \frac{1 - \alpha}{KA} \right\}.$$

If $x(t) = x(t, t_0, \varphi)$ is a solution of Eq. (1.1) with $|\varphi|_{t_0} < \delta$, then $x(t) = (Px)(t)$ on $[t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$. We claim that $\|x\|_{t_0} < \varepsilon$. Otherwise, there exists $t_1 > t_0$ such that

$$\max \left\{ |x(t_1)|, |x^\Delta(t_1)| \right\} = \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\max \left\{ |x(t)|, |x^\Delta(t)| \right\} < \varepsilon,$$

for $t \in [d_{t_0}, t_1) \cap \mathbb{T}$. If $|x(t_1)| = \varepsilon$, then it follows from (3.2), (H_2) and (H_4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|x(t_1)| & \leq |\varphi(t_0)| e_{\ominus a}(t_1, t_0) \\
& \quad + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e_{\ominus a}(t_1, u) \left| c(u) x^\Delta(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \\
& \leq K\delta + \varepsilon \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e_{\ominus a}(t_1, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \\
& \leq K\delta + \alpha\varepsilon \\
& < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. If $|x^\Delta(t_1)| = \varepsilon$, then it follows from (3.3) and (H_2) and (H_4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |x^\Delta(t_1)| \\
& \leq |\varphi(t_0)a(t_1)|e_{\ominus a}(t_1, t_0) \\
& + |c(t_1)x^\Delta(t_1 - \tau_1(t_1))| + |q(t_1, x(t_1), x(t_1 - \tau_2(t_1)))| \\
& + |a(t_1)| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e_{\ominus a}(t_1, u) |c(u)x^\Delta(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u)))| \Delta u \\
& \leq KA\delta + \varepsilon \left\{ |a(t_1)| \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e_{\ominus a}(t_1, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u + |c(t_1)| + L_1(t_1) + L_2(t_1) \right\} \\
& \leq KA\delta + \alpha\varepsilon \\
& < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

This is also a contradiction. Hence, the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is stable in C_{rd}^1 . This, together with (3.7), implies that the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable in C_{rd}^1 .

(ii) Assume that the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable in C_{rd}^1 . Now we prove that (3.1) holds. Otherwise, set

$$l = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t a(s) \Delta s, \quad K_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}} \{e_{\ominus a}(t, 0)\} \text{ and } A_0 = \sup_{t \in [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}} \{|a(t)|\}.$$

It follows from (H_3) that $l \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $K_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $A_0 \in [0, \infty)$. Hence, there exists an increasing sequence $\{t_n\} \subset [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{t_n} a(s) \Delta s = l. \quad (3.8)$$

Denote

$$I_n = \int_0^{t_n} e_a(u, 0) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

From (H_4) , it follows that

$$I_n = e_a(t_n, 0) \int_0^{t_n} e_{\ominus a}(t_n, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \leq \alpha e_a(t_n, 0).$$

This, together with (3.8), implies that the sequence $\{I_n\}$ is bounded. Further, there exists a convergent subsequence. For brevity of notation, we may assume that $\{I_n\}$ is convergent. Therefore, there exists a positive integer m such that for any integer $n > m$,

$$\int_{t_m}^{t_n} e_a(u, 0) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u < \frac{1 - \alpha}{8B(e^{-l} + 1)}, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$e_{\ominus a}(t_n, t_m) > \frac{1}{2}, \quad e_{\ominus a}(t_n, 0) < e^{-l} + 1, \quad e_a(t_m, 0) < e^l + 1, \quad (3.10)$$

where $B = \max\{K_0(e^l + 1), K_0A_0(e^l + 1), 1\}$.

For any $\delta > 0$, consider the solution $x(t) = x(t, t_m, \varphi)$ of Eq. (1.1) with $|\varphi|_{t_m} < \delta$ and $|\varphi(t_m)| > \delta/2$. It follows from (3.2), (3.3), (3.10), (H_2) and (H_4) that, for $t \in [t_m, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |x(t)| \\
& \leq |\varphi(t_m)| e_{\ominus a}(t, t_m) + \int_{t_m}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \\
& \leq |\varphi(t_m)| e_{\ominus a}(t, 0) e_a(t_m, 0) + \|x\|_{t_m} \int_{t_m}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \\
& \leq K_0 (e^l + 1) \delta + \|x\|_{t_m} \int_0^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \\
& \leq B\delta + \alpha \|x\|_{t_m},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |x^{\Delta}(t)| \\
& \leq |\varphi(t_m)| a(t) e_{\ominus a}(t, t_m) + \left| c(t) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(t - \tau_1(t)) \right| + |q(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_2(t)))| \\
& + |a(t)| \int_{t_m}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \\
& \leq K_0 A_0 (e^l + 1) \delta \\
& + \|x\|_{t_m} \left\{ |a(t)| \int_{t_m}^t e_{\ominus a}(t, u) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u + |c(t)| + L_1(t) + L_2(t) \right\} \\
& \leq B\delta + \alpha \|x\|_{t_m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|x\|_{t_m} \leq B\delta + \alpha \|x\|_{t_m}$, i.e.

$$\|x\|_{t_m} \leq \frac{B}{1 - \alpha} \delta. \quad (3.11)$$

It follows from (3.2), (3.9)-(3.11) and (H_2) that, for any $n > m$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|x(t)| & \geq |\varphi(t_m)| e_{\ominus a}(t_n, t_m) \\
& - e_{\ominus a}(t_n, 0) \int_{t_m}^{t_n} e_a(u, 0) \left| c(u) x^{\tilde{\Delta}}(u - \tau_1(u)) + q(u, x(u), x(u - \tau_2(u))) \right| \Delta u \\
& \geq |\varphi(t_m)| e_{\ominus a}(t_n, t_m) - \|x\|_{t_m} e_{\ominus a}(t_n, 0) \int_{t_m}^{t_n} e_a(u, 0) [|c(u)| + L_1(u) + L_2(u)] \Delta u \\
& > \frac{1}{4} \delta - \frac{B}{1 - \alpha} \delta (e^{-l} + 1) \frac{1 - \alpha}{8B(e^{-l} + 1)} = \frac{1}{8} \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ and the zero solution of Eq. (1.1) is globally asymptotically stable in C_{rd}^1 . The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Hilger, Ein Maßkettenkalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmanigfaltigkeiten, Ph. D. thesis, Universität Würzburg, Würzburg, 1988.

- [2] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, An Introduction with Applications*, Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.
- [3] M. Bohner, A. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [4] M. Adivar, Y. N. Raffoul, Existence of periodic solutions in totally nonlinear delay dynamic equations, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.* 2009 (2009), 1-20.
- [5] A. Ardjouni, A Djoudi, Existence of periodic solutions for nonlinear neutral dynamic equations with functional delay on a time scale, *Acta Univ. Palack. Olomuc. Fac. Rerum Natur. Math.* 52 (2013) 5-19.
- [6] A. Ardjouni, A Djoudi, Stability in neutral nonlinear dynamic equations on time scale with unbounded delay, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* 57 (2012), 481-496.
- [7] M. Belaid, A. Ardjouni and A. Djoudi, Stability in totally nonlinear neutral dynamic equations on time scales, *Int. J. Anal. Appl.* 11 (2016), 110-123.
- [8] E. R. Kaufmann, Y. N. Raffoul, Stability in neutral nonlinear dynamic equations on a time scale with functional delay, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 16 (2007) 561-570.
- [9] A. Ardjouni, I. Derrardjia, A. Djoudi, Stability in totally nonlinear neutral differential equations with variable delay, *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae* 83 (2014), 119-134.
- [10] A. Ardjouni, A Djoudi, Fixed points and stability in linear neutral differential equations with variable delays, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 2062-2070.
- [11] T. A. Burton, Liapunov functionals, fixed points and stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem, *Nonlinear Stud.* 9 (2001), 181-190.
- [12] T. A. Burton, Stability by fixed point theory or Liapunov theory: A comparison, *Fixed Point Theory*, 4 (2003), 15-32.
- [13] T. A. Burton, *Stability by Fixed Point Theory for Functional Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 2006.
- [14] I. Derrardjia, A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi, Stability by Krasnoselskii's theorem in totally nonlinear neutral differential equations, *Opuscula Math.* 33 (2013), 255-272.
- [15] D. R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, no. 66. Cambridge University Press, London, 1974.
- [16] G. Liu, J. Yan, Global asymptotic stability of nonlinear neutral differential equation, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* 19 (2014), 1035-1041.