



## ON POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM OF $(2n, 2m)$ -ORDER $p$ -LAPLACIAN EQUATIONS

RONGHUA LIU<sup>1,\*</sup>, YUANFANG RU<sup>2</sup>, FANGLEI WANG<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, China

<sup>2</sup>College of Science, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 211198, China

**Abstract.** The existence results of positive nontrivial solutions for a class of even order  $p$ -Laplacian systems are obtained. The proof of our main results is based on a well-known fixed point theorem in cones.

**Keywords.** Fixed point theorem; Laplacian system; Positive solution; Even-order  $p$ -Laplacian nonlinear equation.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 34B18, 47H10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Equations of the  $p$ -Laplacian form occur in the study of non-Newtonian fluid theory and the turbulent flow of a gas in a porous medium. Because of the important background, there are many papers devoted to the study of differential equations with  $p$ -Laplacian. For the scalar equation, we refer the readers to [1] (one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian), [2, 3, 4] (fourth order  $p$ -Laplacian), [5] ( $2n$ th-order  $p$ -Laplacian). For the system, Djebali, Moussaou and Precup [6] have shown some existence results for a fourth-order nonlinear  $p$ -system

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi_p(u_1''))'' = \lambda_1 a_1(t) f_1(u_1, u_2), & t \in (0, 1), \\ (\varphi_p(u_2''))'' = \lambda_2 a_2(t) f_2(u_1, u_2), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u_1(0) = u_1(1) = u_1''(0) = u_1''(1) = 0, \\ u_2(0) = u_2(1) = u_2''(0) = u_2''(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $f_i \in C([0, +\infty)^2, [0, +\infty))$ ,  $a_i \in C([0, 1], [0, +\infty))$  and  $\lambda_i$  are positive parameters for  $i = 1, 2$ . Here  $\varphi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  ( $p > 1$ ) refers to the  $p$ -Laplacian. A first existence result is obtained via the classical Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem of cone compression and expansion under the following notations and assumptions

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: mathliuronghua@163.com (R. Liu), ruyanfangmm@163.com (Y. Ru), wangfanglei@hhu.edu.cn (F. Wang).

Received June 5, 2017; Accepted January 6, 2018.

(H1) For  $i = 1, 2$ , there exist nonnegative constants  $f_i^0, f_i^\infty$  defined as

$$f_i^0 = \lim_{u+v \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u, v)}{(u+v)^{p-1}}, \text{ and } f_i^\infty = \lim_{u+v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u, v)}{(u+v)^{p-1}}.$$

Another existence result is obtained via the vector versions of the Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem under the following notations and assumptions

(H2) For  $v = 0$  or  $v = +\infty$ , there exist nonnegative constants  $F_1^v, F_2^v$  defined as

$$F_1^v = \lim_{u \rightarrow v} \frac{f_1(u, v)}{u^{p-1}} \text{ uniformly with respect to } v \text{ on compact subsets of } R^+,$$

$$F_2^v = \lim_{v \rightarrow v} \frac{f_2(u, v)}{v^{p-1}} \text{ uniformly with respect to } u \text{ on compact subsets of } R^+.$$

A comparison of the obtained results to those from the literature is provided.

In addition, there are many authors studying a system of different order equations. An [7] and An and Fan [8] investigated a coupled system of second and fourth order equations. Kang, Xu and Wei [9], Prasad and Kameswararao [10], Ru and An [11] and Yang [12] studied the  $2p$ - $2q$  order nonlinear ordinary differential systems

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^p u^{(2p)} = \lambda a(t) f(u(t), u(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \\ (-1)^q v^{(2q)} = \mu b(t) g(u(t), u(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$

via the classical Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem of cone compression and expansion under the following assumption

$$f_0 = \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u, v)}{u+v}, f_\infty = \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(u, v)}{u+v}.$$

Inspired by these results, this paper is mainly concerned with the existence of positive solutions of system of even-order  $p$ -Laplacian nonlinear equations

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^n [\varphi_{p_1}(u^{(2n_1)})]^{(2n_2)} = f_1(u(t), v(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ (-1)^m [\varphi_{p_2}(v^{(2m_1)})]^{(2m_2)} = f_2(u(t), v(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(2i)}(0) = u^{(2i)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \\ v^{(2j)}(0) = v^{(2j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq m-1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $n_1 + n_2 = n, m_1 + m_2 = m, n, m \in \mathbf{N}, f_i \in C([0, +\infty)^2, [0, +\infty))$ . Here  $\varphi_p(s) = s|s|^{p-2}$  ( $p > 1$ ) refers to the  $p$ -Laplacian. We give some more weaker conditions of the nonlinearities than  $f_0$  and  $f_\infty$ , such as

(H1) There exist a constant  $\alpha > 0$  and a continuous function

$$\Phi_i : [0, \infty] \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

satisfying

- (i)  $\Phi_i(u, v)$  is a nondecreasing function with respect to  $u$  and  $v$ ,
  - (ii)  $\Phi_i(\alpha, \alpha) \leq \kappa \alpha^{p_i-1}$ , for some  $\kappa > 0$ ,  $\kappa$  will be given specific value in Section 3,
- such that

$$f_i(u, v) \leq \Phi_i(u, v), \quad 0 \leq u + v \leq \alpha;$$

(H2) There exist a constant  $\beta > 0$  and a continuous function

$$\psi_i : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$$

satisfying

(i)  $\psi_i(s)$  is a nondecreasing function with respect to  $s$ ,

(ii)  $\psi_i(\sigma\beta) \geq \mu\beta^{p_i-1}$ , for some  $\mu > 0$ ,  $\mu$  will be given specific value in Section 3,

$\sigma = \min\{6^{n_1-1}\theta_{n_1}(\delta), 6^{m_1-1}\theta_{m_1}(\delta)\}$ ,  $\theta_n(\delta) = \delta^n \left(\frac{4\delta^3-6\delta^2+1}{6}\right)^{n-1}$ , and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , such that

$$f_i(u, v) \geq \psi_i(u+v), \quad \sigma\beta \leq u+v \leq \beta.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we give the main results in this paper.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $G_n(t, s)$  be the Green's function of the linear boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^{(n)}\omega^{(2n)}(t) = 0, & t \in [0, 1], \\ \omega^{(2i)}(0) = \omega^{(2i)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

By the induction, the Green's function  $G_n(t, s)$  can be expressed as (see [11])

$$G_i(t, s) = \int_0^1 G(t, \xi) G_{i-1}(\xi, s) d\xi, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n,$$

where

$$G_1(t, s) = G(t, s) = \begin{cases} t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\ s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that

$$G_n(t, s) > 0, \quad (t, s) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1).$$

**Lemma 2.1** ([11]).  $G_n(t, s)$  has the following properties

(1) For any  $(t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ,  $G_n(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{6^{n-1}}s(1-s)$ .

(2) Letting  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , one finds, for any  $(t, s) \in [\delta, 1-\delta] \times [0, 1]$ , that

$$G_n(t, s) \geq \theta_n(\delta)s(1-s) \geq 6^{n-1}\theta_n(\delta) \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} G_n(t, s)$$

where  $\theta_n(\delta) = \delta^n \left(\frac{4\delta^3-6\delta^2+1}{6}\right)^{n-1}$ .

For  $h(t) \in C[0, 1]$ , the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} (-1)^n[\varphi_p(u^{(2n_1)})]^{(2n_2)} = h(t), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(2i)}(0) = u^{(2i)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-1, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

can be expressed by

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_q \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds,$$

where  $\varphi_q$  stands for the inverse function  $\varphi_q = \varphi_p^{-1}$  with conjugates  $p, q$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For  $h(t) \in C[0, 1]$  with  $h(t) \geq 0$ , the solution of (2.1) satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} u(t) \geq 6^{n_1-1} \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \|u\|,$$

where the norm  $\|u\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.1, for  $t \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_q \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\
&\geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 6^{n_1-1} \theta_{n_1}(\delta) G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_q \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\
&\geq 6^{n_1-1} \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_q \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds \\
&= 6^{n_1-1} \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \|u\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we define a mapping  $T : C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$  by

$$T(u, v)(t) = (T_1(u, v)(t), T_2(u, v)(t)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1(u, v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \\
T_2(u, v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{m_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_2} \left( \int_0^1 G_{m_2}(s, \tau) f_2(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $X$  denote the Banach space  $C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$  with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |v(t)|$$

and  $K$  be the sub-cone defined by

$$K = \{(u, v) \in X : u(t) \geq 0, v(t) \geq 0, \min_{\delta \leq t \leq 1-\delta} (u(t) + v(t)) \geq \sigma \|(u, v)\|\},$$

where  $\sigma = \min\{6^{n_1-1} \theta_{n_1}(\delta), 6^{m_1-1} \theta_{m_1}(\delta)\}$ . It is obvious that  $0 < \sigma < 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.**  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is completely continuous.

*Proof.* First, we show that  $T(K) \subset K$ . In fact, we find from Lemma 2.2, for any  $(u, v) \in K$ , that

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} T_1(u, v)(t) &\geq 6^{n_1-1} \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \|T_1(u, v)\| \geq \sigma \|T_1(u, v)\|, \\
\min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} T_2(u, v)(t) &\geq 6^{m_1-1} \theta_{m_1}(\delta) \|T_2(u, v)\| \geq \sigma \|T_2(u, v)\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (T_1(u, v)(t) + T_2(u, v)(t)) \geq \sigma \|T_1(u, v)\| + \sigma \|T_2(u, v)\| \geq \sigma \|(T_1(u, v), T_2(u, v))\|.$$

Now, we prove that  $T$  is completely continuous. For convenience, we only show that  $T_1 : C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is completely continuous. Let  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$  be a sequence in  $C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$ , which converges to  $(u_0, v_0)$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ . From the continuity of  $f_1(u(t), v(t))$  and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T_1(u_k, v_k)(t) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u_k(\tau), v_k(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u_0(\tau), v_0(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\
&= T_1(u_0, v_0)(t),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $T_1$  is continuous.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded subset in  $C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1]$ . Then there exists a  $R > 0$  such that  $\|(u, v)\| \leq R$ , for all  $(u, v) \in \Omega$ . Since  $f_1$  is continuous on  $(u, v)$ , we set  $M = \max_{\|(u, v)\| \leq R} f_1(u(t), v(t)) > 0$ . From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1(u, v)\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |T_1(u, v)(t)| \\ &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left| \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_1-1}} s(1-s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_2-1}} \tau(1-\tau) M d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6^{n_1}} \frac{1}{6^{n_2(q_1-1)}} M^{q_1-1} = M_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T_1(\Omega)$  is an equibounded set.

Finally, we prove the equicontinuity of  $T_1(\Omega)$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G(t, \xi) G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^t (1-t) \xi G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^1 (1-\xi) t G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \right] \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we find, for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , that

$$\begin{aligned} &|T_1'(u, v)(t)| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left[ - \int_0^t \xi G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_t^1 (1-\xi) G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \right] \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left[ \int_t^1 G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi - \int_0^t \xi G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \right] \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left[ \int_t^1 G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi + \int_0^t \xi G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \right] \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_2-1}} \tau(1-\tau) M d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1+\xi) G_{n_1-1}(\xi, s) d\xi \varphi_{q_1} \left( \frac{1}{6^{n_2}} M \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1+\xi) \frac{1}{6^{n_1-2}} s(1-s) d\xi \varphi_{q_1} \left( \frac{1}{6^{n_2}} M \right) ds \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{6^{n_1-1}} \varphi_{q_1} \left( \frac{1}{6^{n_2}} M \right) := M_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $\forall t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_2 > t_1$ , we have

$$|T_1(u, v)(t_2) - T_1(u, v)(t_1)| \leq M_2(t_2 - t_1),$$

which implies that  $T_1(\Omega)$  is equicontinuous on  $[0, 1]$ . From the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem, we get  $T_1 : C[0, 1] \times C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  is compact. The similar discussion is also hold for  $T_2$ . Therefore,  $T$  is completely continuous.  $\square$

For convenience, let  $D$  be a subset of  $X$ . We introduce the notions  $D_K = D \cap K$  and  $\partial_K D = (\partial D) \cap K$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([13]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $K$  be a cone in  $X$ . Assume  $\Omega^1$  and  $\Omega^2$  are open bounded subsets of  $X$  with  $\Omega^1_K \neq \emptyset, \overline{\Omega^1_K} \subset \Omega^2_K$ . Let  $T : \overline{\Omega^2_K} \rightarrow K$  be a completely continuous operator such that*

$$(A) \quad \|Tu\| \leq \|u\|, \forall u \in \partial_K \Omega^1$$

(B) *there exists  $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that*

$$u \neq Tu + \lambda e, \text{ for } u \in \partial_K \Omega^2 \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{\Omega^2_K} \setminus \Omega^1_K$ . The same conclusion remains valid if (A) holds on  $\partial_K \Omega^2$  and (B) holds on  $\partial_K \Omega^1$ .*

For convenience, for any  $\gamma > 0$ , we define some adequate open sets by

$$\Omega^\gamma = \{(u, v) \in X : \min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) < \sigma\gamma\},$$

and

$$B^\gamma = \{(u, v) \in X : \|(u, v)\| < \gamma\}.$$

Referring to [14, 15], we can obtain the following.

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\Omega^\gamma$  and  $B^\gamma$  have the following properties:

- (a<sub>1</sub>)  $\Omega_K^\gamma$  and  $B_K^\gamma$  are open relative to  $K$ .
- (a<sub>2</sub>)  $B_K^{\sigma\gamma} \subset \Omega_K^\gamma \subset B_K^\gamma$ .
- (a<sub>3</sub>)  $(u, v) \in \partial_K \Omega^\gamma$  if and only if  $\min_{[\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) = \sigma\gamma$ .
- (a<sub>4</sub>) If  $(u, v) \in \partial_K \Omega^\gamma$ , then  $\sigma\gamma \leq u(t) + v(t) \leq \gamma, \delta \leq t \leq 1 - \delta$ .

*Proof.* Obviously, (a<sub>1</sub>) and (a<sub>3</sub>) hold. Let  $(u, v) \in B_K^{\sigma\gamma}$ . Then

$$\sigma\|(u, v)\| \leq \min_{[\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) \leq \|(u, v)\| < \sigma\gamma$$

and  $(u, v) \in \Omega_K^\gamma$ . If  $(u, v) \in \Omega_K^\gamma$ , then

$$\sigma\|(u, v)\| \leq \min_{[\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) < \sigma\gamma.$$

This implies that  $\|(u, v)\| < \gamma$  and  $(u, v) \in B_K^\gamma$ . Hence, (a<sub>2</sub>) holds. If  $(u, v) \in \partial_K \Omega^\gamma$ , we find from (a<sub>3</sub>) that

$$\sigma\|(u, v)\| \leq \min_{[\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) = \sigma\gamma \leq u(t) + v(t),$$

and  $u(t) + v(t) \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq \gamma$ , for all  $t \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]$ . So (a<sub>4</sub>) holds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** It is easy to see that  $\Omega^\gamma$  is unbounded for each  $\gamma > 0$ . So we can not apply Lemma 2.4 to  $\Omega^\gamma$ , however, we can apply Lemma 2.4 by taking into account that, for each  $\rho > \gamma$ , the following relations

$$\Omega_K^\gamma = (\Omega^\gamma \cap B^\rho)_K, \quad \overline{\Omega_K^\gamma} = \overline{(\Omega^\gamma \cap B^\rho)_K}.$$

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Set

$$\kappa = \min\{2^{\frac{1}{1-q_1}} 6^{\frac{n_1}{q_1-1}+n_2}, 2^{\frac{1}{1-q_2}} 6^{\frac{m_1}{q_2-1}+m_2}\},$$

$$\mu = \max\{v_1, v_2\},$$

$$v_1 = \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{q_1-1}}}{(2\theta_{n_1}(\delta))^{\frac{1}{q_1-1}} \theta_{n_2}(\delta) (\frac{2}{3}\delta^3 - \delta^2 + \frac{1}{6})^{\frac{q_1}{q_1-1}}},$$

and

$$v_2 = \frac{\sigma^{\frac{1}{q_2-1}}}{(2\theta_{m_1}(\delta))^{\frac{1}{q_2-1}} \theta_{m_2}(\delta) (\frac{2}{3}\delta^3 - \delta^2 + \frac{1}{6})^{\frac{q_2}{q_2-1}}},$$

where  $q_i$  are the conjugates of  $p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , i.e.,  $\frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i} = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Assume that (H1) and (H2) hold.

(I) If  $\alpha < \sigma\beta$ , then (1.1) has at least one positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  satisfying

$$\alpha \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq \beta \text{ and } \sigma\alpha \leq \min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) \leq \sigma\beta.$$

(II) If  $\alpha > \beta$ , then (1.1) has at least one positive solution  $(u(t), v(t))$  satisfying

$$\sigma\beta \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq \alpha \text{ and } \sigma\beta \leq \min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)).$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we just need to prove

(A)  $\|A(u, v)\| \leq \|(u, v)\|$ ,  $\forall (u, v) \in \partial_K B^\alpha$ ,

(B) there exists  $e \in K \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + \lambda e, \text{ for } (u, v) \in \partial_K \Omega^\beta \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

Part (I). For any  $(u, v) \in \partial_K B^\alpha$ , we find from (H1) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1(u, v)(t) &= \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_1-1}} s(1-s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_2-1}} \tau(1-\tau) f_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6^{n_1-1}} \int_0^1 s(1-s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_2-1}} \tau(1-\tau) \Phi_1(u(\tau), v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6^{n_1-1}} \int_0^1 s(1-s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_2-1}} \tau(1-\tau) \Phi_1(\alpha, \alpha) d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6^{n_1-1}} \int_0^1 s(1-s) \varphi_{q_1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{6^{n_2-1}} \tau(1-\tau) 2^{\frac{1}{1-q_1}} 6^{\frac{n_1}{q_1-1}+n_2} \alpha^{p_1-1} d\tau \right) ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6^{n_1}} \varphi_{q_1} (2^{\frac{1}{1-q_1}} 6^{\frac{n_1}{q_1-1}} \alpha^{p_1-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we also get

$$T_2(u, v)(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial_K B^\alpha.$$

It follows that

$$\|T(u, v)\| = \|T_1(u, v)\| + \|T_2(u, v)\| \leq \alpha = \|(u, v)\|, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \partial_K B^\alpha,$$

which implies that (A) holds. Let  $e = (1, 1)$ . Now we prove that  $(u, v) \neq T(u, v) + (\lambda, \lambda)$ , for  $(u, v) \in \partial_K \Omega^\beta$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

On the contrary, if there exist a pair of  $(u_0, v_0) \in \partial_K \Omega^\beta$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that

$$(u_0, v_0) = (T_1(u_0, v_0), T_2(u_0, v_0)) + (\lambda_0, \lambda_0),$$

then we from (a<sub>4</sub>) of Lemma 2.5 that

$$\sigma\beta = \sigma\|(u_0, v_0)\| \leq (u_0(t) + v_0(t)) \leq \beta, \delta \leq t \leq 1 - \delta.$$

Furthermore, for  $\delta \leq t \leq 1 - \delta$ , we find from (H2) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(t) &= T_1(u_0, v_0)(t) + \lambda_0 \\ &= \int_0^1 G_{n_1}(t, s) \varphi_{q_1}(\int_0^1 G_{n_2}(s, \tau) f_1(u_0(\tau), v_0(\tau)) d\tau) ds + \lambda_0 \\ &\geq \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \varphi_{q_1}(\theta_{n_2}(\delta)) \int_\delta^{1-\delta} s(1-s) \varphi_{q_1}(\int_0^1 \tau(1-\tau) f_1(u_0(\tau), v_0(\tau)) d\tau) ds + \lambda_0 \\ &\geq \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \varphi_{q_1}(\theta_{n_2}(\delta)) (\frac{2}{3}\delta^3 - \delta^2 + \frac{1}{6}) \varphi_{q_1}(\int_\delta^{1-\delta} \tau(1-\tau) \psi_1(u_0(\tau) + v_0(\tau)) d\tau) + \lambda_0 \\ &\geq \theta_{n_1}(\delta) \varphi_{q_1}(\theta_{n_2}(\delta)) (\frac{2}{3}\delta^3 - \delta^2 + \frac{1}{6})^{q_1} \varphi_{q_1}(v_1 \beta^{p_1-1}) + \lambda_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sigma\beta + \lambda_0. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we also have

$$v_0(t) \geq T_2(u_0, v_0)(t) + \lambda_0 \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma\beta + \lambda_0.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u_0(t) + v_0(t)) \geq \sigma\beta + 2\lambda_0 > \sigma\beta,$$

which contradicts with the statement (a<sub>3</sub>) of Lemma 2.5. So (B) holds.

Now, we suppose that  $\alpha < \sigma\beta$ . Using Lemma 2.5, one has  $\overline{B_K^\alpha} \subset B_K^{\sigma\beta} \subset \Omega_K^\beta$ . Using Lemma 2.4, we get that  $T$  has at least one positive fixed point  $(u(t), v(t)) \in \overline{\Omega_K^\beta} \setminus B_K^\alpha$ . Hence, the inequalities hold

$$\|(u, v)\| \geq \alpha,$$

$$\sigma\alpha \leq \min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) \leq \sigma\beta.$$

On the other hand, since  $\sigma\|(u, v)\| \leq \min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)) \leq \sigma\beta$ , we have  $\|(u, v)\| \leq \beta$ . This implies that the conclusion I holds.

Finally, if  $\beta < \alpha$ , one has  $\overline{\Omega_K^\beta} \subset B_K^\alpha$ . Furthermore, Lemma 2.4 guarantees that  $T$  has at least one fixed point  $(u, v) \in \overline{B_K^\alpha} \setminus \Omega_K^\beta$ . Analogously, we can obtain the inequalities

$$\sigma\beta \leq \|(u, v)\| \leq \alpha \text{ and } \sigma\beta \leq \min_{t \in [\delta, 1-\delta]} (u(t) + v(t)).$$

Therefore, the conclusion II holds.  $\square$



**Example 3.2.** Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} [\phi_4(u'')]'' = \frac{u^4}{v+1} + v \arctan u^3 + (u+v)^3, & t \in (0, 1), \\ [\phi_4(v'')]'' = \frac{v^5}{e^u} + u \arctan v^4 + (u+v)^4, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(2i)}(0) = u^{(2i)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-1, n=2, \\ v^{(2j)}(0) = v^{(2j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq m-1, m=2. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

It is clear that

$$\psi_1(u+v) = (u+v)^3 < f_1(u, v) < u^4 + v \arctan u^3 + (u+v)^3 = \Phi_1(u, v),$$

and

$$\psi_2(u+v) = (u+v)^4 < f_2(u, v) < v^5 + u \arctan v^4 + (u+v)^4 = \Phi_2(u, v).$$

Since  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} = \frac{\Phi_i(\alpha, \alpha)}{\alpha^3}$  exists, there exists a sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$  such that (H1) holds. Since  $\psi_1(\sigma\beta) = \sigma^3\beta^3$ ,  $\psi_2(\sigma\beta) = \sigma^4\beta^4$ , there exists a sufficiently large  $\beta > 0$  such that (H2) holds. Therefore, by (I) of Theorem 3.1, problem (3.1) has a positive solution.

**Example 3.3.** Consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} [\phi_4(u'')]'' = \frac{u^2}{v+1} + v \arctan u^{\frac{1}{3}} + (u+v)^{\frac{1}{3}}, & t \in (0, 1), \\ [\phi_4(v'')]'' = \frac{v}{e^u} + u \arctan v^{\frac{1}{4}} + (u+v)^{\frac{1}{4}}, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u^{(2i)}(0) = u^{(2i)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-1, n=2, \\ v^{(2j)}(0) = v^{(2j)}(1) = 0, & 0 \leq j \leq m-1, m=2. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

It is clear that

$$\psi_1(u+v) = (u+v)^{\frac{1}{3}} < f_1(u, v) < u^2 + v \arctan u^{\frac{1}{3}} + (u+v)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \Phi_1(u, v),$$

and

$$\psi_2(u+v) = (u+v)^{\frac{1}{4}} < f_2(u, v) < v + u \arctan v^{\frac{1}{4}} + (u+v)^{\frac{1}{4}} = \Phi_2(u, v).$$

Since

$$\Phi_1(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha^2 + \alpha \arctan \alpha^{\frac{1}{3}} + (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \alpha^2 + \alpha^{\frac{4}{3}} + (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

and

$$\Phi_2(\alpha, \alpha) = \alpha + \alpha \arctan \alpha^{\frac{1}{4}} + (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq \alpha + \alpha^{\frac{5}{4}} + (2\alpha)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

we find that there exists a sufficiently large  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\Phi_i(\alpha, \alpha) \leq \alpha^3$ . Since

$$\psi_1(\sigma\beta) = (\sigma\beta)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \psi_2(\sigma\beta) = (\sigma\beta)^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

there exists a sufficiently small  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\psi_i(\sigma\beta) > \beta^3$ . Therefore, by (II) of Theorem 3.1, problem (3.2) has a positive solution.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referees for the useful suggestions. The authors were supported by NNSF of China (No.11501165), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.2015B19414).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Lakmeche, A. Hammoudi, Multiple positive solutions of the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 317 (2006), 43-49.
- [2] J. Xu, Z. Yang, Positive solutions for a fourth order  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 2612-2623.
- [3] X. Zhang, L. Liu, Positive solutions of fourth-order four point boundary value problems with  $p$ -Laplacian operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 336 (2007), 1414-1423.
- [4] X. Zhang, L. Liu, A necessary and sufficient condition for positive solutions for fourth-order multi-point boundary value problems with  $p$ -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (2008), 3127-3137.
- [5] Y. Ding, J. Xu, X. Zhang, Positive solutions for a  $2n$ th-order  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value problems involving all derivatives, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2013 (2013), 1-14.
- [6] S. Djebali, T. Moussaoui, R. Precup, Fourth-order  $p$ -Laplacian nonlinear systems via the vector version of Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 6 (2009), 447-460.
- [7] Y. An, Nonlinear perturbations of a coupled system of steady state suspension bridge equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 51 (2002), 1285-1292.
- [8] Y. An, X. Fan, On the coupled system of second and fourth order elliptic equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 140 (2003), 341-351.
- [9] P. Kang, J. Xu, Z. Wei, Positive solutions for  $2p$ -order and  $2q$ -order systems of singular boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010), 2767-2786.
- [10] K.R. Prasad, A. Kameswararao, Positive solutions for the system of higher order singular nonlinear boundary value problem, *Math. Commun.* 18(2013), 49-60.
- [11] Y. Ru, Y. An, Positive solutions for  $2p$  order and  $2q$  order nonlinear ordinary differential systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 324 (2006), 1093-1104.
- [12] X. Yang, Existence of positive solutions for  $2m$ -order nonlinear differential systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 61 (2005), 77-95.
- [13] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [14] G. Infante, J. Webb, Nonzero solutions of Hammerstein integral equations with discontinuous kernels, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 272 (2002), 30-42.
- [15] K.Q. Lan, Multiple positive solutions of semilinear differential equations with singularities, *J. London Math. Soc.* 63 (2001), 690-704.