



FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS OF FENG-LIU TYPE ON M -METRIC SPACES

ISHAK ALTUN^{1,*}, HAKAN SAHIN^{2,3}, DURAN TURKOGLU²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kırıkkale University Kırıkkale, Turkey

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Gazi University, 06500 Teknikokullar Ankara, Turkey

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Amasya University, Amasya, Turkey

Abstract. In this paper, we present some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings of Feng-Liu type on complete M -metric spaces. Some illustrative examples are also provided to support our main results.

Keywords. Contraction; Fixed point; Multivalued map; M -metric space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 54H25, 47H10.

1. INTRODUCTION-PRELIMINARIES

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Denote by $P(X)$, the family of all nonempty subsets of X , $C(X)$ the family of all nonempty closed subsets of X , $CB(X)$ the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X . For $A, B \in C(X)$, define

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}.$$

Then H is called the generalized Pompeiu-Hausdorff distance on $C(X)$. It is known that H is a metric on $CB(X)$; see [1, 2] and the references therein.

Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. Then T is called a multivalued contraction if there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In 1969, Nadler [3] proved that if (X, d) is a complete metric space and T is a multivalued contraction mapping, then T has a fixed point in X , that is, there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \in Tz$. This is the first fixed point result for multivalued mappings on metric spaces. Following Nadler, many authors have studied and developed fixed point theory for multivalued mappings on both complete metric spaces

*Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: ishakaltun@yahoo.com (I. Altun), hakansahin@gazi.edu.tr (H. Sahin), dturkoglu@gazi.edu.tr (D. Turkoglu).

Received August 2, 2017; Accepted January 10, 2018.

and some other abstract spaces; see, for examples, [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and the references therein. In particular, Feng and Liu [9] generalized the Nadler's result without using the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric as follows (moreover the mapping T is a $C(X)$ valued mapping in Feng-Liu's result).

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. If for all $x \in X$ there exists $y \in I_b^x$ satisfying*

$$d(y, Ty) \leq cd(x, y),$$

where

$$I_b^x = \{y \in Tx : bd(x, y) \leq d(x, Tx)\}.$$

Then T has a fixed point in X provided that $0 < c < b < 1$ and the function $f(x) = d(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous.

On the other hand, in 1994, Matthews [10] introduced the notion of the partial metric space, which is more general than the metric space, and presented a fundamental fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces. Subsequently, many authors studied fixed point problems for both single valued and multivalued mappings on partial metric spaces; [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and the references therein.

Recently, Asadi, Karapinar and Salimi [16] extended the concept of partial metric spaces to M -metric spaces. They obtained some fixed point theorems for single valued mappings on M -metric spaces.

In this paper, we discuss the topological structure of M -metric spaces and take into account a family of all nonempty closed subsets of a M -metric space. We also obtain some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings of Feng-Liu type on M -metric spaces.

Now, we recall the concepts of the M -metric on a nonempty set X and their properties. For a function $m : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, as an abbreviation, we will represent the following

$$\begin{aligned} m_{xy} &= \min\{m(x, x), m(y, y)\}, \\ M_{xy} &= \max\{m(x, x), m(y, y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 ([16]). Let X be a nonempty set. A function $m : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a M -metric if the following conditions are satisfied, for all $x, y, z \in X$

- m1) $m(x, x) = m(y, y) = m(x, y) \Leftrightarrow x = y$,
- m2) $m_{xy} \leq m(x, y)$,
- m3) $m(x, y) = m(y, x)$,
- m4) $m(x, y) - m_{xy} \leq m(x, z) - m_{xz} + m(z, y) - m_{zy}$.

Then (X, m) is called a M -metric space.

It is clear that every standard metric and every partial metric on a nonempty set X is also a M -metric. Some examples, which show that the converse may not be true, can be found in [16].

After that, Asadi, Karapinar and Salimi [16] presented the following three fundamental concepts of contractive type fixed point theory on M -metric spaces. Let (X, m) be a M -metric space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and $x \in X$. Then

- (1) $\{x_n\}$ is said to be M -convergent to x if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (m(x_n, x) - m_{x_n x}) = 0.$$

(2) $\{x_n\}$ is said to be an M -Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} [m(x_n, x_m) - m_{x_n x_m}] \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [M_{x_n x_m} - m_{x_n x_m}]$$

exist and finite.

(3) (X, m) is said to be M -complete if every M -Cauchy sequence M -converges to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [m(x_n, x) - m_{x_n x}] = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [M_{x_n x} - m_{x_n x}] = 0.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Let (X, m) be a M -metric space and let $U \subseteq X$. We say that U is sequentially open if every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x) = 0, \forall x \in U$ is eventually in U , that is, U is sequentially open if and only if for all $x \in U$ and for all $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x) = 0$, then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let τ_s be the family of all sequentially open subsets of X . We can show that τ_s is a topology on X . The closure of a subset A of X with respect to τ_s is denoted by \bar{A}^s .

On the other hand, the open ball with centered $x \in X$ and radius $\varepsilon > 0$ in a M -metric space is denoted by

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : m(x, y) < m_{xy} + \varepsilon\}.$$

We call a subset U of X is open if and only if for every $x \in U$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$. In this case, the family of all open subsets of X is another topology on X which we will represent it as τ_m . The closure of a subset A of X with respect to τ_m is denoted by \bar{A}^m . It is clear that $\bar{A}^s \subseteq \bar{A}^m$. Although every partial metric p on a nonempty set X generates a T_0 topology on X , the topology τ_m which is generated by a M -metric m on X may not be T_0 topology. For example, let $X = [0, 1]$ and $m(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}$. Then m is a M -metric on X . In this case, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, \varepsilon) &= \{y \in X : m(x, y) < m_{xy} + \varepsilon\} \\ &= \{y \in X : 0 < \varepsilon\} \\ &= X \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. Therefore $\tau_m = \{\emptyset, X\}$, which is not T_0 topology.

Now, we claim that $\tau_m \subseteq \tau_s$ but the converse is not true. Let $U \in \tau_m, x \in U$ and $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x) = 0$. Since $U \in \tau_m$ and $x \in U$, one sees that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$. On the other hand, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x) = 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(x_n, x) < \varepsilon \leq m_{x_n x} + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus, $x_n \in B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$ for all $n \geq n_0$. It follows that $U \in \tau_s$.

Now, we give an example which shows that $\tau_s \not\subseteq \tau_m$.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$ and define $m(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$. Then (X, m) is a M -metric space. It is clear that every single point subset of X is sequentially open. Therefore τ_s is a discrete topology on X . Now, letting $x \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, one sees that $B(x, \varepsilon) = (x - 2\varepsilon, x + 2\varepsilon) \cap X$. Thus for $x \neq 0$ the single point $\{x\}$ is not open with respect to τ_m .

Remark 2.2. We can show that the M -convergence of a sequence on a M -metric space coincides with the convergence with respect to τ_m . Indeed, let (X, m) be a M -metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Suppose that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ M -converges to $x \in X$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (m(x_n, x) - m_{x_n x}) = 0$. Now, we take $U \in \tau_m$ such that $x \in U$. Since $U \in \tau_m$ and $x \in U$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$. Because

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (m(x_n, x) - m_{x_n, x}) = 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m(x_n, x) - m_{x_n, x} < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. It follows that $x_n \in B(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x with respect to τ_m on X . Similarly, it can be shown that if the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x respect to the topology τ_m then it M -converges to x .

Now, let (X, m) be a M -metric space. Define the class of all nonempty closed subsets of X with respect to τ_m by $C_m(X)$ and the class of all nonempty closed subsets of X with respect to τ_s by $C_s(X)$. It is clear that $C_m(X) \subseteq C_s(X)$. Let $T : X \rightarrow C_s(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping. For a positive constant $b \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in X$, we define a set

$$T_b^x(m) = \{y \in Tx : bm(x, y) \leq m(x, Tx)\},$$

where

$$m(x, Tx) = \inf\{m(x, y) : y \in Tx\}.$$

If $m(x, Tx) > 0$, then $T_b^x(m)$ is nonempty for all $b \in (0, 1)$. However if $m(x, Tx) = 0$, then $T_b^x(m)$ can be empty.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{-1, -1 + \frac{1}{n} : n > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and define a M -metric on X as

$$m(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = y = -1, \\ |x - y|, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $T : X \rightarrow C_s(X)$ as $Tx = X$. Now, for $x = -1$, we have $m(x, Tx) = 0$, $m(x, y) > 0$ for all $y \in Tx$. It follows that $T_b^x(m) = \emptyset$, $\forall b \in (0, 1)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let (X, m) be a M -metric space. Let $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$. If $m(x, A) = 0$, then $x \in \overline{A}^s \subseteq \overline{A}^m$.

Proof. Let $m(x, A) = 0$ and $U \in \tau_s$ such that $x \in U$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in A$ such that $m(x, x_n) < \frac{1}{n}$. In this case, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x) = 0$, $U \in \tau_s$ and $x \in U$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Thus $x_n \in A \cap U$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence, $x \in \overline{A}^s$. \square

Remark 2.5. Note that, if $x \in \overline{A}^m$, then $m(x, A)$ may not be 0. For example, let (X, m) be a M -metric space as in Example 2.1, $A = [1, 2)$ and $x = 1$. Then $x \in \overline{A}^m$, but $m(x, A) > 0$.

Proposition 2.6. Let (X, m) be a M -metric space, $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$. Then, $\inf\{m(x, y) - m_{xy} : y \in A\} = 0$ if and only if $x \in \overline{A}^m$.

Proof. Let $\inf\{m(x, y) - m_{xy} : y \in A\} = 0$ and $r > 0$. From the definition of the infimum, there exists $y_r \in A$ such that $m(x, y_r) - m_{xy_r} < r$. In this case $y_r \in B(x, r)$. So $y_r \in A \cap B(x, r)$. Therefore $x \in \overline{A}^m$. Now, let $x \in \overline{A}^m$. There exists $y_n \in A$ such that $m(x, y_n) - m_{xy_n} < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\inf\{m(x, y) - m_{xy} : y \in A\} \leq m(x, y_n) - m_{xy_n} < \frac{1}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we get that $\inf\{m(x, y) - m_{xy} : y \in A\} = 0$. \square

Now, we are in a position to give our main result.

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, m) be a M -complete M -metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow C_m(X)$ be a multivalued map. If there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ such that for any $x \in X$ with $m(x, Tx) > 0$, there is $y \in T_b^x(m)$ satisfying

$$m(y, Ty) \leq cm(x, y).$$

Then T has a fixed point in X provided that $c < b$ and the function $f(x) = m(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_m .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. If $m(x_0, Tx_0) = 0$, then $x_0 \in \overline{Tx_0}^m = Tx_0$, that is, x_0 is a fixed point of T . Assume that $m(x_0, Tx_0) > 0$. Since $T_b^{x_0}(m)$ is nonempty, there exist $x_1 \in T_b^{x_0}(m)$ such that

$$m(x_1, Tx_1) \leq cm(x_0, x_1).$$

If $m(x_1, Tx_1) = 0$, then x_1 is a fixed point of T . Assume that $m(x_1, Tx_1) > 0$. Then, there exists $x_2 \in T_b^{x_1}(m)$ such that

$$m(x_2, Tx_2) \leq cm(x_1, x_2).$$

Continuing this process, we can generate a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $m(x_n, Tx_n) > 0$, $x_{n+1} \in T_b^{x_n}(m)$ and

$$m(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}) \leq cm(x_n, x_{n+1}). \quad (2.1)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $x_{n+1} \in T_b^{x_n}(m)$, we have

$$bm(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq m(x_n, Tx_n). \quad (2.2)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$m(x_n, Tx_n) \leq \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^n m(x_0, Tx_0) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$m(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^n m(x_0, x_1) \quad (2.4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, from (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, Tx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(x_n, x_m) - m_{x_n x_m} &\leq (m(x_n, x_{n+1}) - m_{x_n x_{n+1}}) + (m(x_{n+1}, x_m) - m_{x_{n+1} x_m}) \\ &\leq (m(x_n, x_{n+1}) - m_{x_n x_{n+1}}) + (m(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) - m_{x_{n+1} x_{n+2}}) \\ &\quad + (m(x_{n+2}, x_m) - m_{x_{n+2} x_m}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq (m(x_n, x_{n+1}) - m_{x_n x_{n+1}}) + \cdots + (m(x_{m-1}, x_m) - m_{x_{m-1} x_m}) \\ &\leq m(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \cdots + m(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^n m(x_0, x_1) + \cdots + \left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^{m-1} m(x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\left(\frac{c}{b}\right)^n}{1 - \frac{c}{b}} m(x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $c < b$, we get

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (m(x_n, x_m) - m_{x_n x_m}) = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand, one has

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{x_n x_{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \{m(x_n, x_n), m(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})\} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} (M_{x_n x_m} - m_{x_n x_m}) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we find that $\{x_n\}$ is a M -Cauchy sequence. Because X is M -complete, one sees that there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (m(x_n, z) - m_{x_n z}) = 0,$$

that is, $\{x_n\}$ converges to z with respect to τ_m . Now, we show that z is fixed point of T . From (2.1) and (2.2), we can say that the sequence $\{m(x_n, Tx_n)\}$ converges 0. Since $f(x) = m(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_m , we get

$$0 \leq m(z, Tz) = f(z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, Tx_n) = 0,$$

that is, $m(z, Tz) = 0$. Hence $z \in \overline{T^m} z = Tz$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.8. Let (X, m) be a M -metric space. X is said to be S -complete if every M -Cauchy sequence converges to a point of X with respect to τ_s .

Remark 2.9. It is clear that every S -complete M -metric space is also M -complete, but the converse may not be true.

If we consider $C_s(X)$ instead of $C_m(X)$ and the lower semicontinuity with respect to τ_s , instead of the lower semicontinuity with respect to τ_m of $f(x)$ we get the following result. Since the class $C_s(X)$ is larger than $C_m(X)$, the following theorem is significant.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, m) be a S -complete M -metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow C_s(X)$ be a multivalued map. If there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ such that for all any $x \in X$ with $m(x, Tx) > 0$, one has $y \in T_b^x(m)$ satisfying

$$m(y, Ty) \leq cm(x, y).$$

Then T has a fixed point in X provided that $c < b$ and the function $f(x) = m(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_s .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.7, we can get a M -Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X . Since X is S -complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to z with respect to τ_s . Similarly, from (2.1) and (2.2), we can say that the sequence $\{m(x_n, Tx_n)\}$ converges to 0. Since $f(x) = m(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_s , we get

$$0 \leq m(z, Tz) = f(z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(x_n, Tx_n) = 0,$$

that is, $m(z, Tz) = 0$. Hence $z \in \overline{T^s} z = Tz$. This completes the proof. \square

Since every metric space and every partial metric space are M -metric space, we can get following results as corollaries of Theorem 2.7.

Corollary 2.11 (Feng-Liu's fixed point theorem). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. If there exist a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ such that there is $y \in T_b^x(d)$ satisfying

$$d(y, Ty) \leq cd(x, y)$$

for all $x \in X$. Then T has a fixed point in X provided that $c < b$ and the function $f(x) = d(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous.

Corollary 2.12. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$ be a multivalued mapping. If there exists a constant $c \in (0, 1)$ such that there is $y \in T_b^x(p)$ satisfying*

$$p(y, Ty) \leq cp(x, y)$$

for all $x \in X$. Then T has a fixed point in X provided that $c < b$ and the function $f(x) = p(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous.

Now, we give two examples comparing the above theorems.

Example 2.13. Let $X = \{0\} \cup [1, \infty)$ and $m(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$. Then, by taking into account Lemma 2.1 (2) of [16], we can see that (X, m) is M -complete M -metric space. Now define $T : X \rightarrow C_m(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{0, 1\}, & x \in \{0\} \cup [1, 2], \\ \{\frac{x}{2}, x\}, & x > 2. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $f(x) = m(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_m , which is usual topology on X . On the other hand, for all $x \in X$ with $m(x, Tx) > 0$, there exists $y \in T_{0,75}^x(m)$ such that

$$m(y, Ty) \leq cm(x, y) \text{ with } c = 0,5.$$

Using Theorem 2.7, we find that T has a fixed point in X .

Although $C_m(X) \subseteq C_s(X)$, we can not apply Theorem 2.10 to this example since (X, m) is not S -complete. To see this, let us consider the M -Cauchy sequence defined as $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$, which is not convergent with respect to τ_s .

Example 2.14. Let be $X = \{0, 1\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} : n > 1, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $m(x, y) = \min\{x, y\}$. Then (X, m) is S -complete M -metric space. Define a mapping $T : X \rightarrow C_s(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, & x = 0, \\ X, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $f(x) = m(x, Tx)$ is lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_s . On the other hand, for all $x \in X$ with $m(x, Tx) > 0$ there exists $y \in T_{0,7}^x(m)$ such that

$$m(y, Ty) \leq cm(x, y) \text{ with } c = 0,25.$$

Using Theorem 2.10, we find that T has a fixed point in X .

Although S -completeness implies M -completeness, we can not apply Theorem 2.7 to this example since T is not $C_m(X)$ valued. To see this, let us consider $x = 0$. Then $Tx = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}$ is not closed with respect to τ_m .

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Berinde, M. Păcurar, The role of the Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric in fixed point theory, *Creat. Math. Inform.* 22 (2013), 35-42.
- [2] V.I. Istrătescu, *Fixed Point Theory. An Introduction*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, London, UK, 1981.
- [3] S.B. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* 30 (1969), 475-488.
- [4] M. Berinde, V. Berinde, On a general class of multi-valued weakly Picard mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 326 (2007), 772-782.
- [5] Lj. B. Ćirić, Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71 (2009), 2716-2723.
- [6] T. Kamran, Q. Kiran, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings obtained by altering distances, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 54 (2011), 2772-2777.

- [7] N. Mizoguchi, W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 141 (1989), 177-188.
- [8] S. Reich, , Some problems and results in fixed point theory, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis* (Toronto, Ont., 1982), 179-187, *Contemp. Math.*, 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [9] Y. Feng, S. Liu, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued contractive mappings and multi-valued Caristi type mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 317 (2006), 103-112.
- [10] S.G. Matthews, Partial metric topology. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 728. Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications pp. 183-197, 1994.
- [11] R.P. Agarwal, M. A. Alghamdi, N. Shahzad, Fixed point theory for cyclic generalized contractions in partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012), Article ID 40.
- [12] M.A. Alghamdi, N. Shahzad, O. Valero, On fixed point theory in partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (2012), Article ID 175.
- [13] I. Altun, F. Sola, H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, *Topology Appl.* 157 (2010), 2778-2785.
- [14] L. Ćirić, B. Samet, H. Aydi, C. Vetro, Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2011), 2398-2406.
- [15] H.C. Wu, Coincidence point and common fixed point theorems in the product spaces of quasi-ordered metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Var. Anal.* 1 (2017), 175-199.
- [16] M. Asadi, E. Karapınar, P. Salimi, New extension of p -metric spaces with some fixed point results on M -metric spaces, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2014 (2014), Article ID 18.