



AN EXAMPLE OF A METRIC SPACE WITH FINITE DECOMPOSITION COMPLEXITY

SIWEN JIANG¹, YAN WU^{2,*}

College of Data Science, Jiaying University, Jiaying 314001, China

Abstract. We prove that a concrete metric space X_ξ whose transfinite asymptotic dimension is ξ has finite decomposition complexity.

Keywords. Asymptotic dimension; Asymptotic property C ; Finite decomposition complexity; Transfinite asymptotic dimension.

1. INTRODUCTION

In coarse geometry, asymptotic dimension of a metric space is an important concept [5], which can be considered as an asymptotic analogue of the Lebesgue covering dimension. As a large scale analogue of Haver's property C , Dranishnikov introduced the notion of asymptotic property C in [3]. It is well known that every metric space with finite asymptotic dimension has asymptotic property C .

As another generalization of asymptotic dimension, Radul defined the transfinite asymptotic dimension (trasdim) and proved that, for a metric space X , X has asymptotic property C if and only if $\text{trasdim}(X) \leq \alpha$ for some ordinal number α in [8].

Guentner, Tessera, and Yu introduced the notion of finite decomposition complexity to study topological rigidity of manifolds in [6]. They proved that every metric space with finite asymptotic dimension has finite decomposition complexity in [7].

The relation between asymptotic property C and finite decomposition complexity was studied by Dranishnikov and Zarichnyi in [4]. Till now, there is no example of a metric space known which makes a difference between asymptotic property C and finite decomposition complexity. In [9], for every countable ordinal number ξ , we constructed a metric space X_ξ with $\text{trasdim}(X_\xi) = \xi$, which implies that X_ξ has asymptotic property C . In this paper, we prove that X_ξ has finite decomposition complexity.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yanwu@zjxu.edu.cn (Y. Wu).

Received March 31, 2022; Accepted June 10, 2022.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some definitions and properties. In Section 3, which is also the last section, we prove the metric space X_ξ has finite decomposition complexity.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Asymptotic dimension. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $U, V \subseteq X$, let

$$\text{diam } U = \sup\{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in U\} \text{ and } d(U, V) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid x \in U, y \in V\}.$$

Let $R > 0$ and \mathcal{U} be a family of subsets of X . recall that \mathcal{U} is said to be *R-bounded* if

$$\text{diam } \mathcal{U} = \sup\{\text{diam } U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\} \leq R.$$

In this case, \mathcal{U} is said to be *uniformly bounded*. Let $r > 0$. A family \mathcal{U} is said to be *r-disjoint* if

$$d(U, V) > r \text{ for every } U, V \in \mathcal{U} \text{ with } U \neq V.$$

In this paper, we denote the union $\bigcup\{U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ by $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$, and denote the family $\{U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_1 \text{ or } U \in \mathcal{U}_2\}$ by $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$. Let A be a subset of X and $\varepsilon > 0$. We denote $\{x \in X \mid d(x, A) < \varepsilon\}$ by $N_\varepsilon(A)$. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all non-negative integers, and let \mathbb{Z}^+ be the set of all positive integers.

Definition 2.1. [5] A metric space X is said to have *finite asymptotic dimension* if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every $r > 0$, there exists a sequence of uniformly bounded families $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i=0}^n$ of subsets of X such that the family $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{U}_i$ covers X , and each \mathcal{U}_i is *r-disjoint* for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. In this case, we say that the *asymptotic dimension* of X less than or equal to n , which is denoted by $\text{asdim}(X) \leq n$.

Lemma 2.2. [1] Let X be a metric space with $X_1, X_2 \subseteq X$. Then

$$\text{asdim}(X_1 \cup X_2) \leq \max\{\text{asdim}(X_1), \text{asdim}(X_2)\}.$$

2.2. Transfinite asymptotic dimension. In 2010, Radul generalized asymptotic dimension of a metric space X to transfinite asymptotic dimension which is denoted by $\text{trasdim}(X)$; see [8].

Definition 2.3. [2] Let $\text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$ denote the collection of all finite, nonempty subsets of \mathbb{N} , and let $M \subseteq \text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$. For $\sigma \in \{\emptyset\} \cup \text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$, let

$$M^\sigma = \{\tau \in \text{Fin}\mathbb{N} \mid \tau \cup \sigma \in M \text{ and } \tau \cap \sigma = \emptyset\}.$$

Let M^a abbreviate $M^{\{a\}}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the *ordinal number* $\text{Ord}M$ inductively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ord}M = 0 &\Leftrightarrow M = \emptyset, \\ \text{Ord}M \leq \alpha &\Leftrightarrow \forall a \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Ord}M^a \leq \beta \text{ for some } \beta < \alpha, \\ \text{Ord}M = \alpha &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ord}M \leq \alpha \text{ and for any } \gamma < \alpha, \text{Ord}M \leq \gamma \text{ is not true,} \\ \text{Ord}M = \infty &\Leftrightarrow \text{for any ordinal number } \alpha, \text{Ord}M \leq \alpha \text{ is not true.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.4. [2] Let L and L' be sets. Let $\text{Fin}L$ and $\text{Fin}L'$ denote collections of all finite, nonempty subsets of L and L' , respectively. Let $M \subseteq \text{Fin}L$, $M' \subseteq \text{Fin}L'$ and $\phi : L \rightarrow L'$ be a function such that, for every $\sigma \in M$, $\phi(\sigma) \in M'$ and $|\phi(\sigma)| = |\sigma|$. Then $\text{Ord}M \leq \text{Ord}M'$.

Let $M \subseteq \text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$ and K be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} . Then there is a standard bijection φ from \mathbb{N} to K , which keeps the order. We define $M[K] = \left\{ \{ \varphi(k_1), \varphi(k_2), \dots, \varphi(k_m) \} \mid \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m\} \in M \right\}$.

By Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $M \subseteq \text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$ and K be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} . Then $\text{Ord}M = \text{Ord}M[K]$.*

Definition 2.6. [8] Given a metric space X , define the following collection

$$A(X, d) = \left\{ \sigma \in \text{Fin}\mathbb{N} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{there are no uniformly bounded families } \mathcal{U}_i \text{ for } i \in \sigma \\ \text{such that each } \mathcal{U}_i \text{ is } i\text{-disjoint and } \bigcup_{i \in \sigma} \mathcal{U}_i \text{ covers } X \end{array} \right\}.$$

The *transfinite asymptotic dimension* of X is defined as $\text{trasdim}X = \text{Ord } A(X, d)$.

2.3. Finite decomposition complexity.

Definition 2.7. ([6, 7]) Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be metric families. A metric family \mathcal{X} is *r-decomposable* over a metric family \mathcal{Y} if every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ admits a decomposition

$$X = X_0 \cup X_1, \quad X_i = \bigsqcup_{r\text{-disjoint}} X_{ij},$$

where each $X_{ij} \in \mathcal{Y}$. It is denoted by $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{Y}$.

Definition 2.8. ([6, 7])

- (1) Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the collection of uniformly bounded families, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \{ \mathcal{X} \mid \mathcal{X} \text{ is uniformly bounded} \}.$$

- (2) Let α be an ordinal number greater than 0, and let \mathcal{D}_α be the collection of metric families decomposable over $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{D}_\beta$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{ \mathcal{X} \mid \forall r > 0, \exists \beta < \alpha, \exists \mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{D}_\beta, \text{ such that } \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{Y} \}.$$

Remark 2.9. • We view a metric space X as a singleton family $\{X\}$.

- $\mathcal{D}_\beta \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for every $\beta < \alpha$.
- It is known that X has finite asymptotic dimension if and only if X belongs to \mathcal{D}_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\text{asdim}(X) \leq n$ implies $X \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ ([6, 7]).

Lemma 2.10. *Let α and β be ordinal numbers and $\alpha \leq \beta$. Let X and Y be metric spaces such that $X \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ and $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\beta$. Then $X \cup Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta+1}$.*

Proof. Note that, for every $r > 0$, $X \cup Y \xrightarrow{r} \{X, Y\}$ and $\{X, Y\} \in \mathcal{D}_\beta$. Thus $X \cup Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\beta+1}$. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.11. ([6, 7]) A metric family \mathcal{X} has finite decomposition complexity if there exists a countable ordinal number α such that $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$.

A map of families $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} is a collection of functions $F = \{f\}$, each mapping some $X \in \mathcal{X}$ to some $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and such that every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is the domain of at least one $f \in F$.

Definition 2.12. A map of metric space families $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is *uniformly expansive* if there exists a non-decreasing function $\theta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that, for every $f \in F$ and every $x, y \in X_f$, $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \theta(d(x, y))$. A map of metric space families $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is *effectively proper* if there exists a proper non-decreasing function $\delta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that, for every $f \in F$ and every $x, y \in X_f$, $d(f(x), f(y)) \geq \delta(d(x, y))$. A map of metric space families $F : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a *coarse embedding* if it is both uniformly expansive and effectively proper.

Lemma 2.13. (Coarse invariance, [7]) Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two metric families such that there is a coarse embedding $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for some countable ordinal number α , then $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{D}_\alpha$.

2.4. The metric space X_ξ . For each $\tau = \{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s\} \in \text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$, we choose an indexation such that $k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_s$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$K(\tau, n) = \begin{cases} \{k_{n+1}, k_{n+2}, \dots, k_s\} & \text{if } n < s, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } n \geq s, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$i(\tau, n) = \begin{cases} \max\{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_n\} = k_n & \text{if } n \leq s, \\ k_s & \text{if } n > s. \end{cases}$$

For each limit ordinal α , we fix an increasing sequence $\{\zeta_i(\alpha) + i\}$ of ordinals such that each $\zeta_i(\alpha)$ is a limit ordinal or 0, and

$$\alpha = \sup_i \{\zeta_i(\alpha) + i\}.$$

For each countable ordinal number ξ , we write $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$, where $\gamma(\xi)$ is a limit ordinal or 0, and $n(\xi) \in \mathbb{N}$. We define a family $S_\xi \subseteq \text{Fin}\mathbb{N}$ by induction.

Definition 2.14. [9] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let ξ be a countable infinite ordinal number. Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet S_n = \{\sigma \in \text{Fin}\mathbb{N} \mid |\sigma| \leq n\}. \\ & \bullet \\ & S_\xi = S_{\gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)} \\ & = \left\{ \sigma \in \text{Fin}\mathbb{N} \mid K(\sigma, n(\xi)) \in S_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi)) + l} \cup \emptyset \text{ for some } l \in \{1, 2, \dots, i(\sigma, n(\xi))\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } L = \{n + 2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \text{ and } S_\xi[L] = \left\{ \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_s + 2\} \mid \{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_s\} \in S_\xi \right\}.$$

Definition 2.15. [9] For $\tau = \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_m + 2\} \in S_\xi[L]$, we define

$$X_\tau = \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin 2^{k_p}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq p \text{ for every } p \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \right\}.$$

We consider X_τ with sup-metric.

Definition 2.16. [9] Let

$$\bigoplus \mathbb{Z} = \{(x_i) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } x_j = 0 \text{ for each } j \geq k\}$$

with the sup-metric ρ . For every $\tau = \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_m + 2\} \in S_\xi[L]$, we define an isometric embedding

$$i_\tau : X_\tau \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}$$

by for every $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X_\tau$,

$$i_\tau(x)_j = \begin{cases} x_j & \forall j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, \\ 0 & \forall j \notin \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, \end{cases}$$

where $i_\tau(x)_j$ is the j -th coordinate of $i_\tau(x)$.

For every countable ordinal number ξ , we define X_ξ as the disjoint union of X_τ with $\tau \in S_\xi[L]$, i.e.,

$$X_\xi = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in S_\xi[L]} X_\tau$$

with the metric d_ξ which is defined as

$$d_\xi(x, y) = \begin{cases} \rho(i_\tau(x), i_\tau(y)) & \text{if } x, y \in X_\tau, \\ \max\{s(\tau_1), s(\tau_2), \rho(i_{\tau_1}(x), i_{\tau_2}(y))\} & \text{if } x \in X_{\tau_1}, y \in X_{\tau_2} \text{ and } \tau_1 \neq \tau_2, \end{cases}$$

where $s(\tau) = 2^{\max \tau}$ for every $\tau \in S_\xi[L]$.

Lemma 2.17. [9] *Let $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$ be a countable infinite ordinal number and $\tau = \{k_0, \dots, k_s\} \in S_\xi$. Then $\tau \in S_{\xi_l(\gamma(\xi)) + l + n(\xi) + 1}$ for some $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, i(\tau, n(\xi))\}$.*

Lemma 2.18. [9] *$S_{\gamma+n} \subseteq S_{\gamma+m}$, where γ is a limit ordinal or 0 and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n < m$.*

Lemma 2.19. *$\text{asdim}(X_n) \leq n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$Y_{0,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_n[L] \\ s(\tau) \leq r}} X_\tau, \quad Y_{1,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_n[L] \\ s(\tau) > r}} X_\tau.$$

Note that $X_\tau \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ for every $\tau \in S_n[L]$. Since $\text{asdim}(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq n$, there are r -disjoint, $B(r)$ -bounded families $\mathcal{U}_0(\tau), \mathcal{U}_1(\tau), \dots, \mathcal{U}_n(\tau)$ such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{U}_i(\tau)$ covers X_τ . For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let

$$\mathcal{U}_i = \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_i(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_n[L] \text{ and } s(\tau) > r \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_n$ are r -disjoint, $B(r)$ -bounded families such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{U}_i$ covers $Y_{1,r}$.

Note that $\{\tau \mid \tau \in S_n[L], s(\tau) \leq r\}$ is a finite set. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\text{asdim}(Y_{0,r}) \leq \max \{ \text{asdim}(X_\tau) \mid \tau \in S_n[L], s(\tau) \leq r \} \leq \text{asdim}(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq n.$$

There are r -disjoint, uniformly bounded families $\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{V}_1, \dots, \mathcal{V}_n$ such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{V}_i$ covers $Y_{0,r}$. For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathcal{W}_i = \mathcal{U}_i \cup \mathcal{V}_i$. Since $d(Y_{0,r}, Y_{1,r}) > r$, $\mathcal{W}_0, \mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_n$ are r -disjoint, uniformly bounded families such that $\bigcup_{i=0}^n \mathcal{W}_i$ covers X_n , i.e., $\text{asdim}(X_n) \leq n$. \square

3. MAIN RESULTS

Now we prove that the metric space X_ξ has finite decomposition complexity. More specifically, $X_{\gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)}$ for any countable infinite ordinal ξ with $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$, where $\gamma(\xi)$ is a limit ordinal and $n(\xi) \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.1.

$$X_n = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in S_n[L]} X_\tau \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.19, we have $\text{asdim}(X_n) \leq n$, which implies that $X_n \in \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$. \square

For every $n, i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, let

$$Y_{i,n,1} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^i \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin 2^n \mathbb{Z}\}| \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is considered as a subspace of the metric space $(\oplus \mathbb{Z}, \rho)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \geq 4$, there exist $n = r \in \mathbb{N}$ and r -disjoint, 2^n -bounded families $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1$ such that $\mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1$ covers $Y_{i,n,1}$.*

Proof. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq 4$, choose $n = r \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \left\{ \left(\prod_{t=1}^i (n_t 2^n - r, n_t 2^n + r) \right) \cap Y_{i,n,1} \mid n_t \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \left\{ \left(\left(\prod_{t=1}^{j-1} (n_t 2^n - r, n_t 2^n + r) \times [n_j 2^n + r, (n_j + 1) 2^n - r] \times \prod_{t=j+1}^i (n_t 2^n - r, n_t 2^n + r) \right) \cap Y_{i,n,1} \mid \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. n_t \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, i\} \right\}$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{U}_0 and \mathcal{U}_1 are r -disjoint and 2^n -bounded families.

Now for every $x = (x_1, \dots, x_i) \in Y_{i,n,1} \setminus (\cup \mathcal{U}_0)$, there exists unique $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$ such that

$$x_j \in [n_j 2^n + r, (n_j + 1) 2^n - r].$$

It follows that $x \in \cup \mathcal{U}_1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1$ covers $Y_{i,n,1}$. \square

Lemma 3.3.

$$X_{\omega+1} = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L]} X_\tau \in \mathcal{D}_\omega.$$

Moreover, $X_\omega \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$.

Proof. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$Y_{0,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L] \\ i(\tau,1) \leq r+2}} X_\tau, \quad Y_{1,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L] \\ i(\tau,1) > r+2}} X_\tau.$$

For $\tau = \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_m + 2\} \in S_{\omega+1}[L]$ and $i(\tau, 1) \leq r + 2$, let $\tilde{\tau} = \{k_0, k_1, \dots, k_m\}$. Then

$$\tilde{\tau} \in S_{\omega+1} \text{ and } i(\tilde{\tau}, 1) \leq r.$$

Note that $\zeta_l(\omega) = 0$. By Lemma 2.17, one has

$$\tilde{\tau} \in S_{l+2} \text{ for some } l \in \{1, 2, \dots, i(\tilde{\tau}, 1)\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, r\}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\tau} \in \bigcup_{l=1}^r S_{l+2},$$

which implies that

$$\tau \in \bigcup_{l=1}^r S_{l+2}[L].$$

It follows that

$$Y_{0,r} \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^r X_{l+2}.$$

By Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 3.1, $Y_{0,r} \in \mathcal{D}_{r+4}$. Note that, for every $\tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L]$ and $i(\tau, 1) > r+2$, $X_\tau \subseteq Y_{j,r,1}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.2, there exist r -disjoint and $2'$ -bounded families $\mathcal{U}_0(\tau), \mathcal{U}_1(\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{U}_0(\tau) \cup \mathcal{U}_1(\tau)$ covers X_τ . Let

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_0(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L] \text{ and } i(\tau, 1) > r+2 \right\} \cup \{Y_{0,r}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}_1(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L] \text{ and } i(\tau, 1) > r+2 \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_1$ are r -disjoint families such that $\mathcal{U}_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{r+4}$, $\mathcal{U}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0$ and $\mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1$ covers $X_{\omega+1}$. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1$. Then $X_{\omega+1} \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{D}_{r+4}$. Thus $X_{\omega+1} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$. By Lemma 2.18, we have

$$X_\omega = \bigsqcup_{\tau \in S_\omega[L]} X_\tau \subseteq \bigsqcup_{\tau \in S_{\omega+1}[L]} X_\tau = X_{\omega+1} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega.$$

□

Definition 3.4. Let $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$ be a countable infinite ordinal number with $n(\xi) \geq 1$ and let $\tau = \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_m + 2\} \in S_\xi[L]$ such that $m \geq n(\xi)$. For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(\xi)\}$, let

$$X_{\tau,i} = \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid \begin{array}{l} |\{j \mid x_j \notin 2^{k_{n(\xi)}}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq i \text{ and} \\ |\{j \mid x_j \notin 2^{k_p}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq p, \forall p \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{n(\xi)\} \end{array} \right\},$$

and let

$$X_\xi^i = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1}} X_{\tau,i}.$$

Remark 3.5. Note that

$$X_{\tau,1} \subseteq X_{\tau,2} \subseteq \dots \subseteq X_{\tau,n(\xi)} = X_\tau, X_\xi^i \subseteq X_\xi \text{ and } X_\xi = X_\xi^{n(\xi)} \cup \left(\bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \leq n(\xi)}} X_\tau \right).$$

Lemma 3.6. For every countable infinite ordinal number $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$ with $n(\xi) \geq 1$,

$$X_\xi^1 = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1}} X_{\tau,1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)}.$$

Proof. We prove it by induction on ξ .

- Let $\xi = \omega + 1$. By Lemma 3.3 and $X_{\omega+1}^1 \subseteq X_{\omega+1}, X_{\omega+1}^1 \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$. i.e., the result is true for $\xi = \omega + 1$.

- Assume that the result is true for every countable infinite ordinal number $\alpha = \gamma(\alpha) + n(\alpha)$ such that $\alpha < \xi$ and $n(\alpha) \geq 1$. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$Y_{0,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) \leq r+2}} X_{\tau,1}, \quad Y_{1,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2}} X_{\tau,1},$$

then $X_\xi^1 = Y_{0,r} \cup Y_{1,r}$.

For $\tau \in S_\xi[L]$ with $i(\tau, n(\xi)) \leq r+2$, by Lemma 2.17, $\tau \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{r+2} S_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}[L]$. Then

$$Y_{0,r} \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^{r+2} X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}^1.$$

By inductive assumption,

$$X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}^1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l} \text{ for each } l \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+2\}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.10,

$$Y_{0,r} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_{r+2}(\gamma(\xi))+r+3}.$$

Note that for every $\tau \in S_\xi[L]$ and $i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2$, $X_{\tau,1} \subseteq Y_{j,r,1}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.2, there exist r -disjoint, 2^r -bounded families $\mathcal{W}_0(\tau), \mathcal{W}_1(\tau)$ such that $\mathcal{W}_0(\tau) \cup \mathcal{W}_1(\tau)$ covers $X_{\tau,1}$. Let

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{W}_0(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_\xi[L], |\tau| \geq n(\xi) + 1 \text{ and } i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2 \right\} \cup \{Y_{0,r}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{W}_1(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_\xi[L], |\tau| \geq n(\xi) + 1 \text{ and } i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2 \right\},$$

then $\mathcal{W}_0 \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_{r+2}(\gamma(\xi))+r+3}$ and $\mathcal{W}_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0$. Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_0 \cup \mathcal{W}_1$, then

$$X_\xi^1 \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{W} \text{ and } \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_{r+2}(\gamma(\xi))+r+3}.$$

Therefore, $X_\xi^1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)}$.

□

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$ be a countable infinite ordinal number with $n(\xi) \geq 1$ and let $\tau = \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_m + 2\} \in S_\xi[L]$ with $m \geq n(\xi)$. Then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(\xi)\}$ and for every $r > 0$,*

$$X_{\tau,i} \cap N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) = \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1 \right\} \cap X_{\tau,i}.$$

Proof. • First we prove that

$$X_{\tau,i} \cap N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \subseteq \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1 \right\} \cap X_{\tau,i}.$$

For every $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in X_{\tau,i} \cap N_r(X_{\tau,i-1})$, there exists $y = (y_i)_{i=0}^m \in X_{\tau,i-1} \subseteq X_{\tau,i}$ such that $d(x, y) < r$, which implies that $d(x_i, y_i) < r$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Note that

$$|\{j \mid y_j \notin 2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq i-1 \text{ and } y_j \in 2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z} \text{ implies } x_j \in N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z}).$$

Then

$$|\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq |\{j \mid y_j \notin 2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq i-1,$$

and then

$$x \in \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1 \right\} \cap X_{\tau,i}.$$

• Now we prove that

$$\left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1 \right\} \cap X_{\tau,i} \subseteq X_{\tau,i} \cap N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}).$$

Assume that $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^m$ such that $x \in X_{\tau,i}$ and $|\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1$. Let

$$I_p = \{j \mid x_j \in N_r(2^{k_p}\mathbb{Z})\} \text{ for } p \in \{0, \dots, m\} \text{ and } I_{m+1} = \emptyset.$$

Since $k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_m$, $I_m \subseteq I_{m-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_1 \subseteq I_0$. $x \in X_{\tau,i}$ and $|\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1$ imply that $|I_p| \geq m+1-p$, $\forall p \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{n(\xi)\}$ and $|I_{n(\xi)}| \geq m+1-(i-1)$. In particular, $I_m \neq \emptyset$ and $I_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. Note that

$$I_0 = \bigsqcup_{p=0}^m (I_p \setminus I_{p+1}).$$

If $I_p \setminus I_{p+1} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\forall j \in (I_p \setminus I_{p+1}), \text{ there exists } y_j \in 2^{k_i}\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } d(x_j, y_j) < r.$$

Let $y = (y_i)_{i=0}^m$. Note that

$$\forall p \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{n(\xi)\}, |\{j \mid y_j \in 2^{k_p}\mathbb{Z}\}| = |I_p| \geq m+1-p$$

and

$$|\{j \mid y_j \in 2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z}\}| = |I_{n(\xi)}| \geq m+1-(i-1).$$

Then

$$\forall p \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{n(\xi)\}, |\{j \mid y_j \notin 2^{k_p}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq p \text{ and } |\{j \mid y_j \notin 2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq i-1.$$

It follows that $y \in X_{\tau,i-1}$. So $x \in N_r(X_{\tau,i-1})$. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$ be a countable infinite ordinal number with $n(\xi) \geq 1$ and let $\tau = \{k_0+2, k_1+2, \dots, k_m+2\} \in S_\xi[L]$ such that $m \geq n(\xi)$. Then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(\xi)\}$ and for every $r > 0$,*

$$X_{\tau,i} \subseteq N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \cup \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\}.$$

Proof. For every $x = (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in X_{\tau,i} \setminus N_r(X_{\tau,i-1})$, $x \in X_{\tau,i}$ implies $x \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1}$ and

$$|\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq |\{j \mid x_j \notin 2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z}\}| \leq i.$$

Suppose that $|\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| \leq i-1$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $x \in N_r(X_{\tau,i-1})$, which is a contradiction. Then $|\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i$. It follows that

$$X_{\tau,i} \setminus N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \subseteq \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\}.$$

Thus

$$X_{\tau,i} \subseteq N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \cup \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_n(\xi)}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\}.$$

□

Proposition 3.9. *For every countable infinite ordinal number $\xi = \gamma(\xi) + n(\xi)$ such that $n(\xi) \geq 1$, and for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(\xi)\}$,*

$$X_\xi^i = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1}} X_{\tau,i} \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)+i-1}.$$

In particular, $X_\xi^{n(\xi)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi-1}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $X_{\omega+1} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$. It follows that $X_{\omega+1}^1 \in \mathcal{D}_\omega$. By Lemma 3.6, $X_\xi^1 \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)}$. Assume that $X_{\tilde{\xi}}^{n(\tilde{\xi})} \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\xi}-1}$ for every countable infinite ordinal number $\tilde{\xi}$ such that $\tilde{\xi} < \xi$, $n(\tilde{\xi}) \geq 1$, and $X_\xi^{i-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)+i-2}$. Now we show that $X_\xi^i \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)+i-1}$. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$Y_{0,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) \leq r+2}} X_{\tau,i}, \quad Y_{1,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2}} X_{\tau,i}.$$

Then $X_\xi^i = Y_{0,r} \cup Y_{1,r}$. For $\tau \in S_\xi[L]$ with $i(\tau, n(\xi)) \leq r+2$, by Lemma 2.17,

$$\tau \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{r+2} S_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}[L].$$

Then

$$Y_{0,r} \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^{r+2} X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}^i.$$

Note that, for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+2\}$,

$$X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}^i \subseteq X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)+1}^{l+n(\xi)+1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+n(\xi)}$$

by inductive assumption. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$Y_{0,r} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_{r+2}(\gamma(\xi))+r+n(\xi)+3}.$$

Observe that $\tau = \{k_0 + 2, k_1 + 2, \dots, k_m + 2\} \in S_\xi[L]$ such that $m \geq n(\xi)$. By Corollary 3.8, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n(\xi)\}$,

$$X_{\tau,i} \subseteq N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \cup \left\{ (x_j)_{j=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_{n(\xi)}}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\}.$$

$\left\{ (x_j)_{j=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_{n(\xi)}}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\}$ implies that $2^{k_{n(\xi)}} \geq 2r$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}(\tau) = & \left\{ (\{x_t\}_{t=0}^{j_1-1} \times [n_{j_1}2^{k_{n(\xi)}} + r, (n_{j_1} + 1)2^{k_{n(\xi)}} - r] \times (x_t)_{t=j_1+1}^{j_2-1} \right. \\ & \times [n_{j_2}2^{k_{n(\xi)}} + r, (n_{j_2} + 1)2^{k_{n(\xi)}} - r] \times \{x_t\}_{t=j_2+1}^{j_3-1} \\ & \times \dots \times \{x_t\}_{t=j_{i-1}+1}^{j_i-1} \times [n_{j_i}2^{k_{n(\xi)}} + r, (n_{j_i} + 1)2^{k_{n(\xi)}} - r] \\ & \left. \times \{x_t\}_{t=j_i+1}^m \right) \cap (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \\ & \left| x_t \in 2^{k_{n(\xi)}}\mathbb{Z}, n_{j_k} \in \mathbb{Z}, j_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, i\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{U}(\tau)$ is r -disjoint and

$$\left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_{n(\xi)}}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\} \subseteq \left(\bigcup \mathcal{U}(\tau) \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\tau,i} &\subseteq N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \cup \left\{ (x_i)_{i=0}^m \in (2^{k_0}\mathbb{Z})^{m+1} \mid |\{j \mid x_j \notin N_r(2^{k_{n(\xi)}}\mathbb{Z})\}| = i \right\} \\ &\subseteq N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \cup \left(\bigcup \mathcal{U}(\tau) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2}} N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \subseteq N_r \left(\bigcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2}} X_{\tau,i-1} \right) \subseteq N_r(X_\xi^{i-1}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)+i-2}$$

and there is a natural coarse embedding

$$F : \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_\xi[L], |\tau| \geq n(\xi) + 1 \text{ and } i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r + 2 \right\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{Z}^i\}$$

such that, for every $f \in F$ and every $x, y \in X_f$, $d(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y)$. By Lemma 2.13 and $\{\mathbb{Z}^i\} \in \mathcal{D}_i$, $\left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_\xi[L], |\tau| \geq n(\xi) + 1 \text{ and } i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r + 2 \right\} \in \mathcal{D}_i$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \left\{ \bigcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r+2}} N_r(X_{\tau,i-1}) \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \left\{ U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\tau) \text{ for some } \tau \in S_\xi[L], |\tau| \geq n(\xi) + 1 \text{ and } i(\tau, n(\xi)) > r + 2 \right\} \cup \{Y_{0,r}\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U}_0 \cup \mathcal{U}_1$. Then $X_\xi^i \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)+i-2}$. Therefore, $X_\xi^i \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)+i-1}$. \square

Corollary 3.10. $X_\xi \in \mathcal{D}_\xi$ for every countable infinite ordinal number ξ .

Proof. • If $n(\xi) \geq 1$, then we let

$$Y_0 = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \leq n(\xi)}} X_\tau, \quad Y_1 = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| > n(\xi)}} X_\tau.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$Y_0 \subseteq \bigsqcup_{\tau \in S_{n(\xi)}[L]} X_\tau = X_{n(\xi)} \in \mathcal{D}_{n(\xi)+1}.$$

Note that

$$Y_1 = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1}} X_\tau = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1}} X_{\tau, n(\xi)} = X_\xi^{n(\xi)} \in \mathcal{D}_{\xi-1}$$

by Proposition 3.9. Thus $X_\xi = Y_0 \cup Y_1 \in \mathcal{D}_\xi$.

- If $n(\xi) = 0$, then, for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we let

$$Y_{0,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau,0) \leq r+2}} X_{\tau,i}, \quad Y_{1,r} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S_\xi[L] \\ |\tau| \geq n(\xi)+1 \\ i(\tau,0) > r+2}} X_{\tau,i}.$$

Thus $X_\xi = Y_{0,r} \cup Y_{1,r}$. For $\tau \in S_\xi[L]$ with $i(\tau,0) \leq r+2$, by Lemma 2.17, we have $\tau \in \bigcup_{l=1}^{r+2} S_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+1}[L]$. Then $Y_{0,r} \subseteq \bigcup_{l=1}^{r+2} X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+1}$. Note that

$$X_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_l(\gamma(\xi))+l+1}$$

for each $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, r+2\}$. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that $Y_{0,r} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_{r+2}(\gamma(\xi))+r+4}$. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\{x\} \mid x \in Y_{1,r}\}$. Then \mathcal{U} is a r -disjoint and uniformly bounded family. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{U} \cup \{Y_{0,r}\}$. Thus $X_\xi \xrightarrow{r} \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{D}_{\zeta_{r+2}(\gamma(\xi))+r+4}$. Therefore, $X_\xi \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(\xi)} = \mathcal{D}_\xi$. \square

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Innovation and Entrepreneurship Training Program for Colleague Students under Grant No. 202110354041.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Bell, A. Dranishnikov, Asymptotic dimension in Bedlewo, *Topol. Proc.* 38 (2011) 209-236.
- [2] P. Borst, Classification of weakly infinite-dimensional spaces, *Fund. Math.* 130 (1988), 1-25.
- [3] A. Dranishnikov, Asymptotic topology, *Russ. Math. Surv.* 55 (2000) 1085–1129.
- [4] A. Dranishnikov, M. Zarichnyi, Asymptotic dimension, decomposition complexity, and Haver's property C, *Topol. Appl.* 169 (2014) 99-107.
- [5] M. Gromov, Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups. In: *Geometric Group Theory, Vol.2*, Sussex, 1991, In: *Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.*, vol.182, pp. 1–295, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [6] E. Guentner, R. Tessera, G. Yu, A notion of geometric complexity and its application to topological rigidity, *Invent. Math.* 189 (2012), 315-357.
- [7] E. Guentner, R. Tessera, G. Yu, Discrete groups with finite decomposition complexity, *Groups Geom. Dyn.* 7 (2013), 377-402.
- [8] T. Radul, On transfinite extension of asymptotic dimension, *Topol. Appl.* 157 (2010) 2292-2296.
- [9] Y. Wu, J. Zhu, T. Radul, On metric spaces with given transfinite asymptotic dimensions, *Topol. Appl.* 314 (2022) 108135.