

Journal of Nonlinear Functional Analysis

Available online at http://jnfa.mathres.org



BIFURCATION ANALYSIS FOR A DISCRETE SPACE-TIME MODEL OF LOGISTIC TYPE

 $LI XU^{1,2}$

¹Department of Mathematics, Lishui University, Lishui 323000, China ²School of Science, Tianjin University of Commerce, Tianjin 300134, China

Abstract. In this paper, a discrete space-time logistic model with Neumann boundry conditions is considered. It is shown that the system undergoes a flip bifurcation at the unique positive equilibrium by means of the theory of the normal form and center manifolds.

Keywords. Bifurcation; Center manifold; Discrete space-time; Logistic equations.

1. Introduction

It is known that bifurcation phenomena can occur in parameter dependent dynamical systems. When the value of one or more parameters is smoothly varied, changes in the qualitative structure of the solutions for certain parameter values may occur. There have been numerous publications concerning the bifurcation analysis of the solutions of dynamic models which contain continuous dynamics models in physical systems, and discrete dynamics ones in biological, social and economical systems; see, e.g., [1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 17, 22] and the references therein.

Within the latter class of systems, particularly in population dynamics, a model is the set of logistic equations, henceforth, which can be written as $x_{t+1} = \frac{px_t}{1+qx_t}$, which is the discrete-time version of the following widely used logistic differential equation [15] $\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = x(t)(a - bx(t))$, where a and b are constants.

In almost all the real ecosystems, the population dynamics include both temporal reproduction processes and spatial diffusion processes; see [16]. Then the following discrete logistic model with diffusion can be obtained

$$u_i^{t+1} = d\nabla^2 u_i^t + \frac{p u_i^t}{1 + u_i^t}, i \in [1, m],$$
(1.1)

E-mail address: beifang_x1@163.com.

Received April 5, 2021; Accepted June 21, 2022.

^{*}Corresponding author.

with Neumann boundry conditions

$$u_0^t = u_1^t, u_m^t = u_{m+1}^t, (1.2)$$

where m is a positive integer, d > 0 is a diffusion parameter, and

$$\nabla^2 u_i^t = u_{i+1}^t - 2u_i^t + u_{i-1}^t.$$

The bifurcation of continuous diffusive models were studied extensively recently; see, e.g., [9, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26, 27, 28]. For example, in [9], the existence of the Hopf bifurcation of a diffusive single species model with stage structure and strong Allee effect subject to homogeneous Neumann boundary was investigated by analyzing the corresponding characteristic equation, and the stability and direction of bifurcating periodic solutions were determined by means of the theory of the normal form and center manifold. We also know that numerical solutions or approximate solutions of the discrete-time models can be obtained more easily, and many results were shown that simple difference equations may exhibit more richer properties, such as period-doubling bifurcation and chaos than continuous-time models [11]. Then the bifurcation analysis on discrete systems with diffusion may be interesting and be more challenging in the study of mathematical theory. Turing bifurcation, named diffusion driven instability, on discrete space-time systems attracted much attention recently; see, e.g., [12, 13, 14, 23, 24] and the references therein. Some authors focus on other bifurcation, such as flip bifurcation; see, e.g., [25, 29, 30]. In [30], Zhang et al. discussed the following model which can generate flip bifurcation

$$\begin{cases} u_{t+1} = \frac{pu_t}{1+u_t} + d(-u_t + v_t), \\ v_{t+1} = \frac{pv_t}{1+v_t} + d(u_t - v_t), \end{cases}$$

which is the spatial form of system (1.1)-(1.2) when m = 2. The same analysis can be found in [29] and [25]. To the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no result on the bifurcations of the general discrete space-time logistic model, such as model (1.1)-(1.2).

Based on the above, this paper focuses on the bifurcation analysis (not including Turing bifurcation) of discrete diffusion system (1.1)-(1.2). The organization of the work is as follows. In Section 2, we study the stability of equilibria and existence of flip bifurcation of system (1.1)-(1.2). We end up our investigation by drawing some conclusions in Section 3, the last section.

2. STABILITY AND BIFURCATION ANALYSIS

The dynamics of system (1.1)-(1.2) is qualitatively the same as that of the following system

tem (1.1)-(1.2) is qualitatively the same as that of the following system
$$\begin{cases}
 u_1^{t+1} = \frac{pu_1^t}{1+u_1^t} + d(-u_1^t + u_2^t), \\
 u_2^{t+1} = \frac{pu_2^t}{1+u_2^t} + d(u_1^t - 2u_2^t + u_3^t), \\
 u_3^{t+1} = \frac{pu_3^t}{1+u_3^t} + d(u_2^t - 2u_3^t + u_4^t), \\
 \vdots \\
 u_{m-1}^{t+1} = \frac{pu_{m-1}^t}{1+u_{m-1}^t} + d(u_{m-2}^t - 2u_{m-1}^t + u_m^t), \\
 u_m^{t+1} = \frac{pu_m^t}{1+u_m^t} + d(u_{m-1}^t - u_m^t).
\end{cases}$$
(2.1)

Then we only need to analyze system (2.1) qualitatively.

For eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla^2 x_{i-1} = \lambda x_i \\ x_0 = x_1, x_m = x_{m+1} \end{cases} i \in [1, m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

there exist [30]

$$\lambda_k = 4\sin^2\frac{(k-1)\pi}{2m},$$

and the corresponding eigenvector

$$\varphi_i^{(k)} = \cos\frac{(k-1)(2i-1)\pi}{2m}, i \in [1, m],$$

for $k \in [1, m]$.

Clearly, the point $E^* = (u_1^*, u_2^*, \dots, u_m^*) = (u^*, u^*, \dots, u^*) = (p-1, p-1, \dots, p-1)$ is the unique positive equilibrium of (2.1). The linearization equation of (2.1) about E^* is

$$u_i^{t+1} = \frac{1}{p} u_i^t + d\nabla^2 u_i^t = L u_i^t.$$

By means of $-\nabla^2 \varphi_{i-1}^{(k)} = \lambda_k \varphi_i^{(k)}$, we can obtain

$$L_k \varphi_{i-1}^{(k)} = (\frac{1}{p} - d\lambda_k) \varphi_i^{(k)} = \beta_k \varphi_i^{(k)}, k = 1, 2, \cdots, m,$$

where L_k is confined to the eigen-subspace for L.

It is well known that the positive steady state E^* of (2.1) is locally asymptotically stable if and only if $|\beta_k| < 1$, namely,

$$\frac{1-p}{p\lambda_k} < d < \frac{1+p}{p\lambda_k},$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ holds. And the bifurcation may occur when it is not satisfied.

Theorem 2.1. System (2.1) does not undergo a Neimark-Sacker, Saddle-Node, Transcritical and Pitchfork bifurcation.

Proof. Since all β_k are real, system (2.1) does not undergo a Neimark-Sacker or Hopf bifurcation. For p > 1, d > 0, the condition $\frac{1}{p} - d\lambda_l = 1$ has not been satisfied, and then system (2.1) does not undergo a Saddle-Node, Transcritical or Pitchfork bifurcation. The proof is complete.

To make $\frac{1}{p} - d\lambda_l = -1$ hold for some a l, we can obtain $d^* = \frac{p+1}{p\lambda_l}$. Furthermore, to make $\left|\frac{1}{p} - d\lambda_j\right| < 1$ hold for $j \neq l$, we can obtain $\lambda_j < \lambda_l$, which means that

$$\lambda_l = \max_{j \in [1,m]} \lambda_j = 4\cos^2 \frac{\pi}{2m},$$

and we can determine the bifurcation equation.

Next, choose d as a bifurcation parameter. By using the central manifold method and the theory of normal form, we obtain the following flip bifurcation theorem.

Theorem 2.2. If p > 1, then system (2.1) undergoes a flip bifurcation at $d = d^*$.

Proof. Let $x_i^t = u_i^t - u_i^*, \delta^t = d - d^*$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix}
x_{1}^{t+1} \\
x_{2}^{t+1} \\
x_{3}^{t+1} \\
\vdots \\
x_{m-1}^{t+1} \\
x_{m}^{t+1} \\
\delta^{t+1}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{p} - d^{*} & d^{*} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d^{*} & \frac{1}{p} - 2d^{*} & d^{*} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d^{*} & \frac{1}{p} - 2d^{*} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{p} - 2d^{*} & d^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & d^{*} & \frac{1}{p} - d^{*} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x_{1}^{t} \\
x_{2}^{t} \\
x_{3}^{t} \\
\vdots \\
x_{m-1}^{t} \\
x_{m}^{t} \\
\delta^{t}
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix}
f_{1}(x_{1}^{t}, x_{2}^{t}, x_{3}^{t}, \cdots, x_{m-1}^{t}, x_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}) \\
f_{2}(x_{1}^{t}, x_{2}^{t}, x_{3}^{t}, \cdots, x_{m-1}^{t}, x_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}) \\
f_{3}(x_{1}^{t}, x_{2}^{t}, x_{3}^{t}, \cdots, x_{m-1}^{t}, x_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}) \\
\vdots \\
f_{m-1}(x_{1}^{t}, x_{2}^{t}, x_{3}^{t}, \cdots, x_{m-1}^{t}, x_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}) \\
f_{m}(x_{1}^{t}, x_{2}^{t}, x_{3}^{t}, \cdots, x_{m-1}^{t}, x_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}) \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, (2.2)$$

where

$$f_{i}(x_{1}^{t}, x_{2}^{t}, x_{3}^{t}, \cdots, x_{m-1}^{t}, x_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t})$$

$$= \begin{cases}
-\frac{2}{p^{2}}(x_{i}^{t})^{2} - x_{i}^{t}\delta^{t} + x_{i+1}^{t}\delta^{t} + \frac{6}{p^{3}}(x_{i}^{t})^{3} & i = 1, \\
+O((|x_{i}^{t}| + |x_{i+1}^{t}| + |\delta^{t}|)^{4}), & \\
-\frac{2}{p^{2}}(x_{i}^{t})^{2} + x_{i-1}^{t}\delta^{t} - 2x_{i}^{t}\delta^{t} + x_{i+1}^{t}\delta^{t} + \frac{6}{p^{3}}(x_{i}^{t})^{3} \\
+O((|x_{i-1}^{t}| + |x_{i}^{t}| + |x_{i+1}^{t}| + |\delta^{t}|)^{4}), & i = m. \\
-\frac{2}{p^{2}}(x_{i}^{t})^{2} + x_{i-1}^{t}\delta^{t} - x_{i}^{t}\delta^{t} + \frac{6}{p^{3}}(x_{i}^{t})^{3} \\
+O((|x_{i-1}^{t}| + |x_{i}^{t}| + |\delta^{t}|)^{4}), & i = m.
\end{cases}$$

We construct an invertible matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & e_{21} & e_{31} & \cdots & e_{m-1,1} & e_{m1} \\ e_{12} & e_{22} & e_{32} & \cdots & e_{m-1,2} & e_{m2} \\ e_{13} & e_{23} & e_{33} & \cdots & e_{m-1,3} & e_{m3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ e_{1,m-1} & e_{2,m-1} & e_{3,m-1} & \cdots & e_{m-1,m-1} & e_{m,m-1} \\ e_{1m} & e_{2m} & e_{3m} & \cdots & e_{m-1,m} & e_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \varphi_1^{(1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_1^{(2)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_1^{(3)} & \cdots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_1^{(m-1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_1^{(m)} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \varphi_2^{(1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_2^{(2)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_2^{(3)} & \cdots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_2^{(m-1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_2^{(m)} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \varphi_3^{(1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_2^{(2)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_2^{(3)} & \cdots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_3^{(m-1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_3^{(m)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \varphi_{m-1}^{(1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_{m-1}^{(2)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_{m-1}^{(3)} & \cdots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_{m-1}^{(m-1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_{m-1}^{(m)} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \varphi_m^{(1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_m^{(2)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_m^{(3)} & \cdots & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_m^{(m-1)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{m}} \varphi_m^{(m)} \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfies $T^{-1} = T'$. By using the translation

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^t \\ x_2^t \\ x_3^t \\ \vdots \\ x_{m-1}^t \\ x_m^t \end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix} w_1^t \\ w_2^t \\ w_3^t \\ \vdots \\ w_{m-1}^t \\ w_m^t \end{pmatrix},$$

system (2.2) can be transformed into

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1^{t+1} \\ w_2^{t+1} \\ w_3^{t+1} \\ \vdots \\ w_{m-1}^{t+1} \\ w_n^{t+1} \\ \delta^{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{p} - d^*\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{p} - d^*\lambda_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{p} - d^*\lambda_3 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{p} - d^*\lambda_{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{p} - d^*\lambda_{m-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_1^t \\ w_2^t \\ w_3^t \\ \vdots \\ w_{m-1}^t \\ w_m^t \\ \delta^t \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} g_1(w_1^t, w_2^t, x_3^t, \cdots, w_{m-1}^t, w_m^t, \delta^t) \\ g_2(w_1^t, w_2^t, x_3^t, \cdots, w_{m-1}^t, w_m^t, \delta^t) \\ g_2(w_1^t, w_2^t, w_3^t, \cdots, w_{m-1}^t, w_m^t, \delta^t) \\ g_3(w_1^t, w_2^t, w_3^t, \cdots, w_{m-1}^t, w_m^t, \delta^t) \\ g_3(w_1^t, w_2^t, w_3^t, \cdots, w_{m-1}^t, w_m^t, \delta^t) \\ g_m(w_1^t, w_2^t, w_3^t$$

where

$$g_{i}(w_{1}^{t}, w_{2}^{t}, w_{3}^{t}, \cdots, w_{m-1}^{t}, w_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}) = -\frac{2}{p^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} \right)^{2}$$

$$+ \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} - \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} e_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} \right) \delta^{t}$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} e_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_{j,k+1} w_{j}^{t} + \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_{j,k-1} w_{j}^{t} \right) \delta^{t}$$

$$+ \frac{6}{p^{3}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} \right)^{3}$$

$$+ O\left(\left(\left| w_{1}^{t} \right| + \left| w_{2}^{t} \right| + \dots + \left| w_{m}^{t} \right| + \left| \delta^{t} \right| \right)^{4} \right).$$

From the center manifold theorem [3], we know that there exists a center manifold $W^c(0,0,\cdots,0)$, which can be approximately represented as follows:

$$W^{c}(\underbrace{0,0,\cdots,0}_{m+1}) = \{(w_{1}^{t},w_{2}^{t},\cdots,w_{m-1}^{t},w_{m}^{t},\delta^{t}) | w_{i} = h_{i}(w_{m}^{t},\delta^{t}), i = 1,2,\cdots,m-1\},\$$

where

$$h_i(w_m^t, \delta^t) = a_{i1}(w_m^t)^2 + a_{i2}w_m^t \delta^t + a_{i3}(\delta^t)^2, i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,$$

and we can obtain

$$\begin{split} w_{i}^{t+1} &= h_{i} \left(-w_{m}^{t} + g_{i}(w_{1}^{t}, w_{2}^{t}, w_{3}^{t}, \cdots, w_{m-1}^{t}, w_{m}^{t}, \delta^{t}), \delta^{t+1} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - d^{*} \lambda_{i} \right) h_{i} - \frac{2}{p^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} h_{j} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right)^{2} \\ &+ \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} h_{j} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right) - \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} h_{j} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right) \right] \delta^{t} \\ &+ \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{j,k+1} h_{j} + e_{m,k+1} w_{m}^{t} \right) + \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{j,k-1} h_{j} + e_{m,k-1} w_{m}^{t} \right) \right] \delta^{t} \\ &+ \frac{6}{p^{3}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} h_{j} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right)^{3} + O\left(\left(\left| w_{m}^{t} \right| + \left| \delta^{t} \right| \right)^{4} \right), j = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1. \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$a_{i1} = -\frac{2}{p^2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} e_{mk},$$

$$a_{i2} = -\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{ik} e_{mk} - \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{ik} e_{mk} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} e_{ik} e_{m,k+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{ik} e_{m,k-1},$$

$$a_{i3} = 0.$$

Thus we consider the map which (2.2) is restricted to the center manifold $W^c(0,0,\cdots,0)$:

$$G: w_{m}^{t+1} = -w_{m}^{t} - \frac{2}{p^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{mk} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right)^{2}$$

$$+ \left[-\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{mk} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right) - \sum_{k=2}^{m-1} e_{mk} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right) \right] \delta^{t}$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} e_{mk} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{j,k+1} w_{j}^{t} + e_{m,k+1} w_{m}^{t} \right) + \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{mk} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{j,k-1} w_{j}^{t} + e_{m,k-1} w_{m}^{t} \right) \right] \delta^{t}$$

$$+ \frac{6}{p^{3}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{mk} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e_{jk} w_{j}^{t} + e_{mk} w_{m}^{t} \right)^{3}$$

$$+ O\left(\left(\left| w_{m}^{t} \right| + \left| \delta^{t} \right| \right)^{4} \right),$$

where $w_j^t = a_{j1}(w_m^t)^2 + a_{j2}w_m^t \delta^t + a_{j3}(\delta^t)^2, j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Observe that

$$\begin{cases} G(0,0) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial \delta} \big|_{(0,0)} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial w_m} \big|_{(0,0)} = -1, \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial w_m \partial \delta} \big|_{(0,0)} = -\sum_{k=1}^m e_{mk}^2 - \sum_{k=2}^m e_{mk}^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} e_{mk} e_{m,k+1} + \sum_{k=2}^m e_{mk} e_{m,k-1}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial w_m^2} \big|_{(0,0)} = -\frac{2}{p^2} \sum_{k=1}^m e_{mk}^2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial \delta^2} \big|_{(0,0)} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^3 G}{\partial w_m^3} \big|_{(0,0)} = \frac{6}{p^3} \sum_{k=1}^m e_{mk}^4. \end{cases}$$
 s that

It follows that

$$(\frac{\partial G}{\partial \delta} \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial w_{m}^{2}} + 2 \frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial w_{m} \partial \delta}) \quad | \quad (0,0)$$

$$= 2(-\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{mk}^{2} - \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{mk}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} e_{mk} e_{m,k+1} + \sum_{k=2}^{m} e_{mk} e_{m,k-1})$$

$$= -2(\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (e_{m,k+1} - e_{m,k})^{2} + e_{m,m}^{2})$$

$$\neq 0,$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial w_m^2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial^3 G}{\partial w_m^3}\right)|_{(0,0)} = \frac{2}{p^4}\left(\sum_{k=1}^m e_{mk}^2\right)^2 + \frac{2}{p^3}\sum_{k=1}^m e_{mk}^4 \neq 0,$$

hold. This completes the proof.

Remark 2.3. If m = 2, then system (2.1) is reduced to

$$\begin{cases} u_{t+1} = \frac{pu_t}{1+u_t} + d(-u_t + v_t), \\ v_{t+1} = \frac{pv_t}{1+v_t} + d(u_t - v_t), \end{cases}$$

whose flip bifurcation was discussed in [30], and bifurcation diagrams were shown. So, we omit the numerical simulations in this work.

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the complex behaviors of a discrete-time logistic model with diffusion by means of the central manifold method and the theory of normal form, and proved that the unique positive fixed point of the system (1.1)-(1.2) can undergo flip bifurcation. Moreover, we obtained the following facts:

- (1) the critical point on the bifurcation is completely determined by the maximum eigenvalue of the Laplace operator;
- (2) the bifurcation at the critical point for the discrete diffusion system is completely determined by the dynamical behaviors on the corresponding eigen-subspace.

Are the above observations applicable to other discrete time and space systems? How to analyze the bifurcation of the coupled discrete time and space systems? We will investigate these questions in our future work.

Funding

This work was supported by Applied Study Program (Grant No. 171006901B, 60204, and WH18012).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Professor Genqi Xu for his helpful comments and valuable suggestions with careful readings which greatly improved the quality of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ausloos, M. Dirickx, The logistic map and the route to chaos from the beginnings to modern applications, Springer, Heidelberg, 2006.
- [2] K. Alligood, T. Sauer, J. Yorke, Chaos: an introduction to dynamical systems, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [3] J. Carr, Application of Center Manifold Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.
- [4] F.F. Gergor, et al., Crossing the Hopf bifurcation in a live predator-prey system, Science 290 (2000) 1358-1360.
- [5] A.N. Gorban, T.A. Tyukina, Dynamic and thermodynamic models of adaptation, Phys. Life Rev. 37 (2021) 17-64.
- [6] J. Guckenheimer, P. Holmes, Nonlinear oscillations, dynamical systems, and bifurcations of vector fields, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [7] K. Hansjorg, Bifurcation Theory: An introduction with applications to PDEs, Springer, Heidelberg, 2003.
- [8] M.A. Han, L.J. Sheng, X. Zhang, Bifurcation theory for finitely smooth planar autonomous differential systems, J. Differential Equations 264 (2018) 3596-3618.
- [9] P. Hao, X. Wang, J. Wei, Hopf bifurcation analysis of a diffusive single species model with stage structure and strong Allee effect, Math. Comput. Simul. 153 (2018) 1-14
- [10] Z. He, X. Lai, Bifurcation and chaotic behavior of a discrete-time predator-prey system, Nonlinear Anal. 12 (2011) 403-417.

- [11] Z. Hu, Z. Teng, L. Zhang, Stability and bifurcation analysis in a discrete SIR epidemic model, Math. Comput. Simulation 97 (2014) 80-93.
- [12] T. Huang, et al., Complex patterns in a space and time discrete predator-prey model with Beddington-DeAngelis functional response, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 118 (2017) 182-199.
- [13] T. Leyshon, E. Tonello, The design principles of discrete turing patterning systems, J. Theoret. Biol. 531 (2021) 110901.
- [14] M. Li, et al., Spiral patterns near Turing instability in a discrete reaction diffusion system, Chaos Solitons Fractals 49 (2013) 1-6.
- [15] P. Liu, X. Cui, A discrete model of competition, Math. Comput. Simul. 49 (1999) 1-12.
- [16] D. Punithan, D.K. Kim, R. McKay, Spatio-temporal dynamics and quantification of daisyworld in two-dimensional coupled map lattices, Ecol. Complex 12 (2012) 43-57.
- [17] L. Salvadori, Bifurcation theory and applications, Springer, Heidelberg, 1984.
- [18] G. Sun, et al., Pattern formation of a spatial predator–prey system, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012) 11151-11162.
- [19] G. Sun, et al., Pattern formation in a spatial S-I model with non-linear incidence rates, J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2007 (2007) P11011.
- [20] Y. Su, J. Wei, J. Shi, Hopf bifurcation in a diffusive Logistic equation with mixed delayed and instantaneous density dependence, J Dyn Diff Equat 24 (2012) 897–925.
- [21] S.F. Tarcyana, R. Cristian, S. Antonio, The influence of a metasolution on the behaviour of the logistic equation with nonlocal diffusion coefficient, Calc. Var. 57 (2018) 100.
- [22] J. Wang, S. Wu, J. Shi, Pattern formation in diffusive predator-prey systems with predator-taxis and preytaxis, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 26 (2021) 1273-1289.
- [23] J. Wang, et al., Analysis of bifurcation chaos and pattern for mation in a discrete time and space Gierer Meinhardt system, Chaos Solitons Fractals 118 (2019) 1-17.
- [24] L. Xu, et al., Turing instability for a two-dimensional Logistic coupled map lattice, Phys. Lett. A 374 (2010) 3447-3450.
- [25] L. Xu, Z. Chang, F. Mai, Bifurcation in a discrete two patch Logistic metapopulation model, Wseas Trans Math 13 (2014) 907-915.
- [26] X. Yan, C. Zhang, Hopf bifurcation in a generalized Logistic reaction-diffusion population model with instantaneous and delayed feedback, Math. Comput. Simul. 190 (2021) 774-792
- [27] X. Yan, C. Zhang, Bifurcation analysis in a diffusive Logistic population model with two delayed density-dependent feedback terms, Nonlinear Anal. 63 (2022) 103394.
- [28] F. Yi, J. Wei, J. Shi, Bifurcation and spatiotemporal patterns in a homogeneous diffusive predator-prey system, J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 1944-1977
- [29] L. Zeng, Y. Zhao, Y. Huang, Period-doubling bifurcation of a discrete metapopulation model with a delay in the dispersion terms, Appl. Math. Lett. 21 (2008) 47-55.
- [30] G. Zhang, R. Zhang, Y. Yan, The diffusion-driven instability and complexity for a single-handed discrete Fisher equation, Appl. Math. Comput. 371 (2020) 124946.