



## POSITIVE PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR A $\phi$ -LAPLACIAN GENERALIZED RAYLEIGH EQUATION WITH A SINGULARITY

ZHENHUI WANG, ZHIBO CHENG\*

School of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454000, China

**Abstract.** This paper explores the existence of positive periodic solutions to a  $\phi$ -Laplacian generalized Rayleigh equation with a singularity as  $(\phi(v'(t)))' + f(t, v'(t)) + g(v(t)) = e(t)$ , where the function  $g$  has a repulsive singularity at  $v = 0$ . According to the Manásevich-Mawhin continuation theorem, we prove the existence of positive periodic solutions to this equation. This result is feasible for the cases of a strong or weak singularity.

**Keywords.**  $\phi$ -Laplacian; Generalized Rayleigh equation; Positive periodic solution; Strong singularity; Weak singularity.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of singular differential equations can be traced back to the paper of Lazer and Solimini [1]. They explored a second-order differential equation with a singularity:

$$u'' - \frac{1}{u^\alpha} = h(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $h(t)$  is a continuous and  $\omega$ -periodic function. They proved the existence of a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution to this equation if all  $\alpha > 0$  and  $h(t)$  has a positive mean value. The condition of  $\alpha \geq 1$  in equation (1.1) is one of the common conditions. It is a so-called strong force condition that can guarantee the existence of positive periodic solutions; see, e.g., [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and the references therein. Correspondingly, the condition of  $0 < \alpha < 1$  in equation (1.1) is a so-called weak force condition that can guarantee the existence of positive periodic solutions of singular differential equations; see, e.g., [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

At the same time, Rayleigh equations with a singularity were also explored by authors [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. For example, Lu et al. [18] discussed  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equations with a singularity in 2016 as follows:

$$(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(u') - g_1(u) + g_2(u) = h(t)$$

\*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [czb\\_1982@126.com](mailto:czb_1982@126.com) (Z. Cheng).

Received January 11, 2023; Accepted September 6, 2023.

and

$$(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(u') + g_1(u) - g_2(u) = h(t),$$

where  $p > 1$  is a constant,  $f$  is a continuous function,  $g_1, g_2 \in C((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$ , when  $u \rightarrow 0^+$ ,  $g_1$  is unbounded, and it has a strong singularity at  $u = 0$ , namely,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \int_1^u g_1(s) ds = +\infty.$$

According to the Manásevich-Mawhin's continuation theorem, they proved the existence of positive periodic solutions to the  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equations. After that, Xin and Yao [20] in 2020 investigated the  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation with a singularity as follows:

$$(|u'|^{p-2}u')' + f(t, u') + g(u) = h(t). \quad (1.2)$$

Based on the Manásevich-Mawhin's continuation theorem, they obtained that equation (1.2) has a positive periodic solution.

Inspired by [18, 20], this paper explores the  $\phi$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation with a singularity as follows:

$$(\phi(v'))' + f(t, v') + g(v) = e(t), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $f$  is continuous, and it is a  $\omega$ -periodic function about  $t$ ,  $f(t, 0) \equiv 0$ ,  $e(t)$  is a  $\omega$ -periodic function,  $g \in C((0, +\infty), \mathbb{R})$  has a repulsive singularity at  $v = 0$ , that is,  $\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} g(v) = -\infty$ . By using the Manásevich-Mawhin continuation theorem, we prove that a new existence criterion of the positive periodic solution to equation (1.3) can be obtained by a weak singularity of repulsive type. In addition, we obtain the existence interval of periodic solutions of equation (1.3). Usually,  $g$  has a weak singularity at  $v = 0$ , which means that

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0^+} \int_1^v g(s) ds < +\infty,$$

where  $\phi : (-\infty, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  of equation (1.3) is a continuous function, which satisfies condition  $\phi(0) = 0$  and the following conditions:

- (B<sub>1</sub>)  $(\phi(v_1) - \phi(v_2))(v_1 - v_2) > 0$  for  $\forall v_1 \neq v_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (B<sub>2</sub>)  $\exists \kappa : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $\kappa(s) \rightarrow +\infty$  when  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ , s.t.,  $\phi(v) \cdot v \geq \kappa(|v|)|v|$  for  $\forall v \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ .

Obviously,  $\phi$  represents many nonlinear operators, that is,

- $\phi_p(v) = |v|^{p-2}v : (-\infty, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ , here the constant  $p$  satisfies the condition of  $p > 1$ ;
- the nonlinear operator  $\phi(v) = ve^{v^2} : (-\infty, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$ .

## 2. THE POSITIVE $\omega$ -PERIODIC SOLUTION TO EQUATION (1.3)

First, we introduce a parameter  $\mu$ , which satisfies the condition of  $\mu \in (0, 1]$ . Then, we embed equation (1.3) into the equation family as follows:

$$(\phi(v'(t)))' + \mu f(t, v'(t)) + \mu g(v(t)) = \mu e(t). \quad (2.1)$$

According to [22, Theorem 3.1], we can obtain the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let the function  $\phi$  satisfy the condition of  $\phi(0) = 0$  and the conditions of  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$ . Let  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ , and  $\sigma_3$  be positive constants, and  $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$  such that the following conditions hold:*

(1) *each possible periodic solution  $v$  to equation (2.1) satisfies  $\sigma_1 < v(t) < \sigma_2$  and  $\|v'\| < \sigma_3$  for all  $t \in [0, \omega]$ , where  $\|v'\| := \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |v'(t)|$ .*

(2)  *$\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  satisfy  $(g(\sigma_1) - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega e(t) dt) (g(\sigma_2) - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega e(t) dt) < 0$ .*

*Then equation (1.3) has at least one  $\omega$ -periodic solution.*

We explore the existence of a positive periodic solution to equation (1.3) with strong or weak singularities. Here we introduce the following notations:

$$\|e\| := \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |e(t)|, e^* := \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} e(t), e_* := \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} e(t), g(+\infty) := \lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} g(v).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have the following main result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the function  $\phi$  satisfy the condition of  $\phi(0) = 0$  and the conditions of  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$ . Let the following conditions hold:*

(H<sub>1</sub>) *assume that  $\alpha$  and  $m$  are constants, which satisfy  $\alpha > 0$  and  $m > 1$  such that  $f(t, v)v \geq \alpha|v|^m$ , for  $(t, v) \in [0, \omega] \times (-\infty, +\infty)$ ;*

(H<sub>2</sub>)  *$g$  is a strictly monotone-increasing function,  $e^* < g(+\infty)$ ;*

(H<sub>3</sub>) *assume that  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are constants, and  $\beta > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $|f(t, v)| \leq \beta|v|^{m-1} + \gamma$ ,  $(t, v) \in [0, \omega] \times \mathbb{R}$ .*

*If  $\alpha > (\frac{\omega}{2g^{-1}(e_*)})^{m-1} \|e\|$ , then equation (1.3) has a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution  $v$  with*

$$v \in \left( g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right).$$

*Proof.* In view of  $\int_0^\omega v'(t) dt = 0$ , we see that there are two points  $t_1, t_2 \in (0, \omega)$  such that  $v'(t_1) \geq 0$  and  $v'(t_2) \leq 0$ . It follows from  $(B_1)$  that

$$\phi(v'(t_1)) \geq 0 \text{ and } \phi(v'(t_2)) \leq 0.$$

Let  $t_3, t_4 \in (0, \omega)$  be the points where the maximum and minimum values of  $\phi(v'(t))$  are obtained, respectively. Obviously, we have the following conditions:

$$(\phi(v'(t_3)))' = 0, \phi(v'(t_3)) \geq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

and  $(\phi(v'(t_4)))' = 0, \phi(v'(t_4)) \leq 0$ . By  $(B_2)$ , we obtain that  $v'(t_3) \geq 0$  and  $v'(t_4) \leq 0$ . From  $(H_1)$ , we have that  $f(t_3, v'(t_3)) \geq 0$  and  $f(t_4, v'(t_4)) \leq 0$ . Substituting (2.2) into equation (2.1), we deduce  $-\mu g(v(t_3)) + \mu e(t_3) = \mu f(t_3, v'(t_3))$  and  $-\mu g(v(t_4)) + \mu e(t_4) = \mu f(t_4, v'(t_4))$ . Since  $f(t_3, v'(t_3)) \geq 0$  and  $f(t_4, v'(t_4)) \leq 0$ . It follows that

$$g(v(t_3)) \leq e(t_3) \leq e^* \text{ and } g(v(t_4)) \geq e(t_4) \geq e_*.$$

As  $g$  is a strictly monotone-increasing function, we obtain

$$v(t_3) \leq g^{-1}(e^*) \text{ and } v(t_4) \geq g^{-1}(e_*). \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.3) and the fact that  $g$  is a continuous function, we can see that exists a point  $\tau \in (0, \omega)$  such that

$$g^{-1}(e_*) \leq v(\tau) \leq g^{-1}(e^*). \tag{2.4}$$

On the other hand, multiplying both sides of equation (2.1) by  $v'(t)$ , and then integrating both sides of equation (2.1) in  $[0, \omega]$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\omega (\phi(v'(t)))'v'(t)dt + \mu \int_0^\omega f(t, v'(t))v'(t)dt + \mu \int_0^\omega g(v(t))v'(t)dt \\ &= \mu \int_0^\omega e(t)v'(t)dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In view of

$$\int_0^\omega (\phi(v'(t)))'v'(t)dt = \int_0^\omega v'(t)d(\phi(v'(t))) = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^\omega g(v(t))v'(t)dt = \int_0^\omega g(v(t))dv(t) = 0,$$

we see from (2.5) that  $\int_0^\omega f(t, v'(t))v'(t)dt = \int_0^\omega e(t)v'(t)dt$ . Furthermore, we have

$$\left| \int_0^\omega f(t, v'(t))v'(t)dt \right| = \left| \int_0^\omega e(t)v'(t)dt \right|.$$

In view of  $\left| \int_0^\omega f(t, v'(t))v'(t)dt \right| = \int_0^\omega |f(t, v'(t))v'(t)|dt$ , we obtain from  $(H_1)$  that

$$\left| \int_0^\omega f(t, v'(t))v'(t)dt \right| \geq \alpha \int_0^\omega |v'(t)|^m dt.$$

By using the Hölder inequality, we can obtain

$$\alpha \int_0^\omega |v'(t)|^m dt \leq \int_0^\omega |e(t)||v'(t)|dt \leq \|e\| \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left( \int_0^\omega |v'(t)|^m dt \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Since  $\left( \int_0^\omega |v'(t)|^m dt \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} > 0$ , we deduce

$$\left( \int_0^\omega |v'(t)|^m dt \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \leq \frac{\|e\| \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\alpha}.$$

which together with (2.4) and the Hölder inequality yields that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( v(\tau) + \int_\tau^t v'(\theta)d\theta + v(\tau) - \int_{t-\omega}^\tau v'(\theta)d\theta \right) \\ &\leq v(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_\tau^t |v'(\theta)|d\theta + \int_{t-\omega}^\tau |v'(\theta)|d\theta \right) \\ &\leq g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\omega |v'(\theta)|d\theta \\ &\leq g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left( \int_0^\omega |v'(\theta)|^m d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\ &\leq g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left( \frac{\|e\| \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \\ &\leq g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} := M_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Hence, it following from (2.4) and (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 v(t) &\geq g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\tau}^t |v'(\theta)| d\theta + \int_{t-\omega}^{\tau} |v'(\theta)| d\theta \right) \\
 &\geq g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\omega} |v'(\theta)| d\theta \\
 &\geq g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left( \int_0^{\omega} |v'(\theta)|^m d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
 &\geq g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{1}{2} \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \left( \frac{\|e\| \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \\
 &\geq g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} := M_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

due to  $\alpha > \|e\| \left( \frac{\omega}{2g^{-1}(e_*)} \right)^{m-1}$ .

Next, we explore a uniform bound of  $v'(t)$ . On account of  $v(0) = v(\omega)$ , we can obtain a point  $t_5 \in [0, \omega]$  with  $v'(t_5) = 0$ . Furthermore,  $\phi(v'(t_5)) = 0$ . It follows from  $(H_3)$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\phi(v')\| &= \max_{t \in [t_5, t_5 + \omega]} \left\{ \left| \int_{t_5}^t (\phi(v'(\theta)))' d\theta \right| \right\} \\
 &\leq \int_0^{\omega} |f(t, v'(t))| dt + \int_0^{\omega} |g(v(t))| dt + \int_0^{\omega} |e(t)| dt \\
 &\leq \beta \int_0^{\omega} |v'(t)|^{m-1} dt + \gamma\omega + \int_0^{\omega} |g(v(t))| dt + \omega\|e\| \\
 &\leq \beta \omega^{\frac{1}{m}} \left( \int_0^{\omega} |v'(t)|^m dt \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} + \gamma\omega + \int_0^{\omega} |g(v(t))| dt + \omega\|e\| \\
 &\leq \beta \omega^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{\|e\| \omega^{\frac{m-1}{m}}}{\alpha} + \gamma\omega + \|g_{M_1}\| \omega + \omega\|e\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\beta\|e\|\omega}{\alpha} + \gamma + \|g_{M_1}\| \omega + \omega\|e\| := M'_3,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|g_{M_1}\| := \max_{M_2 \leq v \leq M_1} |g(v)|$ .

We claim that there is a positive constant  $M_3$  which satisfies the condition of  $M_3 > M'_3 + 1$  such that  $\|v'(t)\| \leq M_3$  for all  $t \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . In fact, if not, there exists a positive constant  $M_4$  with  $\kappa(|v'|) > M_4$  for some  $v' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ . We obtain from  $(B_2)$  that

$$\kappa(|v'|)|v'| \leq |\phi(v')|v' \leq |\phi(v')||v'| \leq M'_3|v'|.$$

Thus  $\kappa(|v'|) \leq M'_3$  for all  $v' \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ , which is a contradiction.

Let  $\sigma_1 < M_2$ ,  $\sigma_2 > M_1$ , and  $\sigma_3 > M_3$  be constants. We obtain a periodic solution  $v$  to equation (2.1), and we have

$$\sigma_1 < v(t) < \sigma_2, \quad \|v'(t)\| < \sigma_3,$$

and the condition (1) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied. Furthermore, let us explore the condition (2) of Lemma 2.1, Actually, because  $(H_2)$ , we obtain

$$g(\sigma_1) - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^{\omega} e(t) dt < 0,$$

and

$$g(\sigma_2) - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega e(t) dt > 0.$$

Hence, condition (2) is also satisfied. By using Lemma 2.1, we can obtain at least one positive periodic solution  $v$  of equation (1.3) which satisfies

$$v \in \left( g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}, g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \right).$$

□

Nest, we present a numerical example that illustrates our results.

**Example 2.3.** We give the following  $\phi$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation, which has a repulsive and strong singularity.

$$(\phi(v'(t)))' + (6 + \sin 8t)v'(t) + 4 - \frac{1}{v(t)} = e^{\cos^2 4t}, \quad (2.7)$$

where relativistic operator  $\phi(v) = ve^{v^2}$ . Obviously,  $\omega = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $f(t, v) = (6 + \sin 8t)v$ ,  $g(v) = 4 - \frac{1}{v}$ ,  $e(t) = e^{\cos^2 4t}$ , and  $e_* = 1$ ,  $e^* = e$ . Thus condition  $(H_2)$  holds. Since  $f(t, v) \cdot v = (6 + \sin 8t) \cdot v^2 \geq 5|v|^2$ ,  $\alpha = 5$ ,  $m = 2$ , then condition  $(H_1)$  holds. Besides,  $|f(t, v)| \leq 7v + 1$ ,  $\beta = 7$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , condition  $(H_3)$  is satisfied.

Next, we consider the conditions  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$

$$(\phi(v))' = (ve^{v^2})' = e^{v^2}(1 + 2v^2) > 0,$$

and

$$\phi(v) \cdot v = v^2 e^{v^2} \geq (|v|e^{|v|^2})|v|.$$

It is easy to see that conditions  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$  hold. Hence,  $g^{-1}(v) = \frac{1}{4-v}$ , and then we obtain

$$\left( \frac{\omega}{2g^{-1}(e_*)} \right)^{m-1} \|e\| = \frac{3}{8} \times \pi \times e \approx 3.2024 < \alpha = 5,$$

$$g^{-1}(e_*) - \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\pi \times e}{40} \approx 0.1198 > 0.119,$$

and

$$g^{-1}(e^*) + \frac{\omega}{2} \left( \frac{\|e\|}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} = \frac{1}{4-e} + \frac{\pi \times e}{40} \approx 0.9937 < 0.994.$$

By using Theorem 2.2, we can obtain at least one positive and  $\frac{\pi}{4}$ -periodic solution  $v$  of equation (2.7), which satisfies  $v \in (0.119, 0.994)$ .

## Funding

This paper was supported by Technological Innovation Talents in Universities and Colleges in Henan Province (21HASTIT025), Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (222300420449), Henan Province “Double First-Class” Discipline Establishment Engineering Cultivation Project (AQ20230718) and Innovative Research Team of Henan Polytechnic University (T2022-7).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Lazer, S. Solimini, On periodic solutions of nonlinear differential equations with singularities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 99 (1987) 109-114.
- [2] Z. Cheng, J. Ren, Periodic and subharmonic solutions for Duffing equation with singularity, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A*, 32 (2012) 1557-1574.
- [3] Z. Cheng, Q. Yuan, Damped superlinear Duffing equation with strong singularity of repulsive type, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 22 (2020) 37.
- [4] A. Fonda, R. Manásevich, F. Zanolin, Subharmonics solutions for some second order differential equations with singularities, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 24 (1993) 1294-1311.
- [5] R. Hakl, P. Torres, On periodic solutions of second-order differential equations with attractive-repulsive singularities, *J. Differential Equations* 248 (2010) 111-126.
- [6] Z. Wang, Periodic solutions of Liénard equation with a singularity and a deviating argument, *Nonlinear Anal.* 16 (2014) 227-234.
- [7] J. Xia, Z. Wang, Existence and multiplicity of periodic solutions for the duffing equation with singularity, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A*, 137 (2007) 625-645.
- [8] M. Zhang, Periodic solutions of Liénard equations with singular forces of repulsive type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 203 (1996) 254-269.
- [9] Z. Cheng, J. Ren, Studies on a damped differential equation with repulsive singularity, *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* 36 (2013) 983-992.
- [10] J. Chu, P. Torres, M. Zhang, Periodic solution of second order non-autonomous singular dynamical systems, *J. Differential Equations* 239 (2007) 196-212.
- [11] X. Li, Z. Zhang, Periodic solutions for damped differential equations with a weak repulsive singularity, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009) 2395-2399.
- [12] P. Torres, Weak singularities may help periodic solutions to exist, *J. Differential Equations* 232 (2007) 277-284.
- [13] H. Wang, Positive periodic solutions of singular systems with a parameter, *J. Differential Equations*, 249 (2010) 2986-3002.
- [14] L. Chen, S. Lu, A new result on the existence of periodic solutions for Rayleigh equations with a singularity of repulsive type, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2017 (2017) 106.
- [15] Y. Guo, Y. Wang, D. Zhou, A new result on the existence of periodic solutions for Rayleigh equation with a singularity, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2017 (2017) 394.
- [16] F. Kong, F. Liang, J. Nieto, Positive periodic solutions of coupled singular Rayleigh systems, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 19 (2020) 27.
- [17] S. Lu, X. Jia, Existence and uniqueness of homoclinic solution for a Rayleigh equation with a singularity, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 19 (2020) 17.
- [18] S. Lu, T. Zhong, L. Chen, Periodic solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equations with singularities, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2016 (2016) 12.
- [19] Y. Xin, G. Hu, Periodic solution for prescribed mean curvature Rayleigh equation with a singularity, *Adv. Difference Equ.* 2020 (2020) 269.
- [20] Y. Xin, S. Yao, Positive periodic solution for  $p$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation with weak and strong singularities of repulsive type, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 22 (2020) 45.
- [21] Y. Xin, Z. Cheng, Positive periodic solution for  $\phi$ -Laplacian Rayleigh equation with strong singularity, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2016 (2016) 139.
- [22] R. Manásevich, J. Mawhin, Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with  $p$ -Laplacian-like operator, *J. Differential Equations*, 145 (1998) 367-393.