



THE UNIQUENESS OF FIXED POINTS FOR TWO NEW CLASSES OF CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS OF INTEGRAL TYPE IN b -METRIC SPACES

YAN HAO, JINZE GOU, HONGYAN GUAN*

School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Shenyang Normal University, Shenyang 110034, China

Abstract. In this paper, the concepts of the Sehgal-Guseman contraction of integral type and the φ - E -contraction of integral type are introduced to establish some fixed point results. The existence and uniqueness of fixed points of these contractions of integral type under special conditions in b -metric spaces are studied. Furthermore, two examples are given to prove the feasibility of our results.

Keywords. b -metric; Contractive mapping of integral type; Fixed point.

2020 MSC. 47H09, 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1993, Czerwik [6] first introduced the concept of b -metric space by changing the third condition of the definition of metric spaces and generalized the celebrated Banach contraction principle [4] in the setting of b -metric spaces. Since then, authors have extended the Banach contraction principle in various aspects. In 1969, Sehgal [16] generalized the Banach contraction principle and presented a new inequality. Later, Matkowski [13] generalized some results of Sehgal [16], Khazanchi [10], and Iseki [9]. In 2008, Raja and Vaezpour [14] proved some fixed point results for Sehgal-Guseman-type theorems in cone metric spaces. After that, more scholars promoted the Sehgal-Guseman type in various spaces. Among them, the notion of Geraghty contraction of type E was introduced by Fulga and Proca [7]. Lang et al. [11] and Alqahtani et al. [3] introduced the $\alpha - \varphi_E$ -Geraghty contraction mapping and Sehgal type contractions in b -metric space respectively. In particular, Guan et al. [8] investigated triangular α -orbital admissible mappings and fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces as follows:

Theorem 1.1. ([8]) *Let (Ω, \mathfrak{h}) be a complete rectangular b -metric space with $s \geq 1$. Let $\mathbb{T} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ be a continuous injectivity, $\alpha : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, and $p > 0$. Assume that, for any*

*Corresponding author.

E-mail address: guanhy8010@163.com(H. Guan).

Received July 31, 2024; Accepted November 30, 2024.

$\varepsilon \in \Omega$, there exists a positive number $n(\varepsilon)$ with

$$\forall \partial \in \Omega, \alpha(\varepsilon, \partial) \geq s^p \Rightarrow \alpha(\varepsilon, \partial) \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{T}^{n(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon, \mathbb{T}^{n(\varepsilon)} \partial) \leq \Phi(\mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon, \partial), \mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon, \mathbb{T}^{n(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon), \mathfrak{h}(\varepsilon, \mathbb{T}^{n(\varepsilon)} \partial)),$$

where $\Phi \in \Theta$ and

- (1) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} (\varepsilon - s\varphi(\varepsilon)) = \infty$,
- (2) for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^m(\varepsilon) = 0$.

Suppose that

- (i) there exists an ε_0 in Ω such that $\alpha(\varepsilon_0, \mathbb{T}\varepsilon_0) \geq s^p$,
- (ii) \mathbb{T} is triangular α_{s^p} orbital admissible,
- (iii) If $\{\partial_n\}$ is Ω satisfies $\alpha(\partial_n, \partial_{n+1}) \geq s^p (\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$ and $\partial_n \rightarrow \partial \in \Omega (n \rightarrow \infty)$, then one can choose a subsequence $\{\partial_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\partial_n\}$ with $\alpha(\partial_{n_k}, \partial) \geq s^p, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) for all $\varepsilon \in \Omega$ with $\mathbb{T}^{n(\varepsilon)} \varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\alpha(\varepsilon, \partial) \geq s^p$ for any $\partial \in \Omega$.

Then, \mathbb{T} has a unique fixed point $\varepsilon^* \in \Omega$. Further, for each $\varepsilon \in \Omega$, $\mathbb{T}^n \varepsilon$ converges to ε^* .

In this paper, we give the fixed point theorem of two integral type contractive mappings in b -metric spaces. Furthermore, two examples are given to prove the feasibility of the theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where \mathbb{N} stands for the set of positive integers and

$$\Theta = \{ \xi | \xi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ satisfies that } \xi \text{ is Lebesgue integrable, summable on each compact subset of } \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } \int_0^\delta \xi(t) dt > 0 \text{ for each } \delta > 0 \},$$

We introduce the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 2.1. ([6]) Let Ξ be a nonempty set and $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A mapping $\varpi : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to be a b -metric if and only if, for all $\kappa, \mu, \nu \in \Xi$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\varpi(\kappa, \mu) = 0$ if and only if $\kappa = \mu$;
- (ii) $\varpi(\kappa, \mu) = \varpi(\mu, \kappa)$;
- (iii) $\varpi(\kappa, \mu) \leq s(\varpi(\kappa, \nu) + \varpi(\mu, \nu))$.

Generally, (Ξ, ϖ) is called a b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$.

Remark 2.2. Every metric space is a b -metric space with $s = 1$. One can discover several examples of b -metric spaces which are not metric spaces(see [5]).

Example 2.3. ([2]) Let (Ξ, d) be a metric space, and $\varpi(\kappa, \mu) = (d(\kappa, \mu))^q$, where $q > 1$ is a real number. Then $\varpi(\kappa, \mu)$ is a b -metric with $s = 2^{q-1}$.

Definition 2.4. ([15]) Let (Ξ, ϖ) be a b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$. Then a sequence $\{\kappa_n\}$ in Ξ is said to be:

- (i) b -convergent if and only if there exists $\kappa \in \Xi$ such that $\varpi(\kappa_n, \kappa) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (ii) a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\varpi(\kappa_n, \kappa_m) \rightarrow 0$ when $n, m \rightarrow +\infty$.

As usual, a b -metric space is called complete if and only if each Cauchy sequence in this space is b -convergent.

Definition 2.5. ([8]) Let Ξ be a nonempty set, $s \geq 1$ and $p > 0$ be constants and $\alpha : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. The self-mapping $T : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$ is said to be triangular $\alpha_{s,p}$ orbital admissible if

- (i) $\alpha(x, Tx) \geq s^p \Rightarrow \alpha(Tx, T^2x) \geq s^p$,
- (ii) $\alpha(x, y) \geq s^p$ and $\alpha(y, Ty) \geq s^p$ implies $\alpha(x, Ty) \geq s^p$, for all $x, y \in \Xi$.

Definition 2.6. ([1]) The mapping $\phi \in \Theta$ is said to be integral subadditive if, for each $a, b > 0$,

$$\int_0^{a+b} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^a \phi(t) dt + \int_0^b \phi(t) dt.$$

One denotes by Θ_s the class of all integral subadditive functions $\phi \in \Theta$.

The following lemmas play a key role in our conclusion.

Lemma 2.7. ([8]) Let Ξ be nonempty set and $\alpha : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. Let $T : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$ be a self-mapping such that T is a triangular $\alpha_{s,p}$ orbital admissible mapping, $s \geq 1, p > 0$. Assume that there exists $x_0 \in \Xi$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq s^p$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Ξ by $x_1 = T^{n(x_0)}x_0, \dots, x_{n+1} = T^{n(x_n)}x_n \dots$. Then, for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\alpha(x_m, T^k x_m) \geq s^p, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Lemma 2.8. ([8]) Let $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be nondecreasing. Then, for every $t > 0$, one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ implies $\varphi(t) < t$ where φ^n denotes the n th iterate of φ .

Lemma 2.9. ([2]) Let (Ξ, ϖ) be a b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$. Assume that $\{x_v\}$ and $\{y_v\}$ are b -convergent to x and y , respectively. Then,

$$\frac{1}{s^2} \varpi(x, y) \leq \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi(x_v, y_v) \leq \limsup_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi(x_v, y_v) \leq s^2 \varpi(x, y).$$

In particular, if $x = y$, then $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi(x_v, y_v) = 0$. Moreover, for each $z \in \Xi$,

$$\frac{1}{s} \varpi(x, z) \leq \liminf_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi(x_v, z) \leq \limsup_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi(x_v, z) \leq s \varpi(x, z).$$

Lemma 2.10. ([12]) Let $\phi \in \Theta$ and $\{\mu_v\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nonnegative sequence. $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\mu_v} \phi(t) dt = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_v = 0$. If $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_v = \mu$, $\lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\mu_v} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^{\mu} \phi(t) dt$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we introduce the concept of α admissible and other definitions, which are used to prove the fixed point theorems of integral type in b - metric spaces. Moreover, we also provide two examples to support our results.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\phi \in \Theta$ and $\{\mu_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a nonnegative sequence. If $\limsup_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_l = \mu$, then $\int_0^{\mu} \phi(t) dt \leq \limsup_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\mu_l} \phi(t) dt$. If $\liminf_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_l = \mu$, then

$$\liminf_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\mu_l} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\mu} \phi(t) dt.$$

Proof. In view of $\limsup_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_l = \mu$, we can choose a subsequence $\{\mu_{l_\zeta}\}$ of $\{\mu_l\}$ such that $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow +\infty} \mu_{l_\zeta} = \mu$. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that

$$\int_0^{\mu} \phi(t) dt = \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\mu_{l_\zeta}} \phi(t) dt \leq \limsup_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\mu_l} \phi(t) dt.$$

Similarly, one can prove the other inequality. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let (Ξ, ϖ) be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$, and let $\mathbb{T} : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$ be a continuous mapping. Let $\alpha : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $p \geq 1$. Assume that, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \Xi$, there exists a positive integer $n(\mathfrak{p})$ such that, for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \Xi$,*

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \geq s^p \Rightarrow \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \varpi(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt \leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{p})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Phi : [0, +\infty)^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $\phi \in \Theta_s$ satisfy that

- (1) Φ is nondecreasing and continuous for each variable;
- (2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (\int_0^t \phi(t) dt - \varphi(\int_0^t \phi(t) dt)) = \infty$, $\varphi(t) = \Phi(t, t, t)$;
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(\int_0^t \phi(t) dt) = 0$, $\forall t > 0$.

Suppose also that

- (i) \mathbb{T} is triangular α_{s^p} orbital admissible,
- (ii) there exists $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \Xi$ satisfying $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0) \geq s^p$,
- (iii) if $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is a sequence in Ξ satisfying $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) \geq s^p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists a subsequence $\{\mathfrak{p}_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ with $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n(k)}, \mathfrak{p}) \geq s^p$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) for any fixed point \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}$, $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \geq s^p$ for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \Xi$.

Then \mathbb{T} has a unique fixed point \mathfrak{p}^* in Ξ . For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \Xi$, $\{\mathbb{T}^n \mathfrak{p}\}$ converges to the point \mathfrak{p}^* .

Proof. It follows from condition (ii) that there exists an $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \Xi$ satisfying $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0) \geq s^p$. If \mathfrak{p}_0 is a fixed point of \mathbb{T} and \mathfrak{q}_0 is the other one, then $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0 = \dots = \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)}\mathfrak{p}_0 = \dots$ and $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q}_0 = \dots = \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)}\mathfrak{q}_0 = \dots$. By (iv), we have $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0) \geq s^p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0) \varpi(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)} \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_0)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)} \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 2.8, one has $\varphi(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt) < \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt$. It follows that

$$\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus \mathfrak{p}_0 is the unique fixed point of \mathbb{T} . Therefore, we assume that \mathfrak{p}_0 is not the fixed point of \mathbb{T} in subsequent discussion. Define a sequence $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ in Ξ by $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)}\mathfrak{p}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1} = \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_n)}\mathfrak{p}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we prove that $\{\mathbb{T}^i \mathfrak{p}_0\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is bounded. To this end, we fix an integer l such that $0 \leq l < n(\mathfrak{p}_0)$. Let $u_j = \varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l} \mathfrak{p}_0)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $\mathfrak{h} = \max\{u_0, \varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_0)\}$. According to (2), there exists $c > \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\int_0^t \phi(t) dt - \varphi(\int_0^t \phi(t) dt) > \int_0^{\mathfrak{h}} \phi(t) dt$, $t \geq c$. It is obvious that $u_0 \leq \mathfrak{h} < c$. Suppose that there exists a positive integer j such that $u_j \geq c$. Evidently, we assume that $u_i < c$, $\forall i < j$. Also, we suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)} \mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l} \mathfrak{p}_0$ are different from each other. Otherwise, the conclusion can be easily proved. It is easy to obtain $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^k \mathfrak{p}_0) \geq s^p$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 2.7 and integral subadditive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{s\mathfrak{h}+\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{(j-1)n(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \varpi(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)}\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0) \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{s\mathfrak{h}} \phi(t) dt + \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{(j-1)n(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \varpi(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)}\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0) \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{s\mathfrak{h}} \phi(t) dt + \Phi\left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{(j-1)n(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_0)}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \phi(t) dt\right) \\
& \leq \int_0^{s\mathfrak{h}} \phi(t) dt + \varphi\left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}^{jn(\mathfrak{p}_0)+l}\mathfrak{p}_0)} \phi(t) dt\right).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\int_0^{u_j} \phi(t) dt - \varphi\left(\int_0^{u_j} \phi(t) dt\right) \leq \int_0^{s\mathfrak{h}} \phi(t) dt$, a contradiction. It follows that $u_j < c$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\{\mathbb{T}^i \mathfrak{p}_0\}_{i=0}^\infty$ is bounded. If there exists some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{n_0} = \mathfrak{p}_{n_0+1} = \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}$, then \mathfrak{p}_{n_0} is a fixed point of $\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}$. Assume that there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \Xi$ such that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{n_0}$, by condition (iv), we obtain $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{q}) \geq s^p$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt & \leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{q})} \varpi(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}\mathfrak{q}) \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \Phi\left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}\mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt\right) \\
& \leq \varphi\left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt\right) < \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt,
\end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. From this, we see that \mathfrak{p}_{n_0} is the unique fixed point of $\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n_0})}$. In what follows, we suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_n \neq \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we prove that $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let i, n be any positive integer. It is obvious that $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^k \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \geq s^p$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+i})} \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \Phi\left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n+i-1})+n(\mathfrak{p}_{n+i-2})+\dots+n(\mathfrak{p}_n)}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt, \right. \\
& \quad \left. \int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n+i-1})+n(\mathfrak{p}_{n+i-2})+\dots+n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt\right) \\
& \leq \varphi\left(\sup\left\{\int_0^{\varpi(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}\}_{m=0}^\infty\right\}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

For each $\{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}\}_{m=0}^\infty$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}, \mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-2})} \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-2}, \mathbb{T}^{m+n}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-2}) \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}, \mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-2})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-2})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2})+m} \mathfrak{p}_{n-2})} \phi(t) dt \right) \\
& \leq \varphi(\sup\{ \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-2}, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-2}\}_{m=0}^\infty \}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+i})} \phi(t) dt & \leq \varphi(\sup\{ \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}\}_{m=0}^\infty \}) \\
& \leq \dots \\
& \leq \varphi^n(\sup\{ \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_0\}_{m=0}^\infty \}) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since Ξ is complete, there exists a point $\mathfrak{p}^* \in \Xi$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}^*$. We might as well let $\mathfrak{p}_n \neq \mathfrak{p}^*$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n \neq \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}^*$. Otherwise, we have $\mathfrak{p}^* = \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}^*$ according to the continuity of \mathbb{T} . Note that

$$\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}^*)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{s\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_n) + s^2\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_n) + s^2\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_n, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}^*)} \phi(t) dt. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}^*)+n}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \phi(t) dt \\
& \leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p}^*)+n}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt \right) \\
& \leq \varphi(\sup\{ \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}\}_{m=0}^\infty \}) \\
& \leq \dots \\
& \leq \varphi^n(\sup\{ \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{v})} \phi(t) dt \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \{\mathbb{T}^m \mathfrak{p}_0\}_{m=0}^\infty \}) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.8, one sees that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_n) = 0$. The continuity of \mathbb{T} ensures that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}_n, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}^*) = 0$. Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.2), we find by Lemma 2.1 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{p}^*) = 0$. Assume that there exists $\mathfrak{q}^* \neq \mathfrak{p}^*$ such that $\mathfrak{q}^* = \mathbb{T}^n(\mathfrak{p}^*) \mathfrak{q}^*$.

According to condition (iv), $\alpha(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*) \geq s^p$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*) \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*) \mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*) \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*) \mathbf{p}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*) \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, \mathbf{p}^* is the unique fixed point of $\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*)$. Since $\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^* = \mathbb{T}\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*)\mathbf{p}^* = \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*)\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*$, we see that $\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^* = \mathbf{p}^*$. The uniqueness is easily proved.

Finally, we prove the last part of the theorem. To prove this assertion, we fix an integer l , $0 \leq l < n(\mathbf{p}^*)$, and let $\overline{\omega}_k = \overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p})$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for $\mathbf{p} \in \Xi$. If there exists $k \in \Xi$ satisfying $\overline{\omega}_k = 0$, then $\overline{\omega}_{k+1} = \overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{(k+1)n(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p}) = \overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*)\mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p}) = 0$. The subsequent result is $\overline{\omega}_{k+2} = \overline{\omega}_{k+3} = \dots = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{(k-1)n(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p}) \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*) \mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{(k-1)n(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^n(\mathbf{p}^*) \mathbf{p}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p})} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}_{k-1}} \phi(t) dt, 0, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\overline{\omega}_k \geq \overline{\omega}_{k-1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p})} \phi(t) dt &\leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt \right) < \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_k} \phi(t) dt &\leq \Phi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}_{k-1}} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_{k-1}} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}_{k-1}} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}_{k-1}} \phi(t) dt \right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \varphi^n \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}_0} \phi(t) dt \right) \rightarrow 0 (k \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}_k = 0$. That is, the sequence $\{\mathbb{T}^n \mathbf{p}\} = \{\mathbb{T}^{kn(\mathbf{p}^*)+l}\mathbf{p}\}$ converges to the point \mathbf{p}^* for any $\mathbf{p} \in \Xi$. \square

Example 3.3. Let $\Xi = [0, 1]$ and $\overline{\omega}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})^2$. Define mappings $\mathbb{T} : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$, $\alpha : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{4}$, $\mathbf{p} \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = 2, \forall \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \Xi$. Define $\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3)$ for

$t_i \in [0, +\infty)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $\varphi(t) = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{16}t$, and $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $n(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$ and $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^{2(\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{16} - \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{16})^2} \frac{1}{2}t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt = (2(\frac{\mathfrak{p}-\mathfrak{q}}{16})^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}|\mathfrak{p}-\mathfrak{q}|}{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi\left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{p})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(\int_0^{(\mathfrak{p}-\mathfrak{q})^2} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{(\mathfrak{p}-\frac{\mathfrak{p}}{16})^2} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{(\mathfrak{p}-\frac{\mathfrak{q}}{16})^2} \phi(t) dt\right) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}\left(|\mathfrak{p}-\mathfrak{q}| + \frac{15\mathfrak{p}}{16} + \left|\mathfrak{p} - \frac{\mathfrak{q}}{16}\right|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt \leq \Phi\left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{p})} \phi(t) dt, \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}^{n(\mathfrak{p})}\mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt\right).$$

Hence (3.1) holds. It follows that all conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied with $s = 2$. Here 0 is the fixed point of \mathbb{T} .

Theorem 3.4. Let $(\mathfrak{E}, \overline{\omega})$ be a complete b -metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and let $\mathbb{T} : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ be a given self-mapping. Let $\alpha : \mathfrak{E} \times \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $p \geq 2$. If

- (i) \mathbb{T} is triangular α_{s^p} orbital admissible,
- (ii) there exists $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathfrak{E}$ satisfying $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0) \geq s^p$,
- (iii) if $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathfrak{E} satisfying $\mathfrak{p}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}$, then $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}) \geq s^p$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) for any fixed point \mathfrak{p} of \mathbb{T} , $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \geq s^p$, for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{E}$,
- (v) there exists a function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{s})$ such that, for each $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{E}$,

$$\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) \geq s^p \Rightarrow \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt \leq \varphi\left(\int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt\right) \int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})} \phi(t) dt, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\phi \in \Theta$ and $E(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) = \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{q}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q})|$. Then \mathbb{T} has a unique fixed point \mathfrak{p}^* in \mathfrak{E} .

Proof. Obviously, $E(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$. Under condition (ii), there exists an $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathfrak{E}$ satisfying $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0) \geq s^p$. If \mathfrak{p}_0 is a fixed point of \mathbb{T} and \mathfrak{q}_0 is the other one, then $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0 = \dots = \mathbb{T}^n\mathfrak{p}_0 = \dots$ and $\mathfrak{q}_0 = \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q}_0 = \dots = \mathbb{T}^n\mathfrak{q}_0 = \dots$. By (iv), we have $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0) \geq s^p$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt\right) \int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$E(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0) = \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{q}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q}_0)| = \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0).$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt \leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0) \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt < \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_0, \mathfrak{q}_0)} \phi(t) dt,$$

a contradiction. That is, \mathfrak{p}_0 is the unique fixed point of \mathbb{T} . In subsequent discussion, we assume that \mathfrak{p}_0 is not the fixed point of \mathbb{T} . Define a sequence $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ in Ξ by $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1} = \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If we suppose that for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_{n_0} = \mathfrak{p}_{n_0+1}$, then the proof is completed, since \mathfrak{p}_{n_0} is a fixed point of \mathbb{T} . Without loss of generality, we presume that $\mathfrak{p}_n \neq \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (i), (ii), and Lemma 2.7, we have $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) \geq s^p$. Applying (3.3) with $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_n$, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $E(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) = \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})|$. If $\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) \geq \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n)$, then (3.5) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt &\leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) > \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})$. It follows that $\{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})\}$ is decreasing. Thus there exists $\tau \geq 0$ satisfying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) = \tau$. Suppose that $\tau > 0$. Letting the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau \phi(t) dt &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n) \overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left(\int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n)} \phi(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{s} \int_0^\tau \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\tau = 0$, that is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_n, \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}) = 0$.

Next, we prove that $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is not Cauchy. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and we can choose sequences $\{\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{p}_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ such that m_k is the smallest index for which $m_k > n_k > k$ and

$$\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) \geq \varepsilon, \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k-1}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) < \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\varepsilon \leq \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) \leq s\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{m_k-1}) + s\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k-1}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) < s\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{m_k-1}) + s\varepsilon. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking the superior limit and inferior limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we obtain

$$\varepsilon \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) \leq s\varepsilon. \quad (3.7)$$

Similarly,

$$\varepsilon \leq \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) \leq s\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}) + s^2\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) + s^2\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\varepsilon \leq \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) \leq s\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{m_k}) + s^2\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k}) + s^2\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}). \quad (3.9)$$

In view of (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9), we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{s^2} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) \leq s^3\varepsilon.$$

One can deduce $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) \geq s^p$. Taking $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}$ in (3.3), we find by Lemma 2.10 that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_k}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k})} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \varphi\left(\int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})} \phi(t) dt\right) \int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) &= \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})| \\ &= \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{m_k}) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_k})|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Taking the inferior limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.11), we arrive at

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}}) \leq s^3\varepsilon,$$

Taking the inferior limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.10), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{s^3\varepsilon} \phi(t) dt &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(\int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})} \phi(t) dt\right) \int_0^{\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{m_{k-1}}, \mathfrak{p}_{n_{k-1}})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{s^3\varepsilon} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $\{\mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. As Ξ is complete, there exists $\mathfrak{p}^* \in \Xi$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}^*$.

Now, we prove that \mathfrak{p}^* is a fixed point of \mathbb{T} . By (iii), we have $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \geq s^p$. Letting $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^*$, $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})\overline{\omega}(\mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt \leq \varphi\left(\int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt\right) \int_0^{E(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt, \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) &= \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}^*) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}_{n-1})| \\ &= \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) + |\overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathfrak{p}^*) - \overline{\omega}(\mathfrak{p}_{n-1}, \mathfrak{p}_n)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.14), we obtain $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{p}_{n-1}) = \varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*)$. Taking the superior limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.13), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\alpha(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{p}_{n-1})\varpi(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left(\int_0^{E(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{E(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{p}_{n-1})} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible. So \mathbf{p}^* is a fixed point of \mathbb{T} . We assume that there exists another point $\mathbf{q}^* \in \Xi$, with $\mathbf{p}^* \neq \mathbf{q}^*$ such that $\mathbb{T}\mathbf{q}^* = \mathbf{q}^*$. Taking $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}^*$ in (3.3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)\varpi(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{E(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{E(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $E(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*) = \varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*) + |\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*) - \varpi(\mathbf{q}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{q}^*)| = \varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)$. According to (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt &\leq \int_0^{\alpha(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)\varpi(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \\ &\leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt \\ &< \frac{1}{s} \int_0^{\varpi(\mathbf{p}^*, \mathbf{q}^*)} \phi(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\mathbf{p}^* = \mathbf{q}^*$. That is, \mathbf{p}^* is a unique fixed point of \mathbb{T} . \square

Example 3.5. Let $\Xi = \{0, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{20}\}$ and $\varpi : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be defined by $\varpi(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) = 0, \mathbf{p} \in \Xi$, $\varpi(0, \frac{1}{10}) = \varpi(\frac{1}{10}, 0) = \frac{1}{600}, \varpi(0, \frac{1}{20}) = \varpi(\frac{1}{20}, 0) = \frac{1}{700}, \varpi(\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{20}) = \varpi(\frac{1}{20}, \frac{1}{10}) = \frac{1}{800}$. Thus (Ξ, ϖ) is a b -metric space with $s = \frac{11}{10}$. Let $\mathbb{T} : \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$ be defined as $\mathbb{T}(0) = 0, \mathbb{T}(\frac{1}{10}) = \frac{1}{20}, \mathbb{T}(\frac{1}{20}) = \frac{1}{10}$. Define $\varphi(t) = \frac{9}{11}$ and $\phi(t) = 2t$, $\alpha : \Xi \times \Xi \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q} \text{ or } \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{10}, \mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{20} \text{ or } \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{20}, \mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{10}, \\ s^3, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the following cases:

Case 1. If $\mathbf{p} = 0, \mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{10}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\alpha(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})\varpi(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{p}, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{q})} \phi(t) dt &= \int_0^{(\frac{11}{10})^3 \cdot \frac{1}{700}} 2t dt = \left(\frac{1331}{700000} \right)^2, \\ E(0, \frac{1}{10}) &= \frac{1}{600} + \frac{1}{800} = \frac{7}{2400}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi \left(\int_0^{\frac{7}{2400}} 2t dt \right) \int_0^{\frac{7}{2400}} 2t dt = \frac{9}{11} \int_0^{\frac{7}{2400}} 2t dt = \frac{9}{11} \cdot \left(\frac{7}{2400} \right)^2.$$

So

$$\int_0^{\alpha(p,q)\mathfrak{w}(\mathbb{T}p,\mathbb{T}q)} \phi(t) dt \leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{E(p,q)} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{E(p,q)} \phi(t) dt.$$

Case 2. If $p = 0, q = \frac{1}{20}$, then

$$\int_0^{\alpha(p,q)\mathfrak{w}(\mathbb{T}p,\mathbb{T}q)} \phi(t) dt = \int_0^{(\frac{11}{10})^3 \cdot \frac{1}{600}} 2t dt = \left(\frac{1331}{600000} \right)^2,$$

$$E\left(0, \frac{1}{20}\right) = \frac{1}{700} + \frac{1}{800} = \frac{15}{5600},$$

and

$$\varphi \left(\int_0^{\frac{15}{5600}} 2t dt \right) \int_0^{\frac{15}{5600}} 2t dt = \frac{9}{11} \int_0^{\frac{15}{5600}} 2t dt = \frac{9}{11} \cdot \left(\frac{15}{5600} \right)^2.$$

That is,

$$\int_0^{\alpha(p,q)\mathfrak{w}(\mathbb{T}p,\mathbb{T}q)} \phi(t) dt \leq \varphi \left(\int_0^{E(p,q)} \phi(t) dt \right) \int_0^{E(p,q)} \phi(t) dt.$$

Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 3.4 are fulfilled with $p = 3$, and \mathbb{T} has a unique fixed point 0.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we first introduced two new classes of contractive mappings of integral type in b -metric spaces. Then we studied the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of these contractions of integral type. Finally, two examples were given to prove the practicability of our theorems.

Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (No. 2024-MS-108).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. U. Ali, T. Kamran, E. Karapinar, An approach to existence of fixed points of generalized contractive multivalued mappings of integral type via admissible mapping, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014 (2014) 141489.
- [2] A. Aghaiani, M. Abbas and J. R. Roshan, Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered b -metric spaces, *Math. Slovaca.* 64 (2014) 941-960.
- [3] B. Alqahtani, A. Fulga, E. Karapinar, Sehgal type contractions on b -metric space, *Symmetry* 10 (2018) 560.
- [4] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922) 51-57.
- [5] M. Boriceanu, Strict fixed point theorems for multivalued operators in b -metric spaces, *Internat. J. Modern Math.* 4 (2009) 285-301.
- [6] S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta. Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* 1 (1993) 5-11.
- [7] A. Fulga, A. Proca, Fixed point for φ_E -Geraghty contractions, *Nonlinear. Sci. Appl.* 10 (2017) 5125-5131.
- [8] H. Y. Guan, C. Lang and Y. Hao, Sehgal-Guseman-type fixed point theorems in rectangular b -metric spaces and solvability of nonlinear integral equation, *J. Funct. Space.* 2023 (2023) 2877019.
- [9] K. Iseki, A generalization of Sehgal-Khazanchi's fixed point theorems, *Math. Seminar Notes Kobe Univ.* 2 (1974) 1-9.
- [10] L. Khazanchi, Results on fixed points in complete metric space, *Math. Japon.* 19 (1974) 283-289.
- [11] C. Lang, H. Y. Guan, Common fixed point and coincidence point results for generalized $\alpha - \varphi_E$ -Geraghty contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Aims Math.* 7 (2022) 14513-14531.

- [12] Z. Liu, X. Li, S. M. Kang and S. Y. Cho, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011 (2011) 5573983.
- [13] J. Matkowski, Fixed point theorems for mappings with a contractive iterate at a point, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 62 (1977) 344-348.
- [14] P. Raja, S. M. Vaezpour, Some extensions of Banach's contraction principle in complete cone metric spaces, *Fixed point theory Appl.* 2008 (2008) 768294.
- [15] J. R. Roshan, N. Shobkolaei, S. Sedghi and M. Abbas, Common fixed point of four maps in b -metric spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 43(4)(2014) 613-624.
- [16] V. M. Sehgal, A fixed point theorem for mappings with a contractive iterate, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (1969) 631-634.